



ANALYSIS OF CONSUMER ACCEPTANCE ON MARINATE WITH DIFFERENT TYPE OF FRESHWATER FISH

Ranti Rahmadina, Eddy Afriyanto, Rosidah and Junianto

Laboratory of Fisheries Processing Product, Padjadjaran University, Indonesia. E-mail: ranti.rahmadina@gmail.com

KeyWords

Keywords: *Marinate, Salt, Golden Fish, Carp Fish, Catfish, Nile Fish, Organoleptic*

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research is to sort the kind of fresh water fish by the likeness level of panelist on Marinade Processing. The research was started from February until March of 2018 in Laboratory of Fishery Processing, Faculty of Fishery and Marine, Padjadjaran University. The method which used in this research was Experimental Method by four ways, A) Salting of Golden Fish Fillet on 5% Saline Solution, B) Salting of Carp Fillet on 5% Saline Solution, C) Salting of Iridescent Shark Fillet on 5% Saline Solution, and D) Salting of Nile Fish Fillet on 5% Saline Solution. With Parameter based on Organoleptic *Sense*, which includes *Sight* (Visibility), *Smell* (Aroma), *Touch* (Texture), and *Taste* (Taste). The result showed that Marinated Fresh Water Fish was categorized to be liked by Panelist, but the most like one was The Marinated Nile Fish Product, based on the parameter such as *Sight*, *Smell*, *Touch*, and *Taste*. Marinated Nile Fish had white light, Solid and Tough *Touch*, Specific *Smell* without Putrid, lastly Salty and Crisp *Taste*. With all Median Value, 7 point of *Sight*, 7 point of *Smell*, 7 point of *Touch*, and 7 point of *Taste*.

INTRODUCTION

Marinate is a traditional processed product originating from countries in Europe. Marinate is characterized by the distinctive aroma and flavor of fermentation by acid or salt (Meyer 1965). The marinate soaking medium may consist of salt, and spices. Marinate aims to enhancing flavors, shaping textures and can be exploited to extend shelf life (Brooks 2011).

Generally, the fish used in marinate is a fresh fish, has a bright color of meat, the thick one, easy to obtain and have a high nutritional content. According to the West Java Provincial Government (2017), they has a variety of freshwater fish species that are classified as national flagship commodities. Fish commodities that are seeded are catfish, carp, tilapia and goldfish. Those fish is a potential fish to be raw materials for marinate products.

Each type of fish will give the different final characteristics of marinate products. It is based on the fish characteristics itself. Differences characteristics fish can be influenced by various factors, one of which is the feed. So each type of fish will have different flavor, taste and meat texture that can affect the final characteristics of a marinate product. Based on the explanation above, it is necessary to conduct a research on the analysis of marine salt preferences level of some freshwater fish species.

METHOD OF RESEARCH

Place and Time of Research

The research of analysis of consumer acceptance on marinate with different type of freshwater fish was conducted in Laboratory of Fisheries Products Processing Technology, Faculty of Fishery and Marine University of Padjadjaran. The research was conducted in February-March 2018.

Research Materials

The materials used in this research include common carp with total weight of 4 kg, carp with total weight of 4 kg, Patin Fish with total weight of total 4 kg, Tilapia with total weight of 4 kg, salt, aquades, oil .

Process

The marinating process was performed according to Mayer (1965) and consisted of the following stages: heading and gutting, cutting off the tail, filleting, washing, brining (in a bath with 5% of NaCl -food grade for 1 hour at temperature 10 °C with a fish:solution ratio of 1:1,5).

Method

The method used in this research is an experimental method. This research uses four samples, namely catfish (code sample 101), carp (sample code 202), tilapia (code sample 303), common carp (code sample 404) Observations made include organoleptic test. Organoleptic test using hedonic test on organoleptic characteristics consisting of appearance, aroma, texture and taste to know the level of panelist's preference for the use of several fish species on marinate.

