



Global Scientific JOURNALS

GSJ: Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2018, Online: ISSN 2320-9186

www.globalscientificjournal.com

A REVIEW ON ADVANTAGES AND RISK FACTORS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (PPP).

BILAL BAKHT

Class Id: 13248

MS CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT



**IQRA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY PESHAWAR
PAKISTAN.**

Abstract

Open Private Organization (Public- private partnership) in development is picking up in prominence. PPP acquirement is viewed as a viable method to accomplish an incentive for cash in broad daylight foundation ventures. With the developing financial improvement experienced on the planet, there is an inclination for progressively and better open framework. Open private organization is an inventive strategy for conveying these offices and administrations.

This investigation investigates achievement variables of open private organizations and open works ventures. Customarily, freely subsidized open works extends ordinarily incorporate some sort of structure that is utilized by or for the network, for example, dams, roadways, extensions, schools, and government structures. Since the 1980s bigger foundation ventures have started to join some private segment interest. The PPP has turned into an important resource for networks to renew their monetary attractiveness and help with required social, lodging, foundation and business programs. Whenever social, lodging and foundation organization programs have no investors, have confined profit premiums, are free from the state and convey an open administration

The reason for this review is to investigate and looks at central factors that add to effective PPP and open works ventures and to thoroughly analyze the discoveries of the examinations to give bits of knowledge to enhancing the current practices of PPP ventures.

Introduction

Lately, the working of open and private associations has been subjected to regularly expanding requests from society. Singular associations regularly have deficient mastery to convey the superb items and administrations requested of them. The fundamental assets (skill, cash, data, work force and administration) are isolated among various associations. Trade of assets is then essential with the end goal to meet targets, make ventures or potentially take care of social issues. Conditions therefore prompt an expanding requirement for communication and co-activity, especially where exchange costs are constrained and co-task empowers associations to keep up their independence and farthest point organization costs. Therefore, the importance of progressive system inside society is diminishing and that of flat relations expanding; society is going up against an ever increasing number of the qualities of a system society (Castells 1993). In the private part, organizations are progressively looking for shelter in vital collusions and the development of mechanical systems (Graeber 1993). In people in general part, types of co-activity and co-appointment between and among services, levels of organization and semi-open associations (following stage offices) are expanding. There is additionally a developing pattern towards including subjects and social gatherings in the arrangement of open approach (Kickert et al. 1997). Be that as it may, interdependencies don't stop at the fringe between people in general and private space: interdependencies are progressively perceived among private and open gatherings. And yet it is questionable whether parties can give shape effectively to a public-private co-task for the time being. Social and institutional contrasts between people in general and private space and the dangers joined to uniting the two establish a genuine risk to fruitful public-

private organization (Jacobs 1992). New job divisions, working practices and plans are expected to conquer any hindrance. The improvement of fruitful public-private associations will frame a standout amongst the most essential difficulties confronting associations in general society and private area in the principal decade of the new thousand years. This article manages the need and choices for making public– private associations yet in addition with the challenges and dangers included. This issue will be outlined by inspecting the public-private organization identifying with the development of the port of Rotterdam.

Theoretical review on public-private partnership

The idea of association has turned out to be boundless around the globe "as a perfect model for the plan of inter-organizational connections in broad daylight segment administration" (Companion, 2006, p. 261). This is drawn from the regular desire that legislative offices ought to work together with other administrative offices, nonprofit associations or even business associations with the end goal to give better administrations and merchandise and to spare assets. As researchers called attention to, nonetheless, organization was frequently not conveyed by and by despite the fact that it has reflected the significance of collective types of working (Precious stone, 2006; Companion, 2006; Laffin and Liddle, 2006; Wettenhall, 2007). This discussion infers that much alert ought to be taken by association specialists and scientists in light of the fact that the organization approach isn't ensured consequently more effective or efficient than customary methodology.

All through the development of the association point of view, the current prominent utilization of the expression "open private organization" passed on the great proposal that a community oriented division connecting way had been found to convey expanded efficiency over administrations (Dunnigan and Pollock, 2003; Pollock et al., 2001; Shaw, 2004), exchange hazard to the private part (Gaffney et al., 1999; Shaoul, 2003), change people in general segment (Flinders, 2002), offer new types of responsibility and a level of vote based potential (Mayo and Moore, 2001), and turn into a standard administration apparatus (IPPR, 2002). Of course, various conditions – for example, flat connections between the gatherings, consensual basic leadership, or utilization of regard and trust as significant changes of social capital, and so on – should be met to make fruitful open private associations (e.g., Kooiman, 2003; Lowndes and Skelcher, 1998; Wettenhall, 2004, 2005). In addition, it is recommended that we require more prominent lucidity in the utilization of open private organization to portray connections among government and the private segment (Wettenhall, 2007). Despite the fact that there are diverse measurements on which open and private divisions may contrast, however are not only particular. Hypothetical survey on their connections is by all accounts expansive and excessively uncritical, contention from the writing are condensed and exhibited as though they were confirmed "actualities", when in all actuality they are frequently contestable.

