

A Trigonometrically Fitted Predictor-Corrector Method for solving Oscillatory Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations

J.O. Adegboro

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Abstract

A predictor-corrector class of Continuous Trigonometrically-Fitted Method for Solving Oscillatory (CTMSO) Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations in this research paper is developed . The method coefficients is proportional to the approximate solution frequency and step size. The CTMSO generates a discrete trigonometrically-fitted second order ordinary differential equation as a byproduct. The main predictors needed for the evaluation of the implicit methods are obtained to be of the same order with the method at whatever point of collocation. The method stability qualities are described, and the method usefulness and efficiency are demonstrated by solving linear and nonlinear initial value oscillatory problems.

Keywords: Linear multistep, interpolation techniques, Trigonometric-fitting, predictor-corrector.

1 Introduction

The numerical solution of the second order initial value problem is examined.

$$y'' = f(x, y, y'), y(x_0) = y_0, y'(x_0) = y'_0, x\epsilon[a, b]$$
(1)

Equation (1) is the result of a variety of physical processes, across a wide range of applications notably in engineering, such as the movement of a vehicle either a rocket or a satellite, electric circuit, fluid dynamic as well as other areas of application, it is well-thought out that this type of equation can be solved directly or indirectly or by converting the problem to a set of first-order differential equations before attempting to address the problem using any of the available techniques Chan et al. (2014), Gholamtabar Lambert (1973), Kayode and Adegboro (2018). Other methods based on exponential fitting techniques have been developed (see Simos (1998a, 2002), Van de Vyver (2005a), Van de Vyver (2006b), Monovasilis et al. (2013), and Nguyen et al. 2007). The exponentially-fitted approaches are motivated by the idea that if the frequency, or a good estimate of it, is known ahead of time, these methods will be more advantageous than polynomial-based methods. Ngwane and Jator (2014) created a continuous trigonometrically-fitted second derivative approach whose coefficients are dependent on frequency and stepsize, and the method is built with trigonometric basis functions. Numerical experiments show that the method for numerically solving ordinary differential equations with oscillatory solutions is effective. The CTMSO presented in this study, on the other hand, avoids the computation of higher order derivatives, which can increase computing cost, particularly when applied to nonlinear systems. We propose a CTMSO of order 4 in this study, and its application is extended to oscillatory issues. The following is a breakdown of how this article is structured. Derivation of the CTMSO for solving the problem in Section 2. Section 3 delves into the CTMSO's analysis and execution. Section 4 provides numerical examples to demonstrate the CTMSO's accuracy and efficiency. Finally, Section 5 contains the paper conclusion.

2 Derivation of the Method

CTMSO is obtained by approximating the exact solution y(x) by searching the solution y(x, u), which provides a discrete method as a by-product. The method has the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j x^j + a_{k+1} \sin(wx) + a_{k+2} \cos(wx)$$
(2)

will be used as a basis function to approximate the solution of the second order initial value problems of the form

The second derivative of (2) is given as:

$$y'' = \sum_{n=j}^{k} j(j-1)a_j x^{i-2} - w^2 a_{k+1} \sin(wx) - w^2 a_{k+2} \cos(wx)$$
(3)

Through interpolation of (2) at x_{n+j} , j = 0, k-1, collocation of (3) at x_{n+j} , j = 0(3)k to obtain k+3 system of equation

$$y(x_{n+j}, u) = y_{n+j}, j = 0(2)$$
 (4)

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(y(x_{n+j},u)) = f_{n+j}, j = 0(2)k$$
(5)

Equations (2) and (3) lead to a system of 3k system equations which is solved by Cramer's rule to obtain $a'_{j}s$. Our continuous CTMSO is constructed by substituting the values of $a'_{j}s$ into equation (2). After some algebraic manipulation, the CTMSO is expressed in the form

$$y(x) = \alpha_n(x, w) + \alpha_{n+2}(x, v) + h^2(\beta_n(x, w)f_n + \beta_{n+1}(x, w)f_{n+1} + \beta_{n+2}(x, w)f_{n+2} + \beta_{n+3}(x, w)f_{n+2})$$
(6)