Data Analysis

Hedonic test data results were analyzed by Friedman's statistic test. The statistical formulas used in the Friedman test are as follows (Sudrajat 1999):

$$x^2 = \frac{12}{bk(k+1)} \sum (R_j)^2 - 3b(k+1)$$

Keterangan (explanation):

- x = Friedman's statistic test
- b = Repetition
- k = Treatment
- R_j = Total rank of each treatment

If there is exact same number, calculation of correction factor (FK) should be done with the following formula:

$$FK = 1 - \frac{\sum T}{bk(k^2 - 1)}$$

$$H_c = \frac{x^2}{FK}$$

Explanation :

T = $N(t^3 - t)$

T = The same number of observation values for one rank

N = The number of the same observations value for a rank with the same t value

Decision rule for the hypothesis is: Test method used to know product value chosen priority is bayes method (marimin 2004). The bayes equation as follows:

$$Total\ nilai_i = \sum_{i=1}^m Nilai_{ij} (Krit_j)$$

Explanation:

Total value = total final value from alternative to-i

Nilai_{ij} = alternative value— i in kriteria of— j

Krit_j = The importance level (weight) criteria of— j

i = alternative value number

j = the number of criteria

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Appearance

The Friedman statistic test shows there is a real difference. If its viewed from the median results, the observations of four treatment appearances have lower values than others, but the four are classified into hedonic scales above or equal to 5, meaning that the use of different fish species in salt marinade is still acceptable or favorable by panelists (Table 1).

Table 1. Average Appearance of marinate salt products based on different types of fish in marine salt.

Fish Species	Median	Average
Catfish	7.00	6.06 ^a
Carp fish	7.00	7.12 ^a
Tilapia	7.00	7.12 ^a
Goldfish	5.00	5.82 ^b

Explanation: The average rate of treatment followed by the same letter shows no significant difference according to the multiple comparison test of 5% level.

The highest average value of marinate salt appearance is on the treatment by using tilapia and carp with a brilliant appearance, the meat color is white, bright, tidy and intact. The lowest average value is on the use of carp meat with a brilliant appearance, the pink color to gray, neat and intact.

Common carp have a basic color of meat that tends to be redder compared with the other three fish. According to Harahap (2010) in his research shows, the red color found in common carp meat caused by high hemoprotein content. Red muscle carp meat has a high lipid content and contains a large number of iron, making it more sensitive to oxidation than white muscle.

Gray color is owned by the common carp meat caused by the oxidation process that resulted color damage in fish meat. The color-forming compounds in fish meat such as myoglobin turn into methyoglobin and methemoglobin, thus act causing the color of the fish to be gray (Lestari et al., 2013).

Tilapia has a low hemoprotein content, so it has a white flesh color. The color of fish meat that tends to white due to the content of Fe inside the meat is not too high because the number of hemoprotein is not that much especially myoglobin and hemoglobin. This thing makes the white fish flesh not susceptible to oxidation due to at least prooxidant (Varga et al. 2010).

The marinate process will make the brightness level of the meat increased (Jittrepotch et al 2015). Coloredness increased of the fish meat is affected by the Ca ions presence that play a role in increasing the color of fish bright in the salt solution. The cross

effect of Ca ions will make denaturation and precipitate proteins that cause increased brightness (Szymczak et al., 2012). According to Lauritzsen et al. (2004) in his study stated, Ca muscle content showed a significant positive correlation with the brightness and compactness of the fillet in salt solution immersion. Salt Immersion with low concentration will result in fish meat changes to be more shiny while at too high concentration will cause color fading in fish meat (Haryati 2008).

Odor

Friedman statistic test shows that there is a real difference. The analysis results explain that the treatment of catfish has a lower value than the others, but the four have hedonic scale values above or equal to 5, meaning that the use of different fish species in salt marinade is still acceptable or favorable by panelists (Table 2) .

Table 2. The average of marinate salt product odor based on different types of fish in marine salt.

