



CURRICULUM INSIGHTS: 62 ARTICLES THAT REDEFINE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION AND EVALUATION

Rodrigo C. Clarito Jr.

Rodrigo C. Clarito Jr. is currently pursuing a Ph.D. in Education Management at the University of Rizal System-Morong Campus, Philippines, PH-+63-916-540-0733. E-mail: claritorodrigo@gmail.com

He is a dedicated public elementary school teacher specializing in English language instruction and currently serves as the school's research coordinator. With a strong commitment to educational excellence, he has presented his research at various educational conferences, focusing on innovative teaching practices and curriculum development.

KeyWords

Best Practices, Curriculum Development, Curriculum Evaluation, Curriculum Implementation, Curriculum Insights, Curriculum Planning, Educational Research, Instructional Design, Learning Outcomes, Pedagogical Approaches, Standards Alignment, Teaching Strategies.

ABSTRACT

This paper based its discussion on the complications embedded in curriculum planning, development, implementation, and assessment through a close observation of 62 studies. With reference to the core elements related to curriculum development. The nexus between these core components for learning outcomes and demand toward an adaptive framework meeting differentiated student needs and the current industrial requirements. This paper sought to find out findings based on the fact that ideal curriculum models must embrace adaptive, competency-based, sustainable, and personalized factors for effective curriculum models. However, persisting constraints of limited empirical validations of innovative frameworks, resource-related limitations, and lack of integration between disciplines underscore a continued need for adaptation and further improvement. The paper recommended to collaborate among the educators, industry stakeholders, and policymakers to ensure alignment between curriculum development and needs of the labor market to enhance the quality of learning experience through powerful mechanisms of evaluation. Only then will educational institutions succeed in really bettering preparations of students for subsequent further life challenges if these succeed in promoting a proactive, iterative approach for curricular management. Among those implications from the study some of them indicate indispensable characteristics of maintaining curriculum process approaches in innovative ways such to support equitable access in line with appropriate competencies.

MAIN PAPER STARTS HERE...

Introduction

Curriculum is the backbone of the institutions of learning: that is, the designed and directed learning experiences provided in pursuit of specific educational objectives. It describes what a learner is expected to learn in terms of knowledge, skills, and competencies to acquire values and society priorities. Curriculum in contemporary rapid global change faces key challenges in the form of having to be adaptable enough for new technologies, contexts, and demands of an emerging workplace.

Countries all over the world have begun to reassess and redefine their curricular frameworks to ensure that they are relevant and effective. In the Philippine context, the legal foundation for curriculum development is anchored in Republic Act No. 10533, also known as the Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013, which mandates a comprehensive and inclusive curriculum aligned with the needs of 21st-century learners. DepEd Order No. 36, Series 2016 also reinforces how the PQF may guide in making sure the competencies resulting from educational systems are relevant to industries or the market and should follow a competency-based approach for outcomes.

This literature review will summarize insights drawn from 62 articles, combined, that redefine curriculum planning, development, implementation, and evaluation processes. With this synthesis of findings spread across many studies organized on a theme, the paper will offer useful information to curriculum planners and school leaders. This will strive for an integrated understanding of what subtleties go into good curriculum management, so important considerations for the creative development of responsive education frameworks that improve teaching and learning outcomes can be highlighted.

Curriculum Planning

Curriculum planning serves as the foundation for effective education, guiding the development, implementation, and evaluation of teaching programs to meet both student needs and industry standards. Various models have been proposed to enhance curriculum design, each addressing unique aspects of flexibility, alignment with competencies, and sustainability. This paper reviews recent studies on curriculum planning, comparing innovative frameworks and identifying challenges to implementing these models across diverse educational settings. By examining these approaches, this paper seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of effective curriculum planning practices, with insights into future improvements.

Key Components in Planning

Effective curriculum planning encompasses several key components that ensure educational programs meet the diverse needs of students and align with industry standards. One crucial component is flexibility, which Alnaji (2022) emphasizes in his curriculum planning model tailored for Saudi Arabian general education. This model encourages educational institutions to adapt curricula to evolving educational goals and societal needs, allowing for responsiveness in foundational education.

Another critical component is competency alignment, which Tao et al. (2022) address in their decision support framework for undergraduate Supply Chain Management (SCM). This framework calculates the contributions of each course to competency development, facilitating better alignment with industry requirements. Such alignment ensures that students acquire relevant skills that enhance their employability and readiness for the workforce.

Sustainability is also a vital aspect of curriculum planning, especially in rapidly evolving fields like Artificial Intelligence (AI). Chiu and Chai (2020) propose a model rooted in self-determination theory that focuses on fostering student autonomy, competence, and relatedness. This approach not only enhances student engagement but also supports the continuous adaptation of the curriculum to keep pace with technological advancements.

Lastly, personalization in curriculum planning is increasingly recognized as essential. Shao et al. (2021) introduce the PLAN-BERT recommendation system, which offers personalized course recommendations based on student interests and future course offerings. By providing tailored academic paths, this system aids in aligning students' educational experiences with their long-term goals.

Emerging Themes in Curriculum Planning

Several emerging themes have been identified in the literature surrounding curriculum planning. One significant theme is the importance of engagement strategies in lesson planning. Nagro, Fraser, and Hooks (2018) emphasize proactive classroom management and engagement techniques that enhance student participation and facilitate effective curriculum delivery. Their research suggests that when teachers incorporate engagement strategies into their lesson plans, they can better navigate classroom challenges and improve student outcomes.

Another emerging theme is the need for iterative adaptation in curriculum development. As highlighted by Chiu and Chai (2020), the fast-paced changes in technology require curricula to be continuously updated to meet evolving educational needs. This theme underscores the importance of fostering a culture of adaptability within educational institutions, allowing curricula to evolve in response to both technological advancements and shifts in student interests.