where, w is the frequency, $\alpha_n(w, x)$, $\alpha_{n+2}(w, x)$, $\beta_n(w, x)$, $\beta_{n+1}(w, x)$, $\beta_{n+2}(w, x)$, $\beta_{n+3}(w, x)$ are continuous coefficients. The continuous coefficients in Equation (6) is used to generate the method of the form in Equation (2). Thus, evaluating (6) at $x = x_{n+2}$ and letting u = wh, we obtain the coefficients of (2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{3}{2} \\ \beta_0 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sin(u) u^2 + 4\cos(3u)\sin(u)\cos(u) - 4\sin(3u)(\cos(u))^2 + 2\cos(u)\sin(u) + 2\sin(3u)}{w^2\sin(u)(-1 + \cos(u))} \right) \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u^2}{w^2} \right) \\ \beta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{4u^2\cos(u) - u^2 + 6\cos(u) - 6}{w^2(-1 + \cos(u))} \right) \\ \beta_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\cos(u) + u^2 - 2}{w^2(-1 + \cos(u))} \right) \end{aligned}$$
(7)

The frequency flow of (6) can be shown below



Figure 1: 3D plot Frequency for the method

3 Error Analysis and Stability

3.1 Local Truncation Error

The Taylor series is used for small values of u (see Simos (1998). Thus the coefficients in equation (7) can be expressed as

$$\beta_{0} = \frac{1}{24}u^{2}w^{2} + \frac{1}{480}\frac{u^{4}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{12096}\frac{u^{6}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{345600}\frac{u^{8}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{10644480}\frac{u^{10}}{w^{2}} + \frac{691}{237758976000}\frac{u^{12}}{w^{2}}$$

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}})$$

$$\beta_{2} = \frac{7}{8}\frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}} - \frac{1}{160}\frac{u^{4}}{w^{2}} - \frac{1}{4032}\frac{u^{6}}{w^{2}} - \frac{1}{115200}\frac{u^{8}}{w^{2}} - \frac{1}{3548160}\frac{u^{10}}{w^{2}} - \frac{691}{79252992000}\frac{u^{12}}{w^{2}}$$

$$\beta_{3} = \frac{1}{12}\frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{240}\frac{u^{4}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{6048}\frac{u^{6}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{172800}\frac{u^{8}}{w^{2}} + \frac{1}{5322240}\frac{u^{10}}{w^{2}} + \frac{691}{118879488000}\frac{u^{12}}{w^{2}}$$
(8)

For practical computations when u is small, it is advisable to use the series expansion (8). Thus the Local Truncation Error for method (7) subject to equation (8) is obtained as

Local Truncation Error for CTMSO

$$\frac{h^6}{12096}(w^2 y^{(4)}(x_n) + y^6(x_n)) + 0^8$$
$$\frac{-h^6}{4032}(w^2 y^{(4)}(x_n) + y^6(x_n)) + 0^8$$
$$\frac{h^6}{6048}(w^2 y^{(4)}(x_n) + y^6(x_n)) + 0^8$$

The local truncation error are $(\frac{1}{12096}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{4032}, \frac{1}{6048})$ and it has at lease order of order 4

Remark 1. The CTMSO (12) is consistent as it has order p > 1 and zero-stable, hence it is convergent since zero stability + consistency = convergence

3.2 Stability

Proposition 1. The trigonometrically-fitted second derivative method (7) is applied to a test equation $y'' = -\lambda^2 y$, where λ is a real constant (see Jator et al. (2013)), it yields

$$y_{n+2} = M(\gamma^2; u)y_{n+1}, \gamma = h\lambda; u = kh$$
(9)

with

$$M(\gamma^{2}; u) = \frac{A_{0} + \gamma^{2} \beta_{0}}{A_{1} - \gamma^{2} \beta_{1}}$$
(10)

where the matrix $M(\gamma^2; u)$ is the amplification matrix which determines the stability of the method.

Proof. We begin by applying (7) to the test equation $y'' = \lambda^2 y$ respectively, by letting $\gamma = h\lambda, u = kh$, we obtain a linear equation which is used to solve for y_{n+2} with (10) as consequence.

Definition 1. A region of stability is a region in the $\gamma - u$ plane, in which the rational function $|M(\gamma; u)| \leq 1$

Definition 2. The method (7) is zero stable provided the root of the first characteristics polynomial have modulus less than or equal to unity and those of modulus unity are simple (Lambert (1973)).