Fish Species	Median	Average
Catfish	5.00	5.35 ^b
Carpfish	5.00	5.94 ^a
Tilapia	7.00	6.76 ^a
Goldfish	7.00	6.76 ^a

Explanation: The average rate of treatment followed by the same letter shows no significant difference according to the multiple comparison test of 5%

The highest average aroma value is 6.76 on the use of tilapia and common carp with the aroma of fresh fish and fishy odor on fillets that not remain smell, while the lowest average value is 5.35 on the use of catfish with fresh fish aroma with the smell of mud a little wafted. Fresh aroma in all fish is influenced by aldehyde, ketone, and aldehyde 6-8, and 9-carbon alloys derived by lipoxygenase conversion of unsaturated fatty acid fish characteristics (Chambers et al 2015)

Changes that occur in marinate products due to reduced water content in fish meat, where the initial scent of fish initially smelled strong then with salt-specific salt immersion of fish will disappear and the specific smell of marinate salt products more pronounced. The specific marinate product aromas found in all four fish species are influenced by aldehyde, ketone, and esters which contribute to the scent of marinate products. These compounds are stronger due to lipid oxidation, lipid enzymes and protein breakdown (Tamang and Kailasapathy 2010). The fat oxidation process that has not been exceeded continues, resulting in a specific aroma favored by the consumer (Rahayu et al. 1992).

Catfish have a neutral aroma and in some types of cultivation can have a mud smell (Thamapat et al. 2010). The mud smell on catfish is caused by the presence of a soluble compound which can be easily absorbed by fish through gills and then stored in fatty web (Hafiluddin 2014). These compounds include geosmin and methyl-iso borneol (MIB) which can give the aroma of musty and earthy, thereby decreasing the level of consumer acceptability (Ho et al., 2007).

According to Yamprayoon et al. (2012) in the study showed, in immersion of fish with salt solution for 2 hours, the content of geosmin in fish decreased to 19.8 ug / kg from the original content of geosmin in fish which is 21.9 ug / kg. It proves that fish immersion in salt solution can curb the mud scent but it is not effective to remove the mud smell in fish without acid addition.

Texture

Based on the Friedman statistic test showed there is a marked difference to the use of different fish species on marinate salt products. The analysis results explain that the treatment of catfish has a lower value than the others, but the four have hedonic scale values above or equal to 5, it means that the use of different fish species in salt marinade is still acceptable or favorable by panelists (Table 3) .

Table 3. The average of marinate salt product texture based on different types of fish in marine salt.

Fish Species	Median	Average
Catfish	5.00	5.47 ^b
Carpfish	7.00	7.00 ^a
Tilapia	7.00	7.12 ^a
Goldfish	7.00	6.65 ^a

Explanation: The treatment average rate followed by the same letter shows no significant difference according to the multiple comparison test of 5% level.

The average sensory test for the highest salt marinade test is found in tilapia with a value of 7.12. Marinade tilapia has a dense,

compact, and chewy meat texture. Kayan et al. (2015) in his research explains, the content of insoluble collagen tilapia is classified moderate that is equal to 1% of total collagen in fresh fish that is 0.66. The concentration of collagen and its solubility also affects the texture of the meat in which the higher insoluble collagen proportions will result toward the meat be more dense texture (Jaturasitha et al., 2008).

The lowest average score is 5.35 on the use of catfish with a compact meat texture, slightly chewy and slightly mushy. Catfish meat is quite thick, white and elastic texture and chewy (Suryaningrum 2008). Patin belong to the type of fish with high water content that is 79,65%. High water content can affect the texture of the fish produced, if the water content is too high, it will make the meat texture soft and soft (Pratama et al 2018). In contrast to the level of panelist acceptance, the higher the moisture content is, the lower panelist judgment of patin filet texture (Suryaningrum et al. 2010).