Additionally, the theme of interdisciplinary approaches is gaining traction, particularly in personalized curriculum planning. The framework proposed by Shao et al. (2021) demonstrates how personalized recommendations can support interdisciplinary learning by considering students' diverse interests. However, the integration of interdisciplinary approaches remains underexplored, pointing to a potential area for future research.

Challenges in Curriculum Planning

Despite the progress in curriculum planning models, several challenges persist. One notable challenge is the lack of empirical validation for new models. Alnaji's (2022) theoretical framework, while innovative, lacks concrete applications or case studies to demonstrate its effectiveness in real educational settings. This gap raises questions about how institutions can assess the model's impact and practicality.

Another challenge lies in the limited applicability across disciplines. Tao et al. (2022) note that their decision support framework for SCM has not been extensively researched in other academic areas, limiting its utility for broader curriculum planning. The absence of integration with established frameworks like the Bologna Process further highlights this challenge, as educators seek robust guidelines applicable across various fields.

Furthermore, the challenge of resource limitations is significant, particularly in implementing sustainable and engaging curricula. Chiu and Chai (2020) acknowledge that schools with limited technological access may struggle to effectively deliver AI education, while Nagro et al. (2018) emphasize the need for resources to support engagement strategies. This issue is critical, as many educational institutions face budget constraints that hinder their ability to adopt and sustain innovative curriculum practices.

Lastly, the evaluation of personalized systems like PLAN-BERT remains a challenge. Shao et al. (2021) do not extensively address student feedback or satisfaction regarding the recommendation system, which is vital for understanding its effectiveness. Without comprehensive evaluation methods, it is difficult to ascertain the long-term impacts of personalized curriculum planning on student outcomes and overall educational success.

Curriculum Development

Curriculum development is a multifaceted process that requires integrating best practices, embracing technological advancements, and fostering collaboration among various stakeholders. This discussion synthesizes relevant literature to highlight key aspects of effective curriculum development, emphasizing the need for adaptability, inclusivity, and continuous improvement.

Best Practices in Development

Effective practices are essential for aligning educational outcomes with contemporary learning paradigms. Kasman and Lubis (2022) examined the implementation of evaluation instruments to assess teachers' performance under the Merdeka Curriculum. Their study revealed that these instruments encouraged pedagogical flexibility and a student-centered approach, fostering adaptability among educators. However, they identified a significant gap in understanding the long-term impact of these evaluations on student achievement, indicating a need for further research to translate immediate pedagogical improvements into sustained academic outcomes.

Similarly, Warmansyah et al. (2022) explored the successful integration of the Minangkabau cultural curriculum with the national curriculum and Islamic education. By embedding cultural elements into daily lesson plans, the curriculum allowed students to practice cultural values regularly. While this approach is effective in specific cultural contexts, it raises concerns about its adaptability in diverse or multi-ethnic educational settings. Thus, frameworks should be developed to accommodate diverse student backgrounds to enhance curricular inclusivity.

In early childhood education, Pollitt, Cohrsen, and Seah (2020) focused on play-based assessment and its role in developing spatial reasoning skills. Their findings emphasized the importance of linking observational analyses to curriculum planning to support mathematical learning effectively. However, a decline in educators' positive beliefs about mathematics after the mentoring phase highlighted the need for ongoing professional support to sustain effective practices, suggesting that structured support is vital for maintaining teachers' positive attitudes and effectiveness over time.

Role of Technology and Pedagogical Shifts

The integration of technology and shifts in pedagogical approaches are critical components of modern curriculum development. Mansur Hidayat Pasaribu (2020) discussed the successful integration of religious and secular education within a tahfizil Qur'an Islamic center, aligning educational practices with cultural and religious values. However, the study also identified a lack of emphasis on ongoing professional development for educators, which is crucial for adapting to changing educational contexts. This necessity for continual professional growth highlights the challenge of maintaining curriculum relevance in dynamic educational environments.

Furthermore, Kelly et al. (2019) presented co-design as a professional development model that enhances teacher engagement and ownership in curriculum planning. Their research demonstrated that involving teachers in the curriculum design process increases their confidence in addressing diverse student needs. However, they acknowledged insufficient discussion on the resources and support necessary for implementing the co-design model effectively. This gap points to the importance of providing adequate support systems to facilitate the successful adoption of innovative pedagogical strategies, suggesting that investment in resources is critical for effective implementation.

Alignment with National Standards and Frameworks

The reviewed studies emphasize the importance of aligning educational programs with national frameworks and standards, such as the Philippine Qualifications Framework (PQF) and the Indonesian National Qualifications Framework (KKNI). David (2020) asserts that continuing professional development (CPD) must align with the PQF to enhance educator competencies, ultimately improving

educational quality. Similarly, Ratno et al. (2021) demonstrate the successful alignment of teaching materials with the KKNi, ensuring that resources meet national education standards. This alignment is crucial as it establishes a common foundation for curriculum development, ensuring that educational practices are not only relevant but also effective in meeting the needs of learners.

Integration of Innovative Teaching Methods

Several studies focus on integrating innovative teaching methods and technologies into the curriculum. Garcia (2020) presents an educational visual novel game that enhances engagement and knowledge retention among young learners, underscoring the potential of interactive formats in early childhood education. Yang (2024) proposes a model that integrates innovation and entrepreneurship within professional education, emphasizing practical projects and cross-department collaboration. These findings suggest that incorporating innovative pedagogical approaches can lead to improved educational outcomes by fostering active learning environments that cater to diverse learning styles.

Vera-Cruz et al. (2028) highlights the importance of integrating cross-cultural perspectives into curriculum development to enhance science education in diverse contexts. They advocate for collaboration among educators from different countries to create curricula that effectively address global challenges in science education. Nasir (2021) emphasizes the importance of developing a curriculum that is culturally relevant to students, aligning traditional Islamic values with contemporary educational demands. Furthermore, Drake and Redi (2018) assert that an integrated curriculum allows for a more holistic learning experience, connecting various subjects and fostering critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills among students.