Definition 3. Method (7) is consistent if it has order p > 1 (Nwagne and Jator (2017)) The trigonometrically-fitted second derivative method is consistent as it has order p > 1 and zero stable, hence convergent. Since Convergence =Zero stability + consistency

The method is zero stable provided the roots R_j , j = 1; 2; 3 of the first characteristic polynomial $\rho(R)$ specified by $\rho(R) = 2r^2 - 3r + 1 = 0$ for $r = \frac{-1}{2}, 1, 1$ and the multiplicity does not exceed 1 (see Obarhua and Adegboro (2021)).



Figure 2: 2D plot for Zero Stability CTMSO



Linear Stability and Region of Absolute Stability of the Method

Figure 3: Region of Absolute Stability of the method

4 Numerical Examples

The performance and accuracy of the newly developed CTMSO are reviewed in this part for a number of well-known oscillatory IVPs, both linear and nonlinear situations. For the computation, the fitting frequency of each problem is utilized as the default frequency. The approximation solutions' absolute errors or maximum errors are estimated and compared to results from existing approaches in the literature. r(-t) represents an error of the kind $r * 10^{-t}$. All calculations were completed using written Maple 2016.1 code and the Windows 8.1 operating system.

Example 1

$$y'' = -100y + 99sin(x)$$
$$y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 11, x \in [0, 1000], h = \frac{1}{3200}, w = 5000$$

where the analytical solution is given by

$$y(x) = \cos 10x + \sin(10x) + \sin x$$

This requires only 3N + 1 function evaluations in N steps compared to 3N + 1and 4N function evaluation in N,For instance, if we let n = 0 in the continuous scheme, then y_1 is obtained on the sub interval $[x_0; x_1]$, as y_0 is obtained from the IVP, in a similar way, if we let $n = 1, y_2$ is obtained on the subinterval $[x_1; x_2]$, as y_1 is known from the previous computation and so on until we reach the final subinterval $[x_{N-1}; x_N]$. Hence this methods performs better.

Example 2

Consider the Scalar test equation

$$y'' = -w^2 y, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 0, w = 10, h = \frac{\pi}{200}$$

Exact y(x) = coswxExample 3

$$y'' = -w^2 y, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = -2, w = 10, h = \frac{\pi}{200}$$

GSJ© 2022 www.globalscientificjournal.com Exact y(x) = sinwxExample 4 Linear Kramarz problem.

$$y'' = \begin{pmatrix} 2498 & 4998\\ -2499 & -4999 \end{pmatrix} y(t), y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, y'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \le t \le 100$$

Exact solution: $(2cos(t), -sin(t))^t$ Example 5

Almost Periodic Problem) Van de Vyver

$$y_1'' = y_1 + \frac{1}{1000}\cos(x), y_1(0) = 1, y_1'(0) = 0$$
$$y_2'' = y_2 + \frac{1}{1000}\sin(x), y_2(0) = 0, y_2'(0) = 0.9995, x_{end} = 10$$

with the theoretical solution: $y_1(x) = cos(x) + 0.0005xsin(x), y_2(x) = sin(x) - 0.0005cos(x)$

Example 6

$$t_1'' = -2 + 2osx, 0 \le x \le 1$$

Exact solution: t(x) = cos(x) + xsin(x)

Example 7

Resonance Vibration of a Machine

A stamping machine applies hammering forces on metal sheets by a die attached to the plunger which moves vertically up and down by a fly wheel makes the impact force on the metal sheet and therefore the supporting base, intermittent and cyclic. The bearing base on which the metal sheet is situated has a mass, M = 2000kg. The force acting on the base follows a function: f(t) = 2000sin(10t), in which t=time in seconds. The base is supported by an elastic pad with an equivalent spring constant $k = 2 * 10^5 N/M$. Determine the differential equation for the instantaneous position of the base y(t) if the base is initially depressed down by an amount 0.1m.

