



# Application of Picture Uncertainty Soft Relations in Multi- attribute Decision Making

P.Jayaraman \*

Assistant Professor

Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Bharathiar University,  
Coimbatore - 641 046, India.

K.Vetrikkani †

Research Scholar

Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Bharathiar University,  
Coimbatore - 641 046, India.

A.Selvakumar ‡

Research Scholar

Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Bharathiar University,  
Coimbatore - 641 046, India.

R.Nagarajan §

Associate professor

J.J. college of Engineering & Technology  
Department of Mathematics,  
Trichrappalli 620009, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we first analyse picture Uncertainty soft Collection (PUSC) and discuss some of their relevant operations such as sub-

---

\*E.mail: jrmsathya@gmail.com. (P.Jayaraman)

†E.mail: kathamuthuveltri@gmail.com.(K.Vetrikkani)

‡E.mail: selvabed@gmail.com.(A.Selvakumar)

§E.mail: rajenagarajan1970@gmail.com.(R.Nagarajan)

set, equal, complement, AND, OR... and so on. We investigate some theorems on picture Uncertainty soft Collection based on union and intersection with counter examples. Also we proved a necessary and sufficient condition for the dual laws of PFSS theory. Finally, we then introduce an algorithm based on relational picture Uncertainty soft matrix to solve decision making problems.

**Key words:** Uncertainty collection, soft collection, Uncertainty soft collection, picture Uncertainty soft collection, subset, equal, AND, OR, complement, dual law and decision making.

## 1 Introduction

A Uncertainty collection was first introduced by Zadeh [23] and then the Uncertainty collection have been used in their consideration of classical mathematics. Yuan et al. [22] introduced the concept of Uncertainty subgroup with thresholds. A Uncertainty subgroup with thresholds  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  is also called a  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Uncertainty subgroup. A. Solairaju and R. Nagarajan introduced the concept of structures of Q- Uncertainty groups [19]. A. Solairaju and R. Nagarajan studied some structural properties of upper Q- Uncertainty index order, with upper Q- Uncertainty subgroups [20]. Such in accuracies are associated with the membership function that belongs to  $[0,1]$ . Through membership function, we obtain information which makes possible for us to reach the conclusion. The Uncertainty collection theory becomes a strong area of making observations in different areas like medical science, social sciences, engineering, management sciences, artificial intelligence, robotics, computer networks, decision making and so on. Due to unassociated sorts of unpredictability occurring in different areas of life like economics, engineering, medical sciences, management sciences, psychology, sociology, decision making and Uncertainty set as noted and often effective mathematical instruments have been offered to make, be moving in and grip those unpredictability. Since the establishment of Uncertainty collection, several extensions have been made such as Atanassov's ([3], [4], [5], [6]) work on bifuzzy Uncertainty collection (IUSC) was quite re-

markable as he extended the idea of USs by assigning non-membership degree say "N(x)" along with membership degree say "P(x)" with condition that  $0 \leq P(x) + N(x) \leq 1$ . Strengthening the idea IFS suggest pythagorean Uncertainty sets which somehow enlarge the space of positive membership and negative membership by introducing some new condition that  $0 \leq P^2(x) + N^2(x) \leq 1$ . Molodtsov [14] discussed the concept of soft collections that can be seen as a new mathematical theory for dealing with probability. The soft collection theory has been cited to various different fields with great success. Maji.et.al. ([8],[9][10]) worked on theoretical study of soft collections in detail, and presented an application of soft collection in the decision making problem using the reduction of rough sets.  $N$ -picture Uncertainty soft collections studied in [12,13]. Recently, Cuong [7] proposed picture Uncertainty collection (PUC) and investigated the some basic operations and properties of PUS. The picture Uncertainty collection is characterized by three functions expressing the degree of membership, the degree of neutral membership and the degree of non-membership. The only constraint is that the sum of the three degrees must not exceed 1. Basically, PUS based models can be applied to situation requiring human opinions involving more answers of types: yes, abstain, no, refusal, which can't be accurately expressed in the traditional UC and IUS. Until now, some progress has been made in the research of the PUC theory. Singh [17] investigated the correlation coefficients for picture Uncertainty collection and apply the correlation coefficient for clustering analysis with picture Uncertainty information. Son [18] introduce several novel Uncertainty clustering algorithms on the basis of picture Uncertainty sets and applications to time series forecasting and weather forecasting. In this paper, we study picture Uncertainty soft collections (PUSC) and discuss some of their relevant operations such as subset, equal, complement, AND, OR... and so on. We investigate some theorems on picture Uncertainty soft collections based on union and intersection with counter examples. Also we proved a necessary and sufficient condition for the dual laws of PUSC theory. Finally, we then introduce an algorithm based on relational picture Uncertainty soft matrix to solve problems aspected with certain conditions.