Stakeholder Collaboration in Curriculum Development

Collaboration among stakeholders is a recurring theme in effective curriculum development. Canada (2021) emphasizes the need for collaboration with parents and community members in developing an early childhood parenting curriculum, while Loosen et al. (2022) advocate for stakeholder involvement in designing a service design curriculum. Such collaboration ensures that curricula are comprehensive and relevant, reflecting the needs and perspectives of the communities they serve. This highlights the necessity for inclusive dialogue among educators, industry professionals, and community members to create well-rounded and contextually appropriate curricula.

Honeyman et al. (2022) emphasize the need for workforce skills curricula to be tailored to the unique social, economic, and cultural contexts of Rwanda, Algeria, and the Philippines. The findings indicate that successful curriculum development relies not only on theoretical frameworks but also on practical implementations that consider local conditions and needs.

Addressing Challenges and Barriers

While the studies present promising frameworks and methodologies for curriculum development, a consistent gap exists in addressing the challenges and barriers to implementation. Rachman et al. (2021) and Hermans (2021) highlight the importance of educator training and resource availability but do not delve deeply into the logistical and financial challenges that might hinder effective implementation. Understanding these challenges is crucial for developing realistic and achievable curriculum frameworks, as failure to address them can lead to gaps between curriculum design and actual classroom practices.

Alfrey and O'Connor (2020) emphasize that a critical approach encourages students to engage with social issues related to health, such as equity, access, and body image, thereby making the curriculum more relevant and impactful.

Assessment of Curriculum Effectiveness

A common limitation across the reviewed studies is the lack of comprehensive strategies for assessing the effectiveness of newly implemented curricula. Gao and Li (2023) and Bano and Hina (2021) propose innovative curricular changes but fail to provide detailed methodologies for evaluating their impact on student learning outcomes and educator effectiveness over time. This gap suggests a need for further research into developing robust assessment frameworks that can measure the success of curricular innovations and inform continuous improvement processes.

Focus on Long-term Impact

The reviewed literature often overlooks the long-term impacts of curricular changes on both educators and students. While immediate improvements in engagement and knowledge retention are noted, studies like Yang (2024) and Ling (2023) do not explore how these educational paradigms might influence students' career trajectories or the sustainability of educational practices in diverse contexts. Understanding the long-term effects of curriculum innovation is essential for ensuring that educational reforms are effective in the short term and sustainable and beneficial for future generations of learners. Casil (2022) underscores the importance of considering the socio-economic backgrounds of both the masses and the middle class in curriculum development, as these factors shape perceptions of value and rationality in education.

Curriculum Implementation

Curriculum implementation is a critical factor in shaping educational outcomes across various contexts and disciplines. The cited studies illuminate a range of educational practices, emphasizing the importance of innovative strategies, collaboration, flexibility, and inclusivity in curriculum design. This discussion synthesizes key themes from the literature on curriculum implementation, focusing

on innovation, collaboration, adaptability, and inclusivity.

Fostering Innovation Through Curriculum Design

A dominant theme in the literature is the significant impact of innovative teaching practices on student engagement and learning outcomes. Guo (2020) highlights that well-structured Physical Education (PE) programs can enhance students' innovative abilities by fostering creativity through practical contexts. The incorporation of modern teaching methods, such as multimedia and digital aids, is shown to enhance students' understanding of complex concepts. However, Guo also identifies a notable gap in longitudinal assessments to ascertain how these innovative skills translate into broader professional contexts, suggesting a need for continuous evaluation methods that capture the long-term effects of curriculum innovations.

Similarly, aligning curricula with contemporary issues is essential, as emphasized by Shava et al. (2023) and Xiajie (2023) in the context of tourism planning education. Integrating experiential learning and project-based approaches fosters relevant skills that resonate with real-world applications. Feedback from students indicates heightened motivation and comprehension; however, these studies call for more comprehensive evaluations to measure the effectiveness of innovative practices in cultivating long-term career competencies.

Hudson and Gates (2019) argue that utilizing diverse assessment methods, including formative and summative assessments, provides a more holistic understanding of student progress in music education. Lan, Wang, and Tang (2024) further underline the importance of innovative approaches in implementing life skills education, stressing the need for adaptable curricula that meet modern educational demands. Their findings advocate for equipping students with essential life skills relevant to their personal and professional development in the 21st century.

Asghar and Mukhar (2020) assert that the combination of transformational leadership and adaptable teachers yields the most positive outcomes in curriculum implementation. Prasetyono et al. (2021) establish a link between teachers' professional development in specific learning methods and successful curriculum implementation, noting that training initiatives bolster teachers' confidence in employing diverse instructional strategies, thus enhancing the learning experience. In contrast, Ghunu (2022) highlights that remote schools often lack the necessary infrastructure, which hinders effective implementation of thematic curricula. Nuraeni and Boeriswati (2020) found that many educators felt unprepared for implementation due to limited understanding and familiarity with curriculum objectives and methodologies.

Karakus (2021) identifies key barriers to effective curriculum implementation, including insufficient educator training, lack of resources, and inadequate administrative support. Njoku (2022) discusses the effectiveness of extension services, such as workshops and outreach programs, in engaging diverse populations and fostering a culture of reading and learning.

The Importance of Collaboration

Collaboration emerges as a cornerstone of successful curriculum implementation. Research by Young and Lee (2023) in early childhood special education underscores the importance of teamwork among teachers, families, and professionals in developing Individualized Education Plans (IEPs). This collaborative approach enhances engagement and learning outcomes for children with special needs, demonstrating the necessity of inclusive practices that address the diverse backgrounds and needs of all students.