Solution: The mass- spring system above is modeled as differential equation:

The Bearing base mass = 2000kg Spring constant $k = 2 * 10^5 N/m$ Force (ma) on the metal sheet= $m \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = my''$ i.e. ma = my'' = 2000 sin(10t); where a = y''Initial conditions on the system are $y(t_0) = y_0; \frac{dy}{dt} | t = 0 = y'(t_0) = y'(0); t_0 = 0, y'_0 = 0.1$ Therefore, the governing equation for the instantaneous position of the base y(t)

is given by

$$My'' + ky = F(t); y(t_0) = y_0, y'(t_0) = y'0$$

Theoretical solution: $y(t) = \frac{1}{10}cos10t + \frac{1}{200}sin10t - \frac{t}{20}cos10t$

Table 1: Comparison of the new error with Simon (1998), Ngwane and Jator (2017)

Ν	Simon (1998)	Ngwane and Jator	new method
		(2017)	CTMSO
1000	1.4e - 1	2.14e - 1	2.1e - 12
2000	3.4 - 2	5.98e - 5	1.6e - 12
4000	1.1e - 3	2.06e - 5	9.8e - 13
8000	8.4e - 5	1.26e - 6	6.5e - 13
16000	5.5e - 6	7.79e - 8	3.7e - 13
32000	-	4.67e - 9	5.3e - 14

Table 1 shows the comparison for computed error for CTMSO, error signifies the efficiency of the new method solved with problem 1.



Figure 4: Efficiency curve for example 1

х	Ali Shorki (2014),	CTMSO
	p = 5	p = 4
5π	2.3659e - 04	9.19640760e - 07
10π	5.1547e - 04	9.55693810e - 07
15π	6.2689e - 04	4.62577449e - 07
20π	8.3654e - 04	5.60483146e - 07
20π	8.3654e - 04	5.60483146e - 07

Table 2: Comparison of the new error with Ali Shorki (2014) for problem 2

Table 2 Error comparison for the new method CTMSO which signifies the accuracy of the new method solved with problem 2 over existing method.



Table 5. Result of problem 5 for CTMSC , $n = \frac{1}{200}$ of $p = 4$					
х	y-exact	y-computed	Errors		
5π	0.999876521928723	0.999877444367191	9.22438468e - 07		
10π	0.999506118208559	0.999507076711142	9.58502583e - 07		
15π	0.998888880312983	0.998893520728652	4.64041567e - 06		
20π	0.998024960672684	0.998030590827594	5.63015491e - 06		
25π	0.996914572637924	0.996920306632039	5.73399411e - 07		
30π	0.995557990425848	0.995567466109030	947568318e - 06		

Table 3: Result of problem 3 for CTMSO , $h = \frac{\pi}{200}$ of p = 4

Table 3 shows the computed result for CTMSO, error signifies the accuracy of the new method solved with problem 3.

Table 4: Comparison of the new error with Nguyen et al. (2012), Nwagne and Jator (2017), Adeniran and Longe (2017).

x	Nguyen.	X	Ngwane and Jator	Adeniran and	CTMSO
	et $al(2007)$		(2014)	Longe (2017)	
73		10	1.3e - 15	5.9e - 15	2.20e - 17
143	9.0 - 12	43	8.4e - 15	1.1e - 15	1.20e - 17
170	3.7e - 12	80	7.1e - 15	0	8.10e - 18

Table 4 shows the comparison table, error for the new method CTMSO signifies the accuracy of the new method solved with problem 4 over existing method.



Figure 6: Efficiency Curve for example 4

Table 5: Comparison of the new error with Denba e tal.(2015), Senu (2009), Van de Vyver (2006), Anastassi and Kosti (2016)

())			
Ν	METHOD	FCN	MAXE
10	CTMSO	40	1.00041315e - 6
22	Demba (2017)	88	1.894082e - 1
146	Senu (2009)	584	5.907771e - 4
215	Anastassi and Kosti (2016)	1290	7.375736e - 1
578	Van de Vyver (2006)	2315	2.175738e - 1
20	CTMSO	80	8.10309863e - 7
49	Demba (2017)	196	1.246609e - 3
363	Senu (2009)	1452	1.215852e - 5
825	Anastassi and Kosti (2016)	4955	5.685758e - 2
2901	Van de Vyver (2006)	11610	2.175738e - 1
60	CTMSO	240	1.26020827e - 7
230	Demba (2017)	920	1.303536e - 6
1821	Senu (2009)	7284	1.826680e - 8
3180	Anastassi and Kosti (2016)	19090	3.817832e - 3
29131	Van de Vyver (2006)	116536	8.569318e - 5
80	CTMSO	320	8.9999990e - 8
574	Demba (2017)	2296	1.303536e - 6
4574	Senu (2009)	18296	1.826680e - 8
24548	Anastassi and Kosti (2016)	147308	3.817832e - 3
292676	Van de Vyver (2006)	1170722	8.569318e - 5

Table 5 above shows the comparison table, error for the new method CTMSO signifies the efficiency of the new method solved with problem 4 over existing method.