## 2 Preliminaries and Basic Concepts

### 2.1 Definition

Let the universal collection be  $R \neq \phi$ . Then  $A = \{ \langle r, P_{A(r)} \rangle / r \in R \}$  is said to be a Uncertainty collection of  $R$ , where  $P_A : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . is said to be the membership degree of  $r$  in  $R$ .

### 2.2 Example

Let  $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5\}$  be the reference set of students. Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the Uncertainty collection of " smart students" where 'smart' is Uncertainty term.  $\tilde{A} = \{ \langle r_1, 0.1 \rangle, \langle r_2, 0.4 \rangle, \langle r_3, 0.7 \rangle, \langle r_4, 1 \rangle, \langle r_5, 0.9 \rangle \}$ . Here  $\tilde{A}$  indicates that the smartness of  $r_1$  is 0.1 and so on.

### 2.3 Definition

A pair  $(\delta, A)$  is said to soft collection over  $R$ , where  $F$  is a function given by  $F : A \rightarrow P(R)$ .

### 2.4 Example

Suppose  $R = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6\}$  be the the collection of six houses and  $E = \{Expensive(e_1), Beautiful(e_2), Wooden(e_3), Cheap(e_4)\}$  then the soft set  $(\delta, E)$  is  $(\delta, E) = \{ \{h_2, h_4\} \{h_1, h_3\}, \{h_3, h_4, h_5\}, \{h_1, h_3, h_5\} \}$  where each approximation has two parts

- (i) A predicate, P;
- (ii) An approximate value collection V.

$R$	$Expensive(e_1)$	$Beautiful(e_2)$	$Wooden(e_3)$	$Cheap(e_4)$
$h_1$	0	1	0	1
$h_2$	1	0	0	0
$h_3$	0	1	1	1
$h_4$	1	0	1	0
$h_5$	0	0	1	1
$h_6$	0	0	0	0

thus, a sof set  $(\delta, E) = \{P_1 = V_1, P_2 = V_2, P_3 = V_3, \dots P_n = V_n\}$ .

## 2.5 Definition

Let  $I^R$  denote the collection of all Uncertainty collections on  $X$  and  $A \subset E$ . A pair  $(\delta, A)$  is called Uncertainty soft collection over  $R$ , where  $\delta : A \rightarrow I^R$ . that is, for each  $a \in A$ ,  $\delta_a : A \rightarrow I^R$  is the Uncertainty collection on  $R$ .

## 2.6 Example

Let  $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5\}$  be a universal collection and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$  be a set of parameters. If  $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_4\} \subseteq E$ .  $P_A(e_1) = \{0.2/r_2, 0.3/r_4\}$ ,  $P_A(e_2) = R$   $P_A(e_4) = \{0.5/r_1, 0.7/r_3, 0.9/r_5\}$  then the Uncertainty soft collection  $\delta_A$  is defined as  $\delta_A = \{r_1, (0.2/r_2, 0.3/r_4), (r_2, U), (r_4, (0.5/r_1, 0.7/r_3, 0.9/r_5))\}$ .

## 2.7 Definition

Let the universe collection be  $R \neq \phi$ . Then the collection  $A = \{ \langle r, P_{A(r)}, I_{A(r)}, N_{A(r)} \rangle / r \in R \}$  is said to be a picture Uncertainty collection of  $R$ , where  $P_A : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $I_A : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $N_A : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are said to be the degree of  $r$  in  $R$  and the positive membership degree of  $r$  in  $R$ , and the neutral membership degree of  $r$  in  $R$ , and the negative membership degree of  $r$  in  $R$  respectively. Also  $P_{(A)}, I_{(A)}, N_{(A)}$  satisfy the following condition:  $(\forall r \in R)$   $(0 \leq P_{A(r)} + I_{A(r)} + N_{A(r)} < 1)$ . Then for  $r \in R$ ,  $\pi_{A(r)} = 1 - \{P_{A(r)} + I_{A(r)} + N_{A(r)}\}$  could be called the degree of refusal membership of  $r$  in  $R$ . Clearly, if  $(r \in R)$ ,  $\pi_{A(r)} = 0$ , then  $A$  will be generated to be a standard bifuzzy Uncertainty collection. If  $(\forall r \in R)$ ,  $I_{A(r)} = 0$  and  $\Pi_{A(r)} = 0$ , then  $A$  will be generated to be a classical Uncertainty collection. Let  $\Pi_{A(r)}$  denote the collection of all Uncertainty collections of  $R$ . For the sake of simplicity picture Uncertainty collection is denoted by PUC.