Lestari (2023) reiterates the importance of involving teachers, students, and educational stakeholders in the evaluation and redesign processes to ensure curricula effectively meet educational goals. Fathurrochman et al. (2021) further illustrate collaboration within Islamic boarding schools in Indonesia, showcasing how structured management strategies can successfully integrate general and religious education, fostering both academic and spiritual development.

Obuya (2024) finds that continuous professional development significantly enhances teachers' ability to implement early childhood curricula, leading to improved instructional practices and learner outcomes. However, teachers face various challenges in implementation, such as inadequate resources, large class sizes, and varying levels of training among educators. Akinkuotu, Fasinro, and Aina (2024) stress the necessity for schools in resource-constrained areas to engage local communities and stakeholders to overcome these challenges.

Ngwenya (2019) identifies a disconnect between educational policy directives and classroom realities, negatively affecting teachers' morale and effectiveness. He notes that many educators express a lack of adequate training and ongoing professional development, leading to uncertainty in executing new curriculum requirements. Nsengimana et al. (2021) reveal a correlation between a school's profile of implementation and its capacity for innovation in teaching practices, suggesting that well-resourced institutions are more successful in adopting innovative methods. Palestina, Pangan, and Ancho (2020) emphasize understanding local contexts, including cultural and socio-economic factors, as vital for facilitating effective curriculum implementation.

Flexibility and Adaptability

The evolving educational landscape necessitates curricula that are flexible and responsive to students' needs. DeCoito and Estaiteyeh (2022) highlight how STEM teachers adapted their curricula during the COVID-19 pandemic to transition to online learning environments. This adaptability not only ensured educational continuity but also shifted assessment practices toward more formative methods, fostering a nuanced understanding of student learning. However, concerns remain regarding the long-term effects of these changes, particularly regarding equity and access for marginalized student populations.

Pantiwati (2023) asserts that flexibility, inclusivity, and alignment with student-centered learning objectives are essential

characteristics of effective assessments. Kola (2019) emphasizes the necessity for flexibility in lesson planning, noting that action research enables pre-service teachers to critically reflect on their practices. The integration of technology into lesson plans enhances teaching effectiveness and promotes meaningful learning experiences, yet the long-term impacts of these practices on pre-service teachers' careers require further exploration.

Kim et al. (2022) highlights the importance of aligning curriculum content with contemporary teaching practices, asserting that relevant content enhances teacher preparedness. Zidan and Qamariah (2023) and Ndari et al. (2023) note that the Merdeka Curriculum emphasizes student autonomy and flexibility, allowing educators to tailor teaching approaches to meet individual student needs. Galloway and Numajiri (2019) underscore the significance of teachers having the autonomy to adapt the curriculum to fit local contexts and student needs, promoting a bottom-up approach to implementation. Lushchuk and Tsyganok (2024) identify pressing safety issues in higher education during wartime, highlighting the need for protective measures in educational spaces and various strategies to adapt to current circumstances, including changes in teaching methods and learning environments.

Inclusivity in Curriculum Planning

Inclusivity is a recurrent theme in curriculum implementation, particularly regarding adapting curricula to meet diverse learners' needs. Guo (2020) points to the lack of discussion on how PE curriculum planning can be adjusted for students with varying physical capabilities, underscoring the importance of creating inclusive environments. Young and Lee (2023) acknowledge the challenges educators face in implementing play-based curricula while ensuring that IEPs adequately address each child's unique requirements.

The absence of specific frameworks or strategies for integrating diverse learning needs into curricula poses challenges for practitioners (Misuk-Lee, 2023). Therefore, further research into applying inclusive practices across various educational contexts is crucial for developing effective curriculum models that promote equity and access. Go (2019) emphasizes the need for updated pedagogical practices aligned with the curriculum's technological focus, encouraging innovative teaching methods to engage students effectively.

Madondo (2020) finds that teachers in rural areas face significant challenges due to limited resources, which hampers effective curriculum delivery. This indicates that local community values and cultural beliefs profoundly impact teachers' ability to implement curriculum changes. Pak et al. (2020) stress that standards-based curriculum reform necessitates adjustments to existing practices, which can pose challenges for educators due to varying readiness levels and support. Yulianti (2023) emphasizes that the effectiveness of new curriculum implementation is significantly influenced by the availability of training for teachers and resources for students.

Curriculum Evaluation

Curriculum evaluation is an essential component of educational reform, facilitating the alignment of educational programs with contemporary pedagogical needs, societal demands, and learner diversity. The existing literature presents a range of perspectives on the evaluation of curricula, highlighting critical elements such as stakeholder involvement, adaptability, assessment strategies, and the integration of technology.

Methods and Approaches to Evaluation

The methods and approaches used in curriculum evaluation significantly influence the quality and applicability of the findings. Lestari (2023) highlights the importance of flexible and adaptable evaluation methods when transitioning from the 2013 curriculum to the Merdeka curriculum. This transition emphasizes student-centered learning and competency-based education, requiring evaluators to employ diverse assessment strategies that align with these pedagogical shifts. Similarly, Pantiwati et al. (2023) identify key characteristics of effective assessments under the Merdeka Learning Curriculum, advocating for approaches that foster inclusivity and personalization. However, both studies also point to challenges in implementing these methods, particularly in resource-limited settings, which may restrict the effective application of innovative evaluation techniques.

Furthermore, the research by Hudson and Gates (2019) emphasizes the need for a variety of assessment methods—both formative and summative—in music education, which can provide a more holistic view of student achievement and progress. This suggests that a mixed-methods approach could be beneficial in curriculum evaluation, allowing educators to capture qualitative insights alongside quantitative data. However, the lack of exploration of specific contextual factors influencing the implementation of these methods highlights a gap that future evaluations need to address.