There have been two alternate points of view in regards to refinements of open and private divisions. The first point of view underscores unmistakable highlights among the areas. Nutt and Backoff (1993), for instance, contend that open segment has darkened objectives and works dependent on power control and administration, while private part has clear objective (e.g., profit boost) and worked dependent on market components. Oliver Williamson (1979) in exchange cost financial matters contends the distinction among open and private administration with respect to the trade and specialist framework while examining "sovereign exchange". In particular, private administration has high impetus and low administration control while open organization has low motivating force and high administration control in light of the fact that the profit is straightforwardly connected to people in the private segment, not in the general population area. The second point of view underlines artificial qualification includes that refinements among the segments are not critical. Bozeman and

BretSchneider (1994), for instance, contend that all associations are open. It relies upon the level of "popularity." Even private firms achieve open capacities, for example, contributing social welfare, while open associations regularly participate in straightforward contract in market.

There are various exact examinations on that issue (i.e. Rainey, 1983; Rawls et al., 1975; Rainey and Bozeman, 2000). The findings appear to demonstrate that there are the two likenesses and contrasts among open and private associations. By the by, the first point of view (refinement) can consider legitimate and political procedures of basic leadership in general society part. Most exercises of open associations depend on official laws or controls and in addition political endorsement. Conversely, the second point of view (artificial see) seems to think about ongoing patterns of inter-organizational Connections between the general population and the private division, for example, open private organization, contracting out, and privatization. These viewpoints may give a wide hypothetical premise to the exchange and investigation of open private association.

Findings of different research papers on PPP

PPPs includes people in general and private areas working in co-task and association with one another (Harris, 2003). It is basically an association between open part associations and private segment speculators and business to design, arranging, financing, developing, giving as well as working framework, offices or related administrations. Fruitful PPPs expand on the experience of each accomplice to meet unmistakably defined needs and give a net benefit (or incentive for money)to the overall population through the proper distribution of assets, dangers and prizes (Leiringer, 2003).

Table.01 summarizes the different research discussions on Public-Private Partnership, which are studied during my review paper.

Table -01:

S. No	Author	Year	Findings of research
01	Hans Van Ham and Joop Koppenjan	2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Public threat: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Functional risks • Risk of finance • private discontinuity risk • Risk by democratic involvement • Risk by politician ➤ Private threat: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of high exchange costs • risk of policy • Political discontinuity risk • Risk of administration • Social construction and risk • risk of exploitation ➤ Joint hazard examination ➤ Risk administration ➤ Division of jobs between gatherings ➤ Arrangements ➤ Threats to Associations ➤ The supremacy of legislative issues

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Public techniques ➤ Private impediments ➤ The arranging technique ➤ Assessing and overseeing risks with the Mix Show.
02	S.Olusola Babatunde, A.Opawole and Emmanuel Akinsiku	2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Valid open and Private association ➤ Respondent's assignment ➤ Highest scholastic qualifications ➤ Years of expert experience ➤ Project types <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport (street, airplane terminal, railroad) • Provision of power and water • Environmental and regular resources • Health and social administrations • Leisure, tourism and social • Real bequests • Educational ➤ Variable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of power and water • Transportation (rail, street and air terminal) • Educational • Health and social administrations • Real home development • Recreational • Environment and regular assets ventures ➤ ANOVA test results of the suitability of types of infrastructural projects using PPPs. ➤ Accomplishment factors of PPPs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Availability of reasonable financier showcase • Sound monetary approach • Good administration • Appropriate hazard allotment and hazard sharing • Stable macroeconomic condition • Thorough and practical evaluation