## 2.8 Example

Basically, the model picture Uncertainty collection may be adequate in situations when we face human opinions involving more answers of type: (i) Yes (ii) abstain (iii) No (iv) Refusal. Voating can be a good example of such a situation as the human voters may be class into four groups of those who: (i)

Vote for (ii) abstain (iii) Vote against (iv) Refusal of the voting.

## 2.9 Definition

For  $A, B \in PF(R)$ , define

$$(i) A \subseteq B \iff P_{A(r)} \leq P_{B(r)}, I_{A(r)} \leq I_{B(r)} \text{ and } N_{A(r)} \leq N_{B(r)}.$$

$$(ii) A = B \iff A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \subseteq A.$$

$$(iii) A \cup B = \{(r, \max\{P_{A(r)}, P_{B(r)}\}), \min\{I_{A(r)}, I_{B(r)}\}, \min\{N_{A(r)}, N_{B(r)}\}\} / r \in R\}.$$

$$(iv) A \cap B = \{(r, \min\{P_{A(r)}, P_{B(r)}\}), \min\{I_{A(r)}, I_{B(r)}\}, \max\{N_{A(r)}, N_{B(r)}\}\} / r \in R\}.$$

$$(v) A^C = \{(r, N_{A(r)}, I_{A(r)}, P_{A(r)}) / r \in R\}.$$

## 2.10 Proposition

Let  $A, B, C \in PF(R)$ . Then

$$(i) \text{ If } A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \subseteq C, \text{ then } A \subseteq C$$

$$(ii) (A^C)^C = A$$

(iii) Operations  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  are commutative, associative and distributive.

(iv) Operations  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  satisfy demorgons Laws.

## 3 Properties of Picture Uncertainty Soft Collections

In this part, we study the idea of PUSC and definition some relevant operation on a PUSC, namely subset, equal, complement, AND, OR and so on. Now we propose the definition of a PUSC and we will see illustrative example it.

### 3.1 Definition

Let  $R$  be an initial universe collection and  $E$  a collection of parameters. By a picture Uncertainty soft collection (PUSC) over  $R$  we mean a pair  $(\delta, A)$  where  $A \subseteq E$  and  $\delta$  is a mapping given by  $\delta : A \rightarrow PF(R)$ .

### 3.2 Example

Consider a PUSC  $(\delta, A)$  over  $R$ , where  $R = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}$  is the collection of four cases under consideration of a decision making to purchase, and  $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is the collection of parameters where  $e_1$  students for the parameter "Cheap"  $e_2$  students for the parameter "Beautiful" and  $e_3$  students for the parameter "Good location" the PUSC  $(\delta, A)$  describes the "attractiveness of the house" to this decision maker. Suppose that

$R$	$Cheap(e_1)$	$Beautiful(e_2)$	$Goodlocation(e_3)$
$h_1$	(0.6, 0.3, 0.1)	(0.3, 0.5, 0.2)	(0.7, 0.5, 0.4)
$h_2$	(0.1, 0.4, 0.6)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.5)	(0.1, 0.7, 0.9)
$h_3$	(0.3, 0.7, 0.9)	(0.4, 0.6, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.5, 0.7)
$h_4$	(0.2, 0.5, 0.8)	(0.5, 0.7, 0.9)	(0.3, 0.5, 0.7)

For convenience of explanation, we can also represent PUSC  $(\delta, A)$  which is described in the above matrix form as follows:

$$(\delta, A) = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ \begin{matrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.6, 0.3, 0.1) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.7, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) & (0.3, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.1, 0.7, 0.9) \\ (0.3, 0.7, 0.9) & (0.4, 0.6, 0.7) & (0.2, 0.5, 0.7) \\ (0.2, 0.5, 0.8) & (0.5, 0.7, 0.9) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.7) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

### 3.3 Definition

Let  $(\delta, A)$  and  $(\Delta, B)$  be two Picture Uncertainty soft collection's (PUSC's) over  $U$ . Then  $(\delta, A)$  is said to be a picture Uncertainty soft subset of  $(\Delta, B)$ , denoted by  $(\delta, A) \subseteq (\Delta, B)$  if

- (i)  $A \subseteq B$  and
- (ii)  $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$  for all  $e \in A$ .