Data-Driven Decision Making

Data-driven decision-making is integral to effective curriculum evaluation, enabling educators and policymakers to make informed choices based on empirical evidence. The study by Berutu et al. (2024) emphasizes the importance of stakeholder feedback in the evaluation process, asserting that understanding perspectives from students, educators, and industry representatives can lead to more effective curriculum adjustments. This aligns with the broader trend of using data to guide curriculum development, as discussed by Endeley and Zama (2021), who advocate for aligning curriculum content with real-world applications based on data insights.

However, a notable limitation across these studies is the insufficient focus on how the collected data can be analyzed and utilized for decision-making. For example, while Lestari (2023) calls for the involvement of various stakeholders in evaluating the Merdeka

curriculum, there is little emphasis on developing robust mechanisms for analyzing feedback and integrating it into the curriculum redesign process. The lack of longitudinal data to assess the long-term impacts of curriculum changes also poses challenges for data-driven decision-making, as highlighted in Kim's (2022) findings regarding the teaching perspectives of prospective mathematics teachers.

Continuous Improvement Models

Implementing continuous improvement models is essential for ensuring that curricula remain relevant and effective over time. The findings from Go (2019) advocate for the integration of technology education into the broader curriculum, emphasizing the need for ongoing evaluation and adaptation of teaching practices to meet evolving educational needs. This perspective aligns with the concept of continuous improvement, where curriculum evaluation is viewed as an iterative process that incorporates regular feedback and revisions.

Additionally, the research by Lee et al. (2023) regarding electronic special education textbooks reflects the importance of a continuous improvement framework by assessing the effectiveness of these resources and identifying areas for enhancement. However, many studies, such as those by Pantiwati et al. (2023) and Hudson and Gates (2019), lack a detailed framework for continuous evaluation and improvement, focusing instead on one-time assessments. This gap suggests a need for developing structured continuous improvement models that can guide educators in regularly updating and refining curricula based on ongoing evaluations and feedback.

Synthesis of Findings

The findings of this research have indicated that curriculum planning might be approached in multiple forms, with the flexibility, competency alignment, sustainability, and personalization as some of its components. Innovative frameworks developed by Alnaji (2022) and Tao et al. (2022) are a beacon of hope for curriculum design that satisfies the requirements both of students and of industry. Alnaji's model has emphasized flexibility in accommodation toward curriculum change based on evolving education goals and needs in society, as opposed to Tao et al. with competency alignment being of import through their undergraduate Supply Chain Management decision support framework. Chiu and Chai (2020) generally push for sustainability in curriculum planning especially when it is related to very dynamic fields such as Artificial Intelligence with a significant focus on autonomy and engagement of students.

However, many problems are still yet to be addressed. For instance, these new models lack much empirical validation and thereby leave doubts regarding their actual usability in various educational environments. No concrete case studies regarding the effectiveness of Alnaji's theoretical framework raise a concern for more research to prove its real impact in practical settings. Additionally, Tao et al.'s framework has yet to be fully explored across other academic disciplines, thereby limiting its utility for more holistic curriculum planning initiatives.

Resource allocation is another key gap since most educational institutions face budget constraints that impede the implementation of sustainable and engaging curricula. This is further complicated by the need for greater interdisciplinary integration, which is currently underexplored in the literature. While the recommendation systems, such as the personalized learning system developed by Shao et al. (2021) on the PLAN-BERT platform, offer a student-centric curriculum, the existing review literature lacks comprehensive review that considers effectiveness and students' satisfaction in regard to these personalized learning systems. Gaps in the above process led to continuous adaptation and development in the process of creating curricula to stay updated and responsive to dynamic demands of students and labor.

Recommendations for Practitioners

It is recommended that significant investment may be made into intensive professional development programs as a comprehensive package for educating the instructors on the skills and knowledge that may help in effectively implementing modern curriculum models. The programs may be oriented towards contemporary pedagogies, integration, and differentiation instructions to meet a wide diversity of students. Collaboration between educators, industry representatives, and policymakers is necessary to ensure that the curriculum developed is well-suited for the labor market requirements. Collaborating with local businesses and organizations in the community can help bring to life successful pathways through curricula while providing regular feedback and adaptation.

Implementation mechanisms may be required for assessing the performance of students in robust frameworks that include feedback from the students, metrics for student performance, and qualitative methods to determine whether curricula are effective for learning under such systems. The process of continuous evaluation could also aid in adjusting in time so that curricula become relevant and continue to help meet the needs of learners. Advocacy efforts may be mounted for an increased allocation of funding and other resources to enable schools located in under-served schools to implement curricula which make use of technology for instruction. Lobbying for government support and grants may also arm teachers with the means to produce interactive learning environments.

Lastly, interdisciplinary approaches may be advanced within the curriculum to connect various areas of study and show students how they are utilized in the real world. Projects can be devised to collaborate with teachers to facilitate making connections between disciplines.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The implications of this paper suggest that policymakers must prioritize the development of adaptable curriculum policies that reflect the dynamic needs of learners and the evolving demands of the workforce. By fostering a culture of continuous improvement and encouraging innovative practices in curriculum planning, the Philippine education system can better prepare students for success in a rapidly changing world. Furthermore, aligning curriculum practices with national educational standards and industry expectations will enhance the overall quality of education and ensure that graduates are equipped with the competencies required in the 21st century.

Conclusion

This paper synthesized insights from 62 studies in a broad review to highlight the interactive nature of curriculum planning, development, implementation, and evaluation. The core areas shape educational outcomes with an emphasis on the holistic and adaptable framework required in effective curriculum management. A successful curriculum is characterized by stakeholder collaboration, flexibility to change in response to the dynamic and diverse needs of the students, and a call for continuous evaluation to continue being relevant in the rapidly changing landscape of education.