In addition, the texture of fish fillet meat is too soft and soft can be due to the level of salt concentration used in this study has not been able to improve the test of soft catfish meat, this is because each type of fish has their own level of stability in the process of salt absorption into the meat fish. Salt penetration in fish depends on the fat content of the fish, the process is slower in fish with higher fats (above 2%) compared with fish with lower fat. This is because salt is classified into large molecules and can not easily penetrate lipid bilayer on the membrane directly (Oladele et al. 2008).

Marinate process with salt solution will make the meat texture increased to be more dense (Jittrepotch et al 2015). The addition of salt causes salt diffusion into the fish body where the fish muscle takes salt and the water content in muscle decreases (Jeyasanta et al 2016). The concentration of salt in fish immersion will cause denaturation of the protein (Thorarinsdottir et al., 2003), thus making hydrophobic (non-binding water) areas in the protein increasing and resulting in less space for water, therefore the water retention capacity decreases and the shrinkage of muscle making the texture of meat more dense (Thorarinsdottir 2004).

Taste

Friedman statistic test results show that there is no significant difference in marinate salt product characteristics with the treatment of several types of freshwater fish. The results of the analysis explain that all treatments have almost uniform value, meaning that fish species do not give significant effect toward marinate salt product taste.

Table 4. Average taste of marinate salt products based on different types of fish in salt marinade.

Fish Species	Median	Average
Catfish	5.00	5.82 ^a
Carpfish	7.00	5.94 ^a
Tilapia	7.00	6.88 ^a
Goldfish	5.00	5.35 ^a

Explanation: The average rate of treatment followed by the same letter shows no significant difference according to the multiple comparison test of 5% level.

The highest average taste value is 6.88 on the use of tilapia with the taste of tasty and salty meat which is perfect, while the lowest average value is 5.35 on the use of carp with a good taste of meat and little bit salty taste and specific types taste.

The difference in value on the average value of the marinate product shows that there is a difference in the taste value of marinate product although not very significant. The difference is due to higher fat content of carp and catfish causing reduced absorption which means the process of ingress of salt into the meat becomes slow compared with tilapia and carp, so saltiness found in salt will be more felt in the tilapia fish and carp. It is appropriate that the higher fat content of fish (fat > 2%), then the absorption of salt into the fish meat will be slower. Similarly, salt diffusion will be slower in thicker fish flesh (Yamprayoon et al., 2012).

The saltiness present in the marinate salt product is produced by the ingredients used in the preparation of a soaking solution, salt. It is appropriate that several factors affecting the taste of a product that is, the type of fish (protein content), freshness level, seasonings given, and the composition of the material (Rini 2011).

Savory taste is commonly found in foods with L-glutamate, Disodium Inosinate (IMP) and Disodium Guanylate (GMP) reactions with synergies. The intensity of umami taste in GMP is stronger when compared with IMP or MSG, but, with the reaction of the three of them can gain a strong umami taste. Mixing glutamate and inosinate greatly improves umami taste. Inosinates are commonly found in fish products (Hajep and Jinap 2015). Inosinate is an enzymatically susceptible compound after dead fish to avoid marinate, fermentation, cooking, and fish drying (Lioe et al. 2010).

Lioe et al. (2010), in his research explains that savory flavors can be generated on products containing free glutamic acid and salt with a higher content of hydrophobic amino acids (can not bind water). This phenomenon leads to a study that proves a taste relationship between MSG, hydrophobic amino acids, and salt.

The savory flavors produced by marinate salt products occur due to the reaction between the enzymes with the nutrient content contained in the meat of the fish. Proteolytic enzymes produced by halophilic bacteria will break down proteins into amino acids, especially glutamic acids that play a role in the formation of savory taste in food (Estiasih 2009).

The existence of savory taste marinate products favored by consumers. The umami flavoring compound gives a varied and dynamic hedonic effect depending on the food matrix used. At each salt concentration can be seen the higher the umami taste in food product hence the higher level of fondness to be (Adawiyah 2017).