### 3.4 Example

Let  $U = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ . Suppose  $(\delta, A)$  and  $(\Delta, B)$  are two Picture Uncertainty soft set's (PUSC's) over  $U$  given by  $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  and  $B = \{e_1, e_2, e_4, e_5\}$ .

$$(\delta, A) = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ C_1 & (0.3, 0.2, 0.1) & (0.2, 0.7, 0.3) & (0.1, 0.5, 0.1) \\ C_2 & (0.2, 0.2, 0.3) & (0.8, 0.6, 0.1) & (0.1, 0.6, 0.7) \\ C_3 & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.7, 0.3, 0.2) & (0.2, 0.3, 0.1) \\ C_4 & (0.3, 0.1, 0.2) & (0.3, 0.1, 0.5) & (0.3, 0.7, 0.4) \\ C_5 & (0.2, 0.1, 0.6) & (0.2, 0.3, 0.7) & (0.5, 0.6, 0.2) \end{matrix}$$
  

$$(\Delta, B) = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 & e_4 & e_5 \\ C_1 & (0.6, 0.2, 0.1) & (0.1, 0.2, 0.4) & (0.1, 0.7, 0.6) & (0.7, 0.5, 0.2) \\ C_2 & (0.5, 0.3, 0.2) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.6) & (0.2, 0.7, 0.5) & (0.1, 0.2, 0.3) \\ C_3 & (0.1, 0.3, 0.2) & (0.3, 0.0, 0.7) & (0.3, 0.2, 0.1) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.2) \\ C_4 & (0.1, 0.0, 0.7) & (0.3, 0.7, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.6, 0.2) & (0.7, 0.3, 0.8) \\ C_5 & (0.0, 0.3, 0.1) & (0.1, 0.2, 0.3) & (0.5, 0.7, 0.2) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.5) \end{matrix}$$

then  $\delta_A$  is picture Uncertainty soft subset of  $\Delta_B$ .

### 3.5 Definition

Two PUS collections  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  over  $U$  are called to be picture Uncertainty softset equal, if and only if  $\delta_A$  is a picture Uncertainty soft subset of  $\Delta_B$  and  $\Delta_B$  is a picture Uncertainty soft subset of  $\delta_A$ . That is if  $(\delta, A) \subseteq (\Delta, B)$  and  $(\delta, B) \subseteq (\Delta, A)$  then  $\delta_A = \Delta_B$ .

### 3.6 Definition

Let  $\delta_A$  be a Picture Uncertainty soft collection PUSC over  $U$ . The complement of  $\delta_A$ , denoted by  $\delta_A^C$ , where  $\delta_A^C : A \rightarrow PFS(U)$  is mapping given by  $\delta_{(e)}^C = \{\delta_{(e)}\}^C$  for all  $e \in A$ .

### 3.7 Example

Consider the PUS collection  $\delta_A$  then the complement of  $\delta_A$  is represented as

$$(\delta_A)^C = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ h_1 & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.2, 0.5, 0.3) & (0.4, 0.5, 0.7) \\ h_2 & (0.6, 0.4, 0.9) & (0.5, 0.4, 0.3) & (0.9, 0.7, 0.1) \\ h_3 & (0.9, 0.7, 0.3) & (0.7, 0.6, 0.4) & (0.7, 0.5, 0.2) \\ h_4 & (0.8, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.9, 0.7, 0.5) & (0.7, 0.5, 0.3) \end{matrix}$$



By using the previous idea by Molodtsov, [14] we discuss the AND and OR operation on two PUS collections as proved.

### 3.8 Definition

Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  AND  $\delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cap \delta_B$ .

### 3.9 Example

Let  $U = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ . Take  $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $B = \{e_1, e_3, e_5\}$ , define

$$\delta_A = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 \\ \begin{matrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.2, 0.3, 0.4) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) \\ (0.2, 0.0, 0.8) \\ (0.1, 0.2, 0.4) \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.1, 0.3, 0.4) \\ (0.3, 0.2, 0.1) \\ (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.2, 0.4, 0.1) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_B = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_3 & e_5 \\ \begin{matrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.1, 0.7, 0.6) \\ (0.2, 0.4, 0.3) \\ (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.7, 0.1, 0.8) \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.2, 0.4, 0.7) \\ (0.1, 0.3, 0.8) \\ (0.4, 0.6, 0.2) \\ (0.7, 0.6, 0.3) \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.2, 0.6, 0.7) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) \\ (0.1, 0.0, 0.2) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

Here  $\delta_A \cap \Delta_B = (H, C)$ , where  $C = A \cap B$  and  $\forall e \in C$

$$H(e) = \begin{cases} \delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in A - B \\ \Delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in B - A \\ \delta(e) \cap \Delta(e), & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

in this example

$$\delta_A \cap \Delta_B = (H, C) = \begin{matrix} & e_1 \\ \begin{matrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.2, 0.3, 0.4) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) \\ (0.2, 0.0, 0.8) \\ (0.1, 0.2, 0.4) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

### 3.10 Definition

Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  OR  $\Delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B$ .