This calls for an integrated framework of no small importance as education systems worldwide strive to provide students with the skills and knowledge necessary to navigate the increasingly complex future. This will involve multiple stakeholders in adaptive implementation strategies and help establish a strong framework for feedback mechanisms in developing an adaptive curriculum aligned not only with academic standards but with the challenges in the real world that students will eventually face. These findings offer insights for educators, curriculum developers, and policy-makers into proactive, collaborative, and iterative approaches to curriculum management for successful implementation.

Future-looking demands of the global citizen, technological, and the diverse learning environment will necessitate continuous change in the processes of curriculum. Research and practice in such studies should aim at developing contents that relate to new competency, fair access for everybody, and new pedagogies approaches that enhance creativity, thinking, and adaptability. Building on such an integrated approach, educational institutions will do a better job in both teaching and learning to become a learning organization that fosters an environment that is inclusive, future-ready, and capable of driving change positively in society.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to his professorial lecturer in EM 501 Curriculum Planning, Evaluation and Development, Dr. Juan Abarro for his persistence in encouraging the researcher to conduct this review. To his mother, whose unwavering support and encouragement have been a guiding light. He extends appreciation to his siblings for their love and camaraderie, and to his niblings, whose joy inspires him every day. Special thanks are extended to his friends, Pamela D. Romano, Joko D. Antoni, Jennifer A. Arao, Chelsi Mae T. Del Rosario, Mea C. Gevero, Aimee C. Tantiogco and Judith Marie B. Domingo, his classmates in Ph.D.-EM and fellow teachers for their support and encouragement and their fruitful insights in the whole learning process. He thanks every one of them, from the bottom of his heart, for being such wonderful presence in his life.

References

- [1] Akinkuotu, F. A., Fasinro, K. S., & Aina, J. O. (2024). Curriculum implementation: Challenges and the prospect of Education Resource Centres to aid effective implementation. *African Educational Research Journal*, 12(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.30918/aerj.121.23.102>
- [2] Alfrey, L., & O'Connor, J. (2020). Critical pedagogy and curriculum transformation in Secondary Health and Physical Education. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 25(3), 288-302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2020.1741536>
- [3] Alnaji, A. O. (2022). Curriculum planning model in general education. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 11(5), 275. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v11n5p275>
- [4] Asghar, Z., & Mukhtar, S. (2020). Interactive Effect of School principals' Leadership Styles and Teacher Characteristics on Curriculum Implementation at Public Secondary Schools of Punjab. *UMT Education Review*, 3(1), 95-119. <https://doi.org/10.32350/uer.31.05>
- [5] Bano, N., & Hina, K. (2021). Inclusion of Global Citizenship Education and Sustainable Development in pre-service curriculum: A perspective study. *International Journal of Innovation in Teaching and Learning (IJITL)*, 6(2), 95-112. <https://doi.org/10.35993/ijitl.v6i2.855>
- [6] Berutu, N., Damanik, M. R., & Kabatiah, M. (2024). Evaluation and development of outcome-based education (OBE) curriculum: An analysis of stakeholder responses. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Innovation in Education, Science, and Culture, ICIESC 2023*, 24 October 2023, Medan, Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.24-10-2023.2342189>
- [7] Canada, T. J. (2021). Development and Implementation of an Early Childhood Parenting Curriculum for Preschool Teachers. In L. Roberts (Ed.), *Redesigning Teaching, Leadership, and Indigenous Education in the 21st Century* (pp. 227-251). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998->