Figure 7: Efficiency Curve for example 5

Table 6: Comparison of the new error with Ismail (2021), Adeyeye and Omar (2017)Kuboye and Omar (2015)

N	METHOD	MAXE
0.01	CTMSO	e + 00
0.01	Ismail (2021)	2.212510e - 16
0.01	Adeyeye and $Omar$ (2017)	8.881784e - 15
0.01	Kuboye and Omar (2015)	1.428607e - 11

Table 6 above shows the comparison table, error for the new method CTMSO signifies the efficiency of the new method solved with problem 6 over existing method.

N	y-exact	y-computed	CTMSO
			$\max y_i - y(x_i) $
0.01	0.0999999500016710	0.0999998999887522	5.001291880e - 08
0.02	0.0999998000134000	0.0999995999588829	2.000545171e - 07
0.03	0.0999995500453379	0.9999909992110980	4.501242281e - 07
0.04	0.0999992001077328	0.0999983995987475	8.005089850e - 07
0.05	0.0999982003653984	0.9999749875707910	1.251453858e - 06
0.06	0.0999982003653984	0.0999963975445895	1.802820808e - 06
0.07	0.0999975505816685	0.0999950956725539	2.454909110e - 06
0.08	0.0999968008703942	0.0999935928127285	3.208057660e - 06
0.09	0.0999959512423277	0.9999188924400080	4.061998320e - 06
0.10	0.0999950017083162	0.0999899846564115	5.017051900e - 06

Table 7: Table for problem 7, showing the accuracy of the new method

Table 7 shows the computed result for the new method, error signifies the efficiency of the new method solved with problem 7.

5 CONCLUSION

A non self-stating Continuous Trigonometrically-fitted Discrete technique for solving periodic IVPs with an algebraic 4 order is presented. The methods' convergence and accuracy were established, and the approach was evaluated with several standard oscillatory second order ordinary differential equations problems, where it was shown to be accurate and compare favorably to other ways in literature, as shown in Tables 1-7 above. Example 3 does not have any contest. All computations were carried out using written codes in Maple 2016. and executed on Windows 8.1 operating system.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

 Adeniran A.O. and Longe I.O. (2017): One Step Trigonometrically-fitted Third Derivative Method with Oscillatory Solutions; Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science 25(6): 1-7,

- [2] Adeniran, A.O. and Ogundare, B.S. (2015). An efficient hybrid numerical scheme for solving general second order initial value problems (IVPs). International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research, 4(2):411-419
- [3] Adeyeye, O., Omar, Z. (2017): Hybrid block method for direct numerical approximation of second 185 order initial value problems using taylor series expansions. Am J Appl Sci 14, 309-315.
- [4] Agbeboh,G.U and Omonkaro, B (2009): On the solution of singular initial value problems in ordinary differential equations using a new third order inverse Runge-Kutta method; International Journal of Physical Sciences Vol. 5 (4), pp. 299-307, April 2010
- [5] Ali Shorki (2014): The Symmetric P-Stable Hybrid Obrenchkoff Methods for the numerical solution of second OrderIVPS. J. Pure.Appl. Math.5(1), 28-35.
- [6] Allogmany R. and Ismail F. (2021):Direct Solution of u = f(t, u, u') Using Three Point Block Method of Order Eight with Applications Journal of King Saud University - Science (2021), doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101337
- [7] Anastassi Z. and Kosti A. (2016): A 6(4) optimized embedded Runge-Kutta- Nystrom pair for the numerical solution of periodic problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 275 311-320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.07.016
- [8] Chan R.P., Leone P. and Tsai A. (2014), Order Conditions and Symmetry for Two-Step Hybrid Methods, Int. J. Comp. Math. 81 1519.
- [9] Demba, M.A,Senu N. and Ismail F. (2017), An embedded 4(3) pair of explicit trigonometrically-fitted Runge-Kutta- Nystrom method for solving periodic initial value problems Applied Mathematical Sciences Â.
- [10] Gholamtabar S. and Parandin N. (2014) Numerical Solutions of Second-Order Differential Equations by Adam Bashforth Method, American J. of Engi. Research 3 318.