$$\delta_A \cup \Delta_B = \begin{matrix} & (e_1, e_1) & (e_1, e_3) & (e_1, e_5) & (e_2, e_1) & (e_2, e_3) & (e_2, e_5) \\ \begin{matrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{matrix} & \left( \begin{matrix} (0.2, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.3, 0.7) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.7) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.4, 0.7) & (0.2, 0.2, 0.3) & (0.1, 0.2, 0.8) & (0.2, 0.2, 0.7) \\ (0.1, 0.0, 0.8) & (0.2, 0.0, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.0, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.1, 0.1, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.2, 0.4) & (0.1, 0.0, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.1, 0.8) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.3) & (0.1, 0.0, 0.2) \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix}$$

Here  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B = (H, C)$ , where  $C=(A \cup B)$  and for all  $e \in C$ .

$$K(e) = \begin{cases} \delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in A - B \\ \Delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in B - A \\ \delta(e) \cup \Delta(e), & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

$$(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B) = (H, C) = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_5 \\ \begin{matrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{matrix} & \left( \begin{matrix} (0.2, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.7) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) \\ (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) & (0.4, 0.2, 0.1) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.8) & (0.2, 0.6, 0.7) \\ (0.0, 0.0, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) & (0.4, 0.3, 0.2) & (0.1, 0.4, 0.6) \\ (0.1, 0.2, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.1) & (0.7, 0.6, 0.3) & (0.1, 0.0, 0.2) \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix}$$

### 3.11 Theorem[Demorgon's Law]

Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  be two PUS collections over  $U$ . Then (i)  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B)^C = \delta_A^C \cup \Delta_B^C$

(ii)  $(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B)^C = \delta_A^C \cap \Delta_B^C$ .

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \text{ Suppose that } (\delta, A) \cap (\Delta, B) &= (K, A \times B) \\
 (\delta, A) \cap (\Delta, B)^C &= (K, A \times B)^C \\
 &= (K^C, A \times B) \\
 \text{Now } (\delta, A)^C \cup (\Delta, B)^C &= (\delta^C, A) \cup (\Delta^C, B) \\
 &= (H, A \times B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Take  $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$ , Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 K^C(\alpha, \beta) &= \{K(\alpha, \beta)\}^C \\
 &= \{\delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)\}^C \\
 &= \delta^C(\alpha) \cup \Delta^C(\beta) \\
 \text{agian } H(\alpha, \beta) &= \delta^C(\alpha) \cup \Delta^C(\beta) \\
 H^C(\alpha, \beta) &= H(\alpha, \beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved

(ii) The result can be proved in a similar way.

### 3.12 Theorem

Union of two PUS collections  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  is a PUS collection.

Proof

We know that, Let  $(\delta_A)$  and  $(\Delta_B)$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  OR  $\Delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B$ . and  $\forall e \in C, e \in A \rightarrow B, \text{ or } e \in B \rightarrow A$ , then  $K(e) = \delta(e)$  or  $K(e) = \Delta(e)$ . So, in either case, we have  $K(e)$  is a picture Uncertainty soft collection. If  $e \in A \cap B$ , for a fixed  $x \in U$  without loss of generality, suppose  $\lambda_{\delta(e)}(x) \leq \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{we have } \lambda_{K(e)}(x) + \mu_{K(e)}(x) + \gamma_{K(e)}(x) &= \\
 \max\{\lambda_{\delta(e)}(x), \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \min\{\mu_{\delta(e)}(x), \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \min\{\gamma_{\delta(e)}(x), \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} \\
 &= \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \min\{\mu_{\delta(e)}(x), \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \min\{\gamma_{\delta(e)}(x), \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} \\
 &\leq \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x) \leq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(K, C)$  is a picture Uncertainty soft collection (PUSC).

Hence the proof.

### 3.13 Theorem

Intersection of two PUS collections  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  is a PFS set.

Proof

we know that, Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  OR  $\Delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B$ . and  $\forall e \in U$ , without loss of generality, suppose  $\lambda_{\delta(e)}(x) \leq \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{we have } \lambda_{K(e)}(x) + \mu_{K(e)}(x) + \gamma_{K(e)}(x) = \\ & \text{Min}\{\lambda_{\delta(e)}(x); \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \text{Max}\{\mu_{\delta(e)}(x), \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \text{Max}\{\gamma_{\delta(e)}(x), \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} \\ & = \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \text{Min}\{\mu_{\delta(e)}(x), \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} + \text{Max}\{\gamma_{\delta(e)}(x), \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x)\} \\ & \leq \lambda_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \mu_{\Delta(e)}(x) + \gamma_{\Delta(e)}(x) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

There fore  $(K, C)$  is a PUS collection. Hence the proof.