5557-6.ch012

- [8] Casil, V. (2022). The Production of Homo Economicus in the Public Spheres of the Filipino Masses and Middle Class. *Scientia-The International Journal on the Liberal Arts*, 11(1), 52-63.
- [9] Chiu, T. K. F., & Chai, C. (2020). Sustainable Curriculum Planning for Artificial Intelligence Education: A self-determination theory perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5568. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145568>
- [10] David, L. T. (2020). Continuing professional development and the Philippine Qualifications Framework. *Advances in Library and Information Science*, 33-49. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-2273-8.ch002>
- [11] Drake, S., & Reid, J. (2018). Integrated curriculum as an effective way to teach 21st century capabilities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educational Research*, 1(1), 31-50. <https://doi.org/10.30777/apjer.2018.1.1.03>
- [12] DeCoito I, Estaiteyeh M. Online teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic: exploring science/STEM teachers' curriculum and assessment practices in Canada. *Discip Interdiscip Sci Educ Res*. 2022;4(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s43031-022-00048-z. Epub 2022 Mar 7. PMID: 37520635; PMCID: PMC8899456.
- [13] Endeley, M. N., & Zama, M. A. (2021). Perspectives in Curriculum Studies. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv23g7wmv>
- [14] Fathurrochman, I., Danim, S., Anwar AB, S., Kurniah, N., & Hajja Ristianti, D. (2021). Theoretical Review of the Implementation Islamic Boarding School Curriculum Management in Indonesia. *International Journal of Education Research and Development*, 1(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.52760/ijerd.v1i1.2>
- [15] Gao, J. & Li, Y. (2023). Exploration on Teaching Reform and Construction of the Curriculum of "Integration of Professional and Innovation" in Automobile CAD. *Advances in Educational Technology and Psychology (2023) Vol. 7: 25-32*. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.23977/aetp.2023.071104>.
- [16] Galloway, N., & Numajiri, T. (2019). Global Englishes Language teaching: Bottom-up curriculum implementation. *TESOL Quarterly*, 54(1), 118-145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.547>
- [17] Garcia, Manuel B. B. 2020. "Kinder Learns: An Educational Visual Novel Game as Knowledge Enhancement Tool for Early Childhood Education." *The International Journal of Technologies in Learning* 27 (1): 13-34. doi:10.18848/2327-0144/CGP/v27i01/13-34.
- [18] Ghunu, N. M. S. (2022). The challenges of remote area elementary schools in thematic curriculum Implementation. *International* <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.1522a>
- [19] Go, I. (2019). Analysis on trends of technology education shown in National Curriculum at the Elementary Level in republic of korea. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 31(2), 223-254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-019-09555-z>
- [20] Guo, H. (2020). Effect of curriculum planning for Physical Education in colleges on Innovation Ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (ijET)*, 15(12), 103. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i12.14527>
- [21] Hermans, J. G. (2021). Toward a curriculum for the future: Synthesizing Education for Sustainable Development and internationalization of the Curriculum. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 25(4), 461-481. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10283153211031033>
- [22] Honeyman, C., Cordisco Tsai, L., Chervin, N., Sany, M., Ubaldo, J. (2022). Workforce Skills Curriculum Development in Context: Case Studies in Rwanda, Algeria, and the Philippines. In: DeJaeghere, J., Murphy-Graham, E. (eds) *Life Skills Education for Youth. Young People and Learning Processes in School and Everyday Life*, vol 5. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85214-6_6
- [23] Hudson, Mark, and Karol Gates, 'Assessment in Music Education: A Colorado Partnership', in Timothy S. Brophy (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Assessment Policy and Practice in Music Education*, Volume 2, Oxford Handbooks (2019; online edn, Oxford Academic, 8 Jan. 2019), <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190248130.013.48>, accessed 2 Nov. 2024.
- [24] Karakuş, G. (2021). A Literary Review on Curriculum Implementation Problems. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 9(3), 201-220. <https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v9i3.3983>
- [25] Kasman, K., & Lubis, S. K. (2022). Teachers' performance evaluation instrument designs in the implementation of the new learning paradigm of the merdeka curriculum. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian Dan Kajian Kepustakaan Di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran*, 8(3), 760. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v8i3.5674>
- [26] Kelly, N., Wright, N., Dawes, L., Kerr, J., & Robertson, A. (2019). Co-design for Curriculum Planning: A Model for Professional Development for High School Teachers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(7). <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2019v44n7.6>
- [27] (58.) Kim, K.I. (2022). A case study on the influence of the curriculum of the Department of Mathematics Education at the Teachers' College on the teaching perspectives of prospective mathematics teachers. *Journal of Learner-Centered Curriculum Education*, 22(12), 371-380. <https://doi.org/10.22251/jlcci.2022.22.12.371>
- [28] Kola, M. (2019). Pre-service teachers' action research: technology education lesson planning in a South African University. *Educational Action Research*, 29(1), 99-117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2019.1686043>
- [29] Lan, L., Wang, H., Tang, M. (2024). Innovation and Implementation Path Analysis of Life Skills Education Curriculum for Youth in Vocational Colleges in the 21st Century. *Advances in Vocational and Technical Education*. Vol. 6: 163-169. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.23977/avte.2024.060224>.
- [30] Lestari, N. A. P. (2023). Analysis of 2013 curriculum problems so it is changed into a merdeka curriculum. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Nusantara*, 8(2), 263-274. <https://doi.org/10.29407/jpdn.v8i2.19229>
- [31] Ling, N. (2023). Research on the Paradigm of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education in Applied Universities from the Perspective of Specialization and Innovation Integration. *Advances in Educational Technology and Psychology (2023) Vol. 7: 10-16*. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.23977/aetp.2023.071702>.
- [32] Loosen, D., Dewit, I., Marquenie, T., & Verboven, L. (2022). Expansion of service design academics in Belgium: The groundwork for a curriculum based on contemporary industry needs. *DS 117: Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Engineering and Product Design Education (E&PDE 2022)*, London South Bank University in London, UK. 8th - 9th September 2022. <https://doi.org/10.35199/epde.2022.96>
- [33] Lushchuk, Y., & Tsyganok, G. (2024). Orientation in a safe learning space in Ukrainian higher education in the conditions of war: identification of

problems and search for solutions. Higher education of ukraine in the context of integration into the european educational area, 92 (I (2), 89-101. <https://doi.org/10.38014/osvita.2023.92.08>