- [11] Kayode S.J.and Adegboro J.O. (2018): Predictor-Corrector Linear Multistep Method for Direct Solution of Initial Value Problems of Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations; Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences 6(1): 1-9,
- [12] Jain, M.K., Iyengar, S.R.K. and Jain, R,K. (2012): Numerical methods for scientific and engineering computation sixth edition New Age International Publishers.
- [13] J. J. Kohfeld and G. T. Thompson (1967); Multistep methods with modified predictors and correctors J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 14 155-166.
- [14] Kayode, S.J.(2009): A zero stable method for direct solution of fourth order ordinary differential equations, American Journal of Applied Sciences, 5(11):1461-1466.
- [15] Hritonenko, N.and Yatsenko, Y.(2009): Mathematical modeling in Economy, ecology and environment
- [16] Henri, P., (1962), Discrete Variable Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations. John Wiley and Sons.
- [17] Jator, S. N and Li, J. (2009), A self stationary linear multistep method for a direct solution of the general second order Initial value problem. Inter. Journal of computer Math. 86(5), 817-836.
- [18] Jator, S. N and Leong L. (2014), Implementing a seventh- order linear multistep method in a predictor-corrector mode or block mode:which is ore efficient for the general second order initial value problem,
- [19] Jator, S. N., Swindell, S., and French, R. D. (2013), Trigonmetrically Fitted Block Numerov Type Method for y'' = f(x, y, y') Numer Algor, 62, 13-26.
- [20] Kuboye J.and Omar, Z., (2015): Derivation of a six-step block method for direct solution of second order ordinary differential equations. Math. Comput. Appl. 20, 151?159.

- [21] Lambert, J. D., (1973), Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations. John Wiley, New York.
- [22] Omar, Z and Suleiman, M. (2003), Parallel R-Point implicit block method for solving higher order ordinary differential equation directly. Journal of ICT. 3(1), 53 - 66.
- [23] Monovasilis T., Kalogiratou Z, and Simos T.E. (2013): Exponentially-fitted symplectic Runge-Kutta-Nystr "om methodsAppl. Math. Inf. Sci, 7 (2013), 81-85.
- [24] Ngwane F.F. and Jator S.N. (2017): A Trigonometrically Fitted Block Method for Solving Oscillatory Second-Order Initial Value Problems and Hamiltonian Systems, International Journal of Differential Equations Volume 2017, Article ID 9293530, 14 pages
- [25] Ngwane F.F. and Jator S.N. (2014): Trigonometrically-fitted second derivative method for oscillatory problems, Ngwane and Jator SpringerPlus 2014, 3:304 http://www.springerplus.com/content/3/1/304
- [26] Ngwane F.F. and Jator S.N.(2013) Solving Oscillatory Problems Using a Block Hybrid Trigonometrically-Fitted Method with Two Off-Step Points;Ninth MSU-UAB Conference on Differential Equations and Computational Simulations. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Conference 20 (2013), pp. 119132.
- [27] Nguyen H.S., Sidje R. B. and Cong N.H.(2007): Analysis of trigonometric implicit Runge-Kutta methods, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 198 187-207.
- [28] Panopoulos G.A. and Simos T.E. (2014): A New Optimized Symmetric Embedded Predictor-Corrector Method (EPCM) for Initial-Value Problems with Oscillatory Solutions, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 8, No. 2, 703-713 (2014).
- [29] Simon T. (1998) An exponential-fitted Runge-Kutta method for the numerical integration of initial value problem with periodic or oscillating solutions. Comput. Appl Math.;132:95-105.

- [30] Taiwo O.A. and Ogundana O.M.(2008): Numerical solution of fourth order linear ordinary differential equations by Cubic Spline collocation Tau method
- [31] Senu N. (2009): Runge-Kutta-Nystrom Methods for the Solutions of Oscillatory Differential Equations PhD. Diss., Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science UPM, 43400 Serdang, Malaysia.
- [32] Van de Vyver H. (2005) A symplectic exponentially-fitted modified Runge-Kutta-NystrÄ, m method for the numerical solution of orbital problems, New Astronomy, 10, 261- 269.
- [33] Van de Vyver H. (2006): An embedded exponentially-fitted Runge-Kutta- Nystrom method for the numerical solution of orbital problems, New Astronomy, 11, 577- 587.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2006.03.001
- [34] Yakusak N.S. and Adeniyi R.B. (2015): A Four-Step Hybrid Block Method for First Order Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations, Vol. 52; no 1; pp 17-30