			<p>of the expense and benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project specialized plausibility • Competitive acquirement process • Government association by giving an assurance • Favorable edge work • Well sorted out and submitted open organization • Commitment and duty of open and private division • Transparency in the acquisition procedure • Strong and great private consortium • Social bolster • Shared expert among open and private division • Political bolster • Multi-benefits destinations <p>Test consequences of CSFs in PPPs on framework conveyance.</p>
03	LiYaning Tang, Qiping Shen and Eddie W.L. Cheng	2009	<p>➤ Explanations of PPP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Private Fund Activities • Joint adventures • expertise under joint administration • Partnerships organizations • partnership assertions • Special government share. • Partnership ventures <p>➤ Advantages and drawbacks of PPP</p> <p>➤ Empirical investigations of PPP in development</p> <p>➤ risks among research</p> <p>➤ relationship among research</p> <p>➤ Research into financing</p> <p>➤ Non-experimental investigations of PPP in development</p> <p>➤ Research into concession periods</p> <p>➤ Future research</p> <p>➤ Contractual assertions</p> <p>➤ Development of PPP models</p> <p>➤ Strategies in picking the correct kind of PPP</p>
04	LiBing, A.Akintoye P.J.Edwards and C Hardcastle	2004	<p>➤ The PPP/PFI acquisition process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procurement begin • Issue OJEC take note

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop particular of necessities • Shortlist bidders • Discuss necessities with bidders • Issue ITT/ITN • Evaluate tenders • Select favored • Conduct last arrangements • Award contract <p>➤ Risk distribution instrument</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk Variables/Lattice Furnished with Delicate • Document • Risk Allotted to the private contractual worker • Risk Shared between people in general and private accomplice • Risk Held by people in general customer • Risk Reallocation • Bidders Hazard Valuing • Conciliation • Risk Administration by the Private Contractual worker • Risk Administration by People in general Customer <p>➤ Risk distinguishing proof and arrangement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of Meta-level • Risk of Macro-level • Risk of Meso-level • Risk of Micro-level <p>➤ Risk factor class gathering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Political and government arrangement • Macroeconomic • Legal • Public • Natural • Project choice • Project trust • Residual chance • Design, Development • Task • Affiliation
--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Third gathering <p>➤ Risk factor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unstable government • Expropriation or nationalization of advantages • Poor open basic leadership process • Strong political restriction/threatening vibe • Poor monetary market • Inflation rate unpredictability • Interest rate unpredictability • Influential financial occasions • Legislation change • Change in duty control • Industrial administrative change • Lack of convention of private arrangement of open administrations • Level of open restriction to extend • Power majeure • Geotechnical circumstances • Climate surrounding • Land obtaining (site accessibility) • Level of interest for task • Availability of back • Financial fascination of venture to financial specialists • High back expenses • Residual dangers • Delay in undertaking endorsements and licenses • Design lack • Unproven designing methods • Construction cost devastate • Construction time delay • Material/work accessibility • Late configuration changes • deprived quality workmanship • Excessive contract variety • default of sub-contractual workers or providers • Operation cost devastate • Operational incomes underneath desire • Low working Efficiency • Maintenance costs higher than anticipated • Maintenance more incessant than anticipated
--	--	--	---

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • organization and co-appointment chance • Inadequate involvement in PPP/PFI • Inadequate conveyance of duties and threats • Inadequate conveyance of specialist in organization • Differences in working strategy and know-how between accomplices • Lack of responsibility from either accomplice • Third Gathering Tort Risk • staff emergencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Risk assignment inclinations ➤ Risks which ought to be distributed to people in general area ➤ Risks which ought to be shared ➤ Risks where portion firmly relies upon particular conditions
05	Esther Cheung and Albert P. C. Chan	2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mean Score Positioning ➤ Definitions PPP Threat Elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government mediation • Public tribute • Financing threat • Poor open basic leadership process • Subjective undertaking assessment technique • Completion gamble • Government debasement • Operation cost devastate • Worth change • Imperfect law and supervision framework • Project/activity changes • Powerlessness of concessionaire • Increase • Conflicting or defective contract • Interest rate change • Insufficient venture fund supervision • Delay in undertaking endorsements and licenses • Inadequate rivalry for delicate • Foreign trade change • Change in market request

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Cronbach's Alpha ➤ Kendall's Concordance Examination ➤ Settlement of Defendants ➤ Ranking of Threat Components
O6	Esther Cheung, Albert P.C. Chan, Patrick T.I. Lam, Daniel W.M. Chan and Yongjian Ke	2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Literature audit of PPP basic achievement factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Competitive and Straightforward acquirement process • Project monetary practicality • Government ensure • Available financial showcase • Clear venture brief and customer prerequisites • Occupational diversification • Solid private association • Good accomplices relationship • Solid government bolster • Conference withendusers • Appropriate hazard designation • Stable and straightforward political/social circumstance • Appropriate venture identification • Effective administration control • Technology exchange Fair portion of dangers: proper hazard allotment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Solid private association: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid private grouping • good accomplices relationship • technology exchange • effective administration control ➤ Sensible government control: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • government insurance • consultation with end-clients • appropriate venture identification ➤ Transparent and efficient acquirement process: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • competitive and straightforward