### 3.14 Theorem

Let  $\delta_A, \Delta_B$  and  $K_C$  be PUS collections over  $U$ . Then (i)  $\delta_A \cap \delta_B = \delta_A$  (ii)  $\delta_A \cup \delta_A = \delta_A$ .  
 (iii)  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B) = (\Delta_B \cup \delta_A)$  (iv)  $(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B) = (\Delta_B \cap \delta_A)$ .  
 (v)  $(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B) \cup K_C = \delta \cup (\Delta_B \cup K_C)$  (vi)  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B) \cap K_C = \delta \cap (\Delta_B \cap K_C)$ .

Proof

The proofs are strightforward by using the definitions((3.5),(3.8),(3.10)) and Theorem(3.11)

(i) Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  AND  $\delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cap \delta_B$ .

(ii) Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUS collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  OR  $\delta_B$ , denoted by  $\delta_A \cup \delta_B$

(iii) Let  $A, B, C \in PF(R)$ , then (i) If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq C$

(ii)  $(A^C)^C = A$

(iii) Operations  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  are commutative, associative and distributive.

### 3.15 Theorem[Distributive Law]

Let  $\delta_A, \Delta_B$  and  $K_C$  be PUC collections over  $U$ . Then (i)  $\delta_A \cap (\Delta_B \cup K_C) = (\delta_A \cap \Delta_B) \cup (\delta_A \cap K_C)$  (ii)  $\delta_A \cup (\Delta_B \cap K_C) = (\delta_A \cup \Delta_B) \cap (\delta_A \cup K_C)$

Proof

The proofs are straightforward by using the definition((3.5),(3.8),(3.10)) and Theorem(3.14).

(i) Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PFS sets over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  AND  $\delta_B$ , denoted by " $\delta_A \cap \delta_B$ ".

(ii) Let  $\delta_A$  and  $\Delta_B$  are PUC collections over  $U$ . Then  $\delta_A$  OR  $\delta_B$ , denoted by " $\delta_A \cup \delta_B$ "

(iii) Let  $A, B, C \in PF(R)$ , then (iv) If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq C$

(v)  $(A^C)^C = A$

(iii) Operations  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  are commutative, associative and distributive.

### 3.16 Theorem [Dual Law]

Let  $\delta_A, \Delta_B$  and  $K_C$  be PUS collections over  $U$ . Then (i)  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B)^C = \delta_A^C \cup \Delta_B^C$ , if and only if  $A=B$

(ii)  $(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B)^C = \delta_A^C \cap \Delta_B^C$ . iff  $A=B$

Proof

(i) If  $A=B$ , Then we have  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B = \delta_A \cup \Delta_A = (K, A)$ . Now for all  $e \in A$ ,  $K(e) = \delta(e) \cup \Delta(e)$  Hence  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B)^C = (\delta_A \cap \Delta_A)^C = (K, A)^C = (K^C, A)$  and  $K^C(e) = (\delta(e) \cup \Delta(e))^C = \delta^C(e) \cap \Delta^C(e)$ . Again suppose that  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B)^C = (\delta_A \cap \Delta_A)^C = (I, A)^C = (I^C, A) = (I, A)$  for all  $e \in A$ .  $I(e) = \delta^C(e) \cup \Delta^C(e)$  we see that for all  $e \in A$ .  $I(e) = K^C(e)$ . Therefore this result is true.

Conversely, hypotheses  $A \neq B$ . Suppose that  $\delta_A \cup \Delta_B = (K, C)$  where  $C = A \cup B$  and for all  $e \in C$ .

$$K(e) = \begin{cases} \delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in A - B \\ \Delta(e), & \text{if } x e \in B - A \\ \delta(e) \cup \Delta(e), & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

Thus  $(\delta_A \cup \Delta_B)^C = K^C$  and

$$K^C(e) = \begin{cases} \delta^C(e), & \text{if } x e \in A - B \\ \Delta^C(e), & \text{if } x e \in B - A \\ \delta^C(e) \cap \Delta^C(e), & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

Again suppose that  $\delta_A^C \cap \Delta_B^C = (I, J)$ . Where  $J = A \cap B$  and  $\forall e \in J$ .  $I(e) = \delta^C(e) \cap \Delta^C(e)$ . obviously, where  $A \neq B$ , we have  $C = A \cup B \neq A \cap B = J$ , so  $K^C \neq I_J$ . This is contradiction of over condition.  $(\delta_A \cap \Delta_B)^C = \delta_A^C \cup \Delta_B^C$ .

Hence  $A=B$ .

(ii) This result can be proved in a similar way.

### 3.17 Remark

From the above theorem for dual theorem,we know that Demorgon’s laws are invalied for PUS collections with the different parameters collections, but they are true for PUS collections with the identical parameter set.

## 4 Picture Uncertainty Soft Relations and its Decision Making

In this part,we construct picture Uncertainty soft operator and a decision making method on relations.