Decision making by bayes method

Decision-making on the value of alternative weights and the appearance, smell, texture, and taste criteria of marinate salt products was performed by paired comparison test. Completion of the result of pairing comparison is done by matrix manipulation to determine the weight criterion. The calculation of comparison result on the critical weight of the appearance, aroma, texture and taste of marinate salt can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5. Decision Matrix of Marinate Salt Assessment with Bayes Method

Treatment	criteria				Alternative Value	Priority Value
	Appearance	odor	Texture	Taste		
Ikan Patin	6.06	5.35	5.47	5.82	5.70	0.23
Ikan Gurami	7.12	5.94	7.24	5.94	6.47	0.26
Ikan Nila	7.12	6.76	7.12	6.88	6.96	0.28
Ikan Mas	5.82	6.76	6.65	5.35	6.03	0.24
Criteria Value	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.35	25.16	

Based on the calculation by Bayes method can be seen that the type of tilapia obtained the highest value when compared with the other three fish, which is 6.96 Fish gouramy has an alternative value of 6.47. common carp has A lternative value of 6.03 and the catfish has the lowest alternative value of 5.70. Based on this result, it can be concluded that marinate salt by using tilapia is most favored compared to the use of three other fresh fish.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the research on marinate salt products with the treatment of freshwater fish various types use which included to the favored category by the panelists, but the treatment with the use of tilapia species on marinate salt products is preferred compared to other products based on parameters such as appearance, aroma, texture and taste . Marinate tilapia has the characteristics of a brilliant white appearance, dense and chewy texture, distinctive aroma and have no fishy smell, and savory and salty taste that fits the median appearance value 7 (preferred), aroma 7 (preferred), texture 7 (preferred) and taste 7 (strongly preferred).

References

- [1] Brooks, Chance. 2011. Marinating of Beef for Enhancement. *Nasional Cattlemen's Beef Association*. 1-3 hlm.
- [2] Chambers, Lucy, Keri, McCrickerd and Martin, R., Yeomans. 2015. Optimising foods for satiety. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 41 : 149 – 160 hlm
- [3] Endah Hasrati dan Rini Rusnawati. 2011. Kajian Penggunaan Daging Ikan Mas (*Cyprinus Carpio* Linn) Terhadap Tekstur dan Cita Rasa Bakso daging Sapi. *Jurnal kampus*. 29 (1) : 17 – 31 hlm.
- [4] Hafiluddin. yudhita, Perwitasari. Slamet Budiarto. 2014. Analisis Kandungan Gizi Dan Bau Lumpur Ikan Bandeng (*Chanos Chanos*) Dari Dua Lokasi Yang Berbeda. *Jurnal Kelautan*. 7 (1) : 33 – 44 hlm
- [5] Harahap, Binanga, P., D. 2010. *Perubahan Karakteristik Fisik Dan Kimia Surimi Hasil Pengkomposisian Ikan Mas (*Cyprinus Carpio*) Dan Ikan Lele Dumbo (*Clarias Gariepinus*) Selama Penyimpanan Suhu Dingin*. Skripsi. Fakultas Perikanan Dan Ilmu Kelautan. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor
- [6] Ho, L., Hoefel, D., Bock, F., Saint, C. P. & Newcombe, G. 2007 Biodegradation rates of 2-methylisoborneol (MIB) and geosmin through sand filters and in bioreactors. *Chemosphere* 66(11), 2210–2218
- [7] Jaturasitha, S., Srikanchai, T., Kreuzer, M., and Wicke, M. 2008. Difference in carcass and meat characteristics between chicken indigenous to northern Thailand (blackboned and Thai native) and imported extensive breeds (Bresse and Rhode Island Red). *Poult. Sci.* Vol. 87 Hal. 160-169
- [8] Jeyasanta K. Immaculate, Sinduja Prakash, Jamila Patterson. 2016. Wet and dry salting processing of double spotted queen fish *Scomberoides lysan* (Forsskal, 1775). *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*. 4 (3) : 330 – 338 hlm.