			<p>purchase process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clear venture brief and customer necessities <p>➤ Project financial feasibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project financial feasibility • trade diversification <p>➤ Adequate lawful system and stable political condition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • solid government bolster • stable and straightforward political/social circumstance <p>➤ Available financial advertise: accessible financial showcase</p> <p>➤ Collection of research information</p> <p>➤ Tools for information examination</p> <p>➤ Discussion of overview results</p> <p>Basic achievement factor having high score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Stable large scale monetary condition ➤ Favorable lawful system ➤ Sound financial strategy ➤ Available financial advertise ➤ Multi-benefit destinations ➤ Appropriate chance assignment and hazard sharing ➤ Commitment and duty of open and private segments ➤ Project specialized practicality ➤ Shared expert among open and private segments ➤ Political bolster ➤ Well sorted out and submitted open office ➤ Competitive acquisition process (enough potential bidders all the while) ➤ Transparency acquisition (process is made open and open) ➤ Government inclusion by giving certification ➤ Thorough and reasonable evaluation of the expense and benefits ➤ Good administration ➤ Social bolster
07	Carol Jacobson and Sang Ok Choi	2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Theoretical survey on open private association ➤ Public works ventures, open private

			<p>associations, and achievement factors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ General development achievement factors ➤ Public-private organization achievement factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Merging specific dream • Assurance • Open correspondence and belief • Willingness to trade off/work together • Admiration • Municipal outreach • Political bolster • Expert guidance and survey • Risk mindfulness • Clear jobs and obligations
08	Bing Li, A. Akintoye P.J.Edwards and C. Hardcastle	2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Identified eight types of PPPs ➤ Summary of CSFs for PPP projects ➤ Public sector areas in PPP projects ➤ Private sector areas in PPP projects ➤ Project type ➤ Five principal factor grouping ➤ Well-known eight breeds of PPPs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asset deals • Wider showcase • Auctions of business • Partnership organizations • Private Fund Activity • Joint explorations • Partnership ventures • Policy associations ➤ Summary of CSFs for PPP ventures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stolid private grouping • Appropriate chance assignment and hazard sharing • Competitive obtainment process • Commitment/obligation of open/private divisions • Thorough and sensible cost/advantage evaluation • Project specialized possibility • Transparency in the obtainment procedure

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good administration • Favorable legitimate system • Available budgetary market • Political bolster • Multi-advantage goals • Government inclusion by giving certifications • Sound financial strategy • Stable large scale monetary condition • Well-composed open organization • Shared specialist among open and private divisions • Social bolster • Technology exchange <p>➤ Public part regions in PPP ventures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prime government • Native government • Government office • Public venture <p>➤ Private segment territories in PPP ventures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sponsor • Main temporary worker and planner • planner • Constructor • Consultant/guide • Worker • Provider • Financier and principle temporary worker and planner • Financier, principle temporary worker and administrator • Financier, principle/subcontractor and administrator • Financier, constructor, specialist and administrator • Main temporary worker, expert • Main temporary worker and administrator <p>➤ Project unites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hospital • Carriage • Water and hygienic • Power and vitality • IT and correspondence
--	--	--	---

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accommodation and office • Defence and armed • Police and jail • School and training • Others <p>➤ Five essential factor gathering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective acquisition • Project implementability • Government insurance • Favorable monetary conditions • Available budgetary market
09	Michael Regan, Jim Smith and Subside E. D. Love	2011	<p>➤ PPPs and Capital Markets:</p> <p>Cost Capital</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Depositor • Constructor • Facility administrator • Advisor • Provider • Prepare a yield detail • PPP settlement • Bid vehicle and administrator • Service conveyance • User • Tribute rating office • Credit appraisal • Bank • Credit bolster • Credit safety net providers • Political chance back up plan • Reinsurers <p>➤ Debt Capital</p> <p>➤ Intermediation and Credit Improvement</p> <p>➤ Capital Market Reliance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fairness capital • Balance capital • Financial administrations • Market teamsters • Capital advertise advancement <p>➤ Market situations and PPPs:</p> <p>Capital marketplaces in Australia and abroad are</p>