Now we construct a decision making method on picture Uncertainty soft relation by the following algorithm:

**step-1** Input the picture Uncertainty soft collections  $A$  and  $B$

**step-2** Obtain the picture Uncertainty soft matrix  $R$  corresponding to cartesian product of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

**step-3** Compute the comparision table using the following formula  $P_A(r) + I_A(r) - N_A(r)$ .

**step-4** Select the hight numerical grades from comparison table for each row.

**step-5** Find the solve table which having the following form

$R$	$(x_1, y_1)$	...	...	$(x_n, y_n)$
<i>(Objects)</i>	$h_1$			
<i>(Highestgrade)</i>				

where  $X_n$  denotes the parameter of  $A$  and  $y_n$  denotes the parameter of  $B$ .

**step-6** Compute the solve of each objects by taking the sum of these numerical grades.

**step-7** Find  $m$ , for which  $S_m = \max S_j$ . then  $S_m$  is the hight score,if  $m$  has most then one values,you can choose any one value  $S_j$ .

Now we use this algorithm to find the best choice in decision making system.

### 4.1 Example

Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$  be the set of four cars. Suppose that two friends want to buy a car for a mutual friend among these four cars according to their choice parameters  $E_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} = \{\text{Expensive, moderate, inexpensive}\}$  and  $E_2 = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\} = \{\text{Green, Black, Red}\}$  respectively, then we select a car on the basis of the collections of friends parameters by using the picture Uncertainty soft collection of relation decision making method.

**step-1** We input the picture Uncertainty soft collection  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$A = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.3, 0.6, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.3, 0.6, 0.7) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.7) \\ (0.1, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.3) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.1, 0.3, 0.9) \\ (0.3, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.4, 0.6, 0.7) & (0.3, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.3, 0.7, 0.9) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{and } B = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (0.4, 0.6, 0.7) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.4, 0.4, 0.6) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.9) \\ (0.2, 0.3, 0.5) & (0.3, 0.5, 0.6) & (0.2, 0.4, 0.5) & (0.1, 0.5, 0.9) \\ (0.2, 0.3, 0.4) & (0.2, 0.8, 0.8) & (0.3, 0.6, 0.6) & (0.1, 0.4, 0.7) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

**step-2** we obtain the picture Uncertainty soft matrix  $R$  corresponding to cartesian product of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively

$R$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$u_4$
$(x_1, y_1)$	(0.3, 0.6, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.5)	(0.3, 0.5, 0.7)	(0.3, 0.5, 0.7)
$(x_1, y_2)$	(0.1, 0.45, 0.4)	(0.2, 0.45, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.5, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.45, 0.9)
$(x_1, y_3)$	(0.2, 0.45, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.6, 0.8)	(0.3, 0.6, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.45, 0.7)
$(x_2, y_1)$	(0.1, 0.45, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.4, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.6)	(0.1, 0.4, 0.9)
$(x_2, y_2)$	(0.1, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.1, 0.4, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.5)	(0.1, 0.4, 0.9)
$(x_2, y_3)$	(0.1, 0.3, 0.4)	(0.1, 0.055, 0.8)	(0.2, 0.5, 0.6)	(0.1, 0.35, 0.9)
$(x_3, y_1)$	(0.3, 0.4, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.5, 0.7)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.6)	(0.3, 0.06, 0.9)
$(x_3, y_2)$	(0.2, 0.3, 0.5)	(0.3, 0.055, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.4, 0.5)	(0.3, 0.6, 0.7)
$(x_3, y_3)$	(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)	(0.2, 0.7, 0.8)	(0.3, 0.5, 0.6)	(0.3, 0.6, 0.9)

Table -2 picture Uncertainty soft relational matrix  $R$ .

**Step-3** By using table-1, we compute the comparison table as

$R$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$u_4$
$(x_1, y_1)$	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
$(x_1, y_2)$	-0.15	0.05	0	-0.35
$(x_1, y_3)$	-0.05	0.00	0.2	-0.15
$(x_2, y_1)$	-0.15	-0.1	0.00	-0.4
$(x_2, y_2)$	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	-0.4
$(x_2, y_3)$	0.00	-0.645	0.1	-0.45
$(x_3, y_1)$	0.05	0.00	0.1	-0.54
$(x_3, y_2)$	0.00	-0.345	0.1	0.2
$(x_3, y_3)$	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

Table -2 comparison table (P+I-N).