- [34] Madondo, F. (2020). Perceptions on Curriculum Implementation: A Case for Rural Zimbabwean Early Childhood Development Teachers as Agents of Change. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 35(3), 399–416. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02568543.2020.1731024>
- [35] Mansur Hidayat Pasaribu, M. F. Z. (2020). Curriculum planning in boarding school tahfizil qur'an islamic center foundation. *Journal Of Education and Teaching Learning (JETL)*, 2(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.51178/jetl.v2i1.50>
- [36] Misuk Lee, Ae-Young Yoon, In-Jung Ra, BoMin Kim. (2023). Literature analysis on internal and external systems and utilization of electronic works of special education textbooks. *Journal of Special Education*, 27(2), 115-132. 10.56460/kdps.2023.27.2.115
- [37] Nagro, S. A., Fraser, D. W., & Hooks, S. D. (2018). Lesson Planning with engagement in mind: Proactive classroom management strategies for curriculum instruction. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 54(3), 131–140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451218767905>
- [38] Nasir, M. (2021). Curriculum Development and Accreditation Standards in the Traditional Islamic Schools in Indonesia. *Journal Of Curriculum Studies Research*, 3(2), 37-56. <https://doi.org/10.46303/jcsr.2020.3>
- [39] Ndari, W., Suyatno, Sukirman, & Mahmudah, F. N. (2023). Implementation of the Merdeka Curriculum and Its Challenges. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 4(3), 111–116. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejedu.2023.4.3.648>
- [40] Ngwenya, V. C. (2019). Curriculum Implementation Challenges Encountered by Primary School Teachers in Bulawayo Metropolitan Province, Zimbabwe. *Africa Education Review*, 17(2), 158–176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2018.1549953>
- [41] Njoku, I.S., Chukwu, S. A. J., Nwaohiri, N.M., & Opara, G. (2022). Fostering literacy through extension services: Academic Libraries for Sustainable Development. *Research Journal of Library and Information Science*, 6(1), 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.22259/2637-5915.0601002>
- [42] Nsengimana, T., Mugabo, L. R., Ozawa, H., & Nkundabakura, P. (2021). Science Competence-based Curriculum Implementation in Rwanda: A Multiple Case Study of the Relationship between a School's Profile of Implementation and its Capacity to Innovate. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 25(1), 38–51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18117295.2021.1888020>
- [43] Nuraeni, Y., MS, Z., & Boeriswati, E. (2020). A case study of curriculum implementation and K-13 challenges in Indonesia. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studies*, 1(8), 14. <https://doi.org/10.29103/ijevs.v2i1.2263>
- [44] Obuya, E. O. (2024). Influence of continued teacher professional development by the county government on the implementation of early childhood education curriculum in Homa Bay County, Kenya. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, VIII(VI), 1050–1064. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2024.806080>
- [45] Pak, K., Polikoff, M. S., Desimone, L. M., & Saldívar García, E. (2020). The adaptive challenges of curriculum implementation: Insights for educational leaders driving standards-based reform. *AERA Open*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858420932828>
- [46] Palestina, R. L., Pangan, A. D., & Ancho, I. V. (2020). Curriculum implementation facilitating and hindering factors: The Philippines context. *International Journal of Education*, 13(2), 91–104. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ije.v13i2.25340>
- [47] Pantiwati, Y., Chamisijatin, L., Zaenab, S., & Aldya, R. F. (2023). Characteristics of Learning Assessment Towards Implementation of Merdeka Learning Curriculum. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengkajian Ilmu Pendidikan: E-Saintika*, 7(1), 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.36312/esaintika.v7i1.1125>
- [48] Pollitt, R., Cohns, C. & Seah, W.T. Assessing spatial reasoning during play: educator observations, assessment and curriculum planning. *Math Ed Res J* 32, 331–363 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-020-00337-8>
- [49] Prasetyono, H., Abdillah, A., Djuhartono, T., Ramdayana, I. P., & Desnaranti, L. (2021). Improvement of teacher's professional competency in strengthening learning methods to maximize curriculum implementation. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 10(2), 720. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i2.21010>
- [50] Rachman, T. A., Latipah, E., Zaqiah, Q. Y., & Erihadiana, M. (2021). Curriculum innovation to improve Indonesian education in Pisa International Assessment in Disruptive Education Era. *ICLIQE 2021: Proceeding of the 5th International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3516875.3516899>
- [51] Ratno, P., Heri, Z., & Zulfahri, Z. (2021). Development of teaching materials for training adaptation courses based on KKNI curriculum to improve student learning Quality Department of Sports Sciences. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Innovation in Education, Science and Culture, ICIESC 2021*, 31 August 2021, Medan, North Sumatera Province, Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.31-8-2021.2313735>
- [52] Shao, E., Guo, S., & Pardos, Z. A. (2021). Degree Planning with PLAN-BERT: Multi-Semester Recommendation Using Future Courses of Interest. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 35(17), 14920–14929. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i17.17751>
- [53] Shava, G. N., Mkwelie, N., Ndlovu, M. J., & Zulu, E. (2023). Higher education institutions' sustainable development towards Agenda 2030: A global goal in policy and Curriculum. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, VII(IV), 1320–1336. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2023.7510>
- [54] Tao, M., Jiang, J., Wang, X., Zhou, J., & Xie, J. (2022). A decision support framework for curriculum planning in Undergraduate Supply Chain Management Program: An integrated approach. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3494431>
- [55] Vera Cruz, A.C., Madden, P.E., Asante, C.K. (2018). Toward Cross-Cultural Curriculum Development: An Analysis of Science Education in the Philippines, Ghana, and the United States. In: Roofe, C., Bezzina, C. (eds) *Intercultural Studies of Curriculum*. Intercultural Studies in Education. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60897-6_3
- [56] Warmansyah, J., Yuningsih, R., Sari, M., Urrahmah, N., Data, M. R., & Idris, T. (2022). Implementation of the Minangkabau Culture Curriculum at Kindergarten. *Aulad: Journal on Early Childhood*, 5(2), 228–234. <https://doi.org/10.31004/aulad.v5i2.376>
- [57] Xiajie P. (2023) innovation and practice of tourism planning education. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Education*. 2023;60(1_suppl):2565-2580. doi:10.1177/00207209211003253
- [58] Yang, J. (2024). University Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education and professional education integration curriculum system construction.

Advances in Education, Humanities and Social Science Research, 9(1), 118. <https://doi.org/10.56028/aehtsr.9.1.118.2024>

- [59] Young, H. I., & Lee, B.I., (2023). A Study on Experiences of Early Childhood Special Education Teachers in the Development and Implementation of Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Associated with Play-based Curriculum. *Journal of Special Education*, 39(1), 137-164, 10.31863/JSE.2023.02.39.1.137
- [60] Yulianti, K. (2023). The New Curriculum Implementation in Indonesia: A Study in Two Primary Schools. *International Journal about Parents in Education*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.54195/ijpe.18243>
- [61] (51.) Zhang, L.J. (2021). Curriculum Innovation in Language Teacher Education: Reflections on the PGDELT Program's Contributions to EFL Teachers' Continuing Professional Development. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics*, vol. 44, no. 4, 2021, pp. 435-450. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CJAL-2021-0028>
- [62] Zidan, M.R., & Qamariah, Z. (2023). A Literature Study on The Implementation Of Merdeka Curriculum. *Jurnal Riset Rumpun Ilmu Bahasa*, 2(2), 153-167. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jurribah.v2i2.1576>