			<p>presently categorized by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historically low offer costs • Limited open door for new on-showcase capital raisings • Reduced movement in unifications, achievements, and divestments • A fall in resource esteems inconsistent with hidden essentials <p>➤ Impact of Economic situation on PPPs:</p> <p>The components that ought to moderate the fund hazard related with PPP extends in present economic situations incorporate the accompanying are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conventional use • High obligation benefit inclusion proportions • Adequate holds • Source and steadiness of the installment stream • Underlying FICO score • Benign decrease administrations • Availability of fitting credit protection • Capabilities and reputation of consortium individuals • State hazard assignment <p>➤ Medium-Term Future Standpoint for PPPs</p> <p>➤ Alternative Systems</p> <p>➤ Procurement Technique</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traditional acquisition • Entrance programs • Association contracting • PFI U.K. • Public-private partnership Australia • U.K. guard contracts <p>➤ Sponsoring of PPPs</p> <p>➤ State and City Bonds</p> <p>➤ Credit Assurance Fund</p> <p>➤ Supported Obligation Demonstrate</p> <p>➤ Debt Assurances</p> <p>➤ Market Openings</p>
--	--	--	--

Conclusion:

Governments trust that PPP obtainment can give a wide assortment of net advantages for society, including upgraded government limit development in conveying open administrations, decrease in the expense and time of undertaking usage and exchange of real hazard to the private part with the end goal to anchor an incentive for cash for citizens. The survey discoveries demonstrate that, in a PPP development venture, general society segment accomplice ought to hold site accessibility and political dangers. Relationship dangers, constrain majeure dangers and the dangers of enactment changes ought to be shared by the two gatherings.

This survey likewise investigate chance assignment in broad PPP development ventures. Such distribution has turned out to be more formalized through the acquirement procedure used to request private part interests for undertakings and the consequent arrangement with favored bidders. The survey utilized a list of dangers sourced from important writing.

Table.02 shows some of the advantages and risks factors that were founded in the review of Public-Private Partnership (PPP).

Table -02:

Advantages	Risk factors
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Managing exercises • Earning aptitudes • Transparency and obligation • Innovation • Risk administration • Work arranging • Contestability • Reduce hazard for the general population division • Upgradation of financial and social development • Transfer of current innovation • Upgradation of natural and social supportability • Availability of appropriate financier showcase • Sound monetary arrangement • Good administration • Appropriate hazard allotment and hazard sharing • Thorough and reasonable evaluation of the expense and benefits • Project specialized attainability • Government association by giving an assurance • Favorable edge work • Well sorted out and submitted open 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fundamental risks • economic risks • Risk of private brokenness • Representative risks • Civil risks • Risk of high exchange costs • Strategy risks • Managerial risks • Public risks • Joint hazard examination • Risk administration • Division of jobs between gatherings • Provisions • Threats to organization • Public methodology • Private snags • unsteady government • Expropriation or nationalization of benefits • Poor budgetary market • Inflation rate instability • Interest rate instability • Lawmaking changes • Change in expense control • Industrial administrative change • Force majeure

<p>organization</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commitment and duty of open and private area • Transparency in the acquisition procedure • Solid and great private consortium • Social bolster • Political bolster • Multi-benefits goals • Merging specific dream • Promise • Open correspondence and trust • Willingness to trade off • Admiration • Public outreach • Expert counsel and audit • Risk mindfulness • Clear jobs and obligations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geotechnical circumstances • Climate • Atmosphere • Land securing (site accessibility) • Financial fascination of venture to speculators • High fund costs • Delay in task endorsements and grants • Design insufficiency • Unproven designing procedures • Construction cost devastate • Material/work accessibility • deprived quality workmanship • default of sub-contractual workers or providers • Operational incomes underneath desire • Low working Efficiency • Maintenance more incessant than expected • organization and co-appointment hazard • Inadequate involvement in PPP • Inadequate dispersion of duties and dangers • Lack of duty from either accomplice • staff emergencies • Government intercession • Subjective venture assessment technique • Completion hazard • Government defilement • Worth change • Conflicting or blemished contract • Inadequate rivalry for delicate • Foreign trade vacillation
--	--

Reference:

- 1) ABN-AMRO (1997) Nieuwe Wegen, Andere Bronnen. Een Toekomstschets van de Investerings in Infrastructuur in Nederland, December, the Netherlands: ABN-AMRO.
- 2) Advisory Counsel on Transport, Public Works and Watermanagement (1998) Ambities Bundelen. Advies over de Inpassing van Infrastructuur, The Hague: SDUB.
- 3) Jones, I., Zamani, H. and Reehal, R. (1996), Financing Models for New Transport Infrastructure, OPEC, Luxembourg.
- 4) Abdul-Aziz, A.R., 2001. Unraveling of BOT scheme: Malaysia's Indah water konsortium. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* 127 (6), 457–460.
- 5) Ball, M., Maginn, P.J., 2005. Urban change and conflict: evaluating the role of partnerships in urban regeneration in the UK. *Housing Studies* 20 (1), 9–28
- 6) Chau, K.W., 1997. The ranking of construction management journals. *Construction Management and Economics* 15 (4), 387–398.
- 7) Grimsey, D., Lewis, M.K., 2002. Evaluating the risks of public–private partnerships for infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 20 (2), 107–118
- 8) NAO. Managing the relationship to secure a successful partnership in PFI projects. London: National Audit Office; 2001, HC375.
- 9) Diekhoff G. *Statistic for the social and behavioural sciences: univariate, bivariate, multivariate*. USA: Wm. C. Brown; 1992.
- 10) Norusis, M. J. (2008). *SPSS 16.0 advanced statistical procedures companion*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- 11) Pantelias, A., and Zhang, Z. (2010). "Methodological framework for evaluation of financial viability of public-private partnerships: investment risk approach." *J. Infrastruct. Syst.*, 16(4), 241–250.
- 12) Pribadi, K. S., and Pangeran, M. H. (2007). "Important risks on public private partnership scheme in water supply investment in Indonesia." *Proc., 1st Int. Conf. of European Asian Civil Engineering Forum*, Indonesia.
- 13) Cronbach, L. J. (1951). "Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests." *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334.
- 14) Wang, S. Q., and Ke, Y. J. (2009). "Case study IV—Laibin B power project—The first state-approved BOT project in China." *Publicprivate partnership in infrastructure development*, H. W. Alfen, ed., Bauhaus-Universität Weimar, Weimar, Germany.
- 15) Xu, Y. L., Yeung, J. F. Y., Chan, A. P. C., Chan, D. W. M., Wang, S. Q., and Ke, Y. J. (2010). "Developing a risk assessment model for PPP projects in China: A fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach." *Autom. Constr.*, 19(7), 929–943.
- 16) Zhong, L., and Fu, T. (2010). "BOT applied in Chinese wastewater sector." <http://www.adb.org/Water/actions/prc/PRC-BOT-wastewater-paper.pdf> (Oct. 7, 2010).
- 17) Abdul-Rashid, A.A., Puteri, S.J.K., Ahmed, U.A. and Mastura, J. (2006), "Public private partnerships (PPP) in housing development: the experience of IJM Malaysia in Hyderabad, India", paper presented at Accelerating Excellence in the Built Environment, Birmingham, October 2-4.
- 18) Birnie, J. (1999), "Private finance initiative (PFI) – UK construction industry response", *Journal of Construction Procurement*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 5-14.
- 19) Schuyler House. 2016. Responsive regulation for water PPP: Balancing commitment and adaptability in the face of uncertainty. *Policy and Society* 35:2, 179-191. [Crossref]

- 20) Akintoye, A., Beck, M., Hardcastle, C., Chinyio, E. and Asenova, D. (2001b) The financial structure of Private Finance Initiative projects. Proceedings of the 17th ARCOM Annual Conference, Salford University, Manchester, 1, 361–9.
- 21) Badshah, A. (1998) Good Governance for Environmental Sustainability, Public Private Partnerships for the Urban Environment Programme (PPPUE), United Nations Development Program, UNDP, New York.
- 22) European Investment Bank (2000) The European Investment Bank and Public Private Partnerships. Newsletter of the International Project Finance Association, 1, 3–4.
- 23) National Audit Office NAO. 2004b. “London underground PPP: Were they good deals?” Rep. by the Comptroller and Auditor General, HC645, London.
- 24) Reijniers J. J. 1994. “Organisation of public private partnership projects: The timely prevention of pitfalls,” Int. J. Project Manage., 123, 137–142.
- 25) Standard and Poor. 2005. Public private partnerships, global credit survey, infrastructure and public finance ratings, New York.
- 26) Wibowo, A. 2004. “Valuing guarantees in a BOT infrastructure project.” Eng., Constr., Archit. Manage., 116, 395–403.