**step-4** we select the highest numerical grade from step-3 for each row

$R$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$u_4$
$(x_1, y_1)$	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
$(x_1, y_2)$	-0.15	0.05	0	-0.35
$(x_1, y_3)$	-0.05	0.00	0.2	-0.15
$(x_2, y_1)$	-0.15	-0.1	0.00	-0.4
$(x_2, y_2)$	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	-0.4
$(x_2, y_3)$	0.00	-0.645	0.1	-0.45
$(x_3, y_1)$	0.05	0.00	0.1	-0.54
$(x_3, y_2)$	0.00	-0.345	0.1	0.2
$(x_3, y_3)$	0.1	0.1	0.2	-0.745

Table-3 Highest value of each row

**step-5** we find the score table which have the following form

$R$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$u_4$
$(x_1, y_1)$	0.2	-	-	-
$(x_1, y_2)$	-	0.05	-	-
$(x_1, y_3)$	-	-	0.2	-
$(x_2, y_1)$	-	-	0	-
$(x_2, y_2)$	-	-	0.1	-
$(x_2, y_3)$	-	-	0.1	-
$(x_3, y_1)$	-	-	0.1	-
$(x_3, y_2)$	-	-	-	0.2
$(x_3, y_3)$	-	-	0.2	-



Table -4 is score table.

**step-6** we compute the score of each objects by taking the form of numerical grades as;

$$u_1 = 0.2,$$

$$u_2 = 0.05,$$

$$u_3 = 0.2 + 0 + 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.2 = 0.7,$$

$$u_4 = 0.2$$

**step-7** The maximum value of the score value is  $S_j = 0.7$ , so the two friends will select the car with the highest score, hence they will choose car  $u_3$ . with parameter either expensive car with red or expensive car with red.

## 5 Conclusion

We have defined a picture Uncertainty soft collection with some special operations and proved various result based on the picture Uncertainty soft collection. Finally we study the decision making approach for solving picture Uncertainty soft matrix under relational concepts. One can obtain the similar result in fermatean Uncertainty soft collection and pythagonger Uncertainty soft collections.

## References

- [1] S. Ashraf, T. Mahmood, S. Abdullah and Q. Khan, Different approaches to multi-criteria group decision making problems for picture fuzzy environment, Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society, New Series, 2018.
- [2] S. Ashraf, T. Mahmood and Q. Khan, Picture fuzzy linguistic sets and their applications for multi-attribute group decision making problems, The Nucleus 55(2), (2018),pp 66-73.
- [3] K. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61(2), (1994),pp 137-142.
- [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 20, (1986), pp 87-96.
- [5] K. Atanassov, G. Gargov, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 31,(1989), pp 343-349.
- [6] K. Atanassov, Remark on intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 13,(2007), pp 29-32.
- [7] B. Cuong, Picture fuzzy sets- results. part 1, Seminar "Neuro-fuzzy systems with applications", Institute of mathematics, Hanoi, 2013.
- [8] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, fuzzy soft sets, J. fuzzy Math., 9(3) (2001), pp 589-602.
- [9] P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy and R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl., 44 (2002), pp 1077-1083.
- [10] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl., 45 (2003), pp 555-562.
- [11] S. S. A.Pandian P.Puthiyayagam, Process capability analyses based on range with triangular fuzzy numbers.

- [12] S.V.Manemaran and R. Nagarajan, N-Picture fuzzy soft (1,2)-ideal structures, *Journal of Applied Science and Computations*, 5 (11) (2018), pp 971-988.
- [13] S.V.Manemaran and R.Nagarajan, Temporal Generated N-Picture fuzzy soft dimensions via algebraic structures, *Int.jour. research and analytical review*, 5 (4) (2018), pp660-666.
- [14] D.A. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, *comput. math. appl.* 37 (1999), pp 19-31
- [15] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. comput. inform. sci.*, 11 (1982), pp 341-356.
- [16] A.Rosenfeld,fuzzy groups, *J. math. anal. appl*, 35. (1971), pp 512 - 517.
- [17] P. Singh, Correlation coe-cients for picture fuzzy sets, *Journal of intelligent and fuzzy Systems*, 27 (2014), pp.2857-2868.
- [18] ] L. Son, DPFCM: A novel distributed picture fuzzy clustering method on picture fuzzy sets,*Expert system with applications*, 2 (2015), 51-66.
- [19] A.Solairaju and R, Nagarajan, A New structure and constructions of Q-Uncertainty group, *Advances in fuzzy mathematics*, Vol.4, No.1 (2009), pp 23-29.
- [20] A.Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, Some structure properties of upper Q-fuzzy index order with upper Q-fuzzy subgroups, *International journal of open problems and applications*, Vol.3, No.1(2011), pp 21-29.
- [21] C. J. S.Reddy, K. Hemavathi, Right reverse derivations on prime rings. *International journal of research in engineering and technology*, 2(3),(2014) pp 141-144.
- [22] Yuan, X, Zhang, C, Ren, Y: Generalized fuzzy groups and many-valued implications. *fuzzy sets syst.* 138, (2003),pp 205-211.
- [23] L.A.Zadeh, fuzzy sets, *Information and control*(1965),8 pp 338-353.