- [9] Jinap, S dan Hajep, P. 2010. Glutamate Its Applications in Food and Contribution to Health. *Journal Appetite*. 55 : 1- 10 hlm.
- [10] Kayan, Autchara, Ittipong, Boontanb , Sanchai, Jaturssithab , Michael, Wickec , and Michael, Kreuzerd. 2015. Effect of Slaughter Weight on Meat Quality of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*. Vol 5 Hal. 159 – 163
- [11] Lestari, Feny, D., Rohula, Utami. dan Kawiji. 2013. Pengaruh Penambahan Minyak Atsiri Jahe Merah (*Zingiber Officinale* Var. *Rubrum*) Pada Edible Coating Terhadap Kestabilan Ph Dan Warna Fillet Ikan Patin Selama Penyimpanan Beku. *Jurnal Teknosains Pangan*. 2 (4) : 91 – 95 hlm.
- [12] Lioe, H., Nuryani, Jinap, Selamat, And Masaaki, Yasuda. 2010. Soy Sauce And Its Umami Taste: A Link From The Past To Current Situation. *Journal Of Food Science*. 75 (3) : 71 – 76 hlm.
- [13] Meyer, V. 1965. *Fish as food*. Academic Press. London and Newyork. Vol. 3 489 hlm.
- [14] Oladele, A.K., and J.O., Odedeji. 2008. Osmotic Dehydration of Catfish (*Hemisynodontis membranaceus*): Effect of Temperature and Time. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. Nigeria. 7 (1) : 115 – 122 hlm.
- [15] Oliveira, Helena, Sônia, Pedro, Maria, Leonor, Nunes, Rui, Costa, and Paulo, Vaz-Pires. 2012. Processing of Salted Cod (*Gadus* spp.): A Review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*. 11 : 546 – 564 hlm.
- [16] Stien LH, Hirmas E, Bjornevik M, Karlsen O, Nortvedt R, RoraAMB, Sunde J, Kiessling A. 2005. The effects of stress and storage temperature on the color and texture of pre-rigor filleted farmed cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). *Aquaculture Res*. 36 : 1197 – 1206 hlm
- [17] Suryaningrum. Dwi. 2008. Peneliti pada Balai Besar Riset Pengolahan Produk dan Bioteknologi Kelautan dan Perikanan Ikan Patin: Peluang Ekspor, Penanganan Pascapanen dan Diversifikasi Produk Olahannya. *Balai Besar Riset Pengolahan Produk dan Bioteknologi Kelautan dan Perikanan*. 3(1) : 16 – 23 hlm.
- [18] Suryaningrum, D.T., Muljanah, I., dan Tahapari, E., 2010. Profil Sensori dan Nilai Gizi Beberapa Jenis Ikan Patin dan Hibrid Natunus. *Jurnal pascapanen dan Bioteknologi Kelautan dan Perikanan*. 5 (2) : 153 – 164 hlm.
- [19] Szymczak, M., Kolakowski, E. And Felisiak, K. 2012. *Influence Of Salt Concentration On Properties Of Marinated Meat From Fresh And Frozen Herring (Clupea Harengus L.)*. *Int. J. Food Sci. Tech*. 47, 282–289.
- [20] Tamang, J. P., & Kailasapathy, K. (2010). *Fermented Foods and Beverages Of the World*. U.S.A.: CRC Press. 434 hlm.
- [21] Thorarinsdottir, K., Anna, Sigurjon, Arason, Sigurdur, G., Bogason, and Kristberg, Kristbergsson. 2004. The effects of various salt concentrations during brine curing of cod (*Gadus morhua*). *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*. Vol. 39
- [22] Varga, D., A. Szabo, dan R. Romvari, dan Cs. Hancz. 2010. Comparative study of the meat quality of common carp strains harvested from different fish ponds. *Acta Agraria Kaposváriensis*. 14 (2) : 301 – 306 hlm.
- [23] Yamprayoon, Jirawan And Noomhorm, Athapol. 2012. *Off-Flavor In Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus)*. Univ Of Arizona. 235 – 245 hlm.

