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#### Abstract

Electromotive force generates electromagnetic radiation. It is therefore necessary to study the mathematical equations related to electromotive force. This paper focuses on electromagnetic radiation generated due to electromotive force . Depending upon the mathematical equations governing emf, certain parameters affecting electromagnetic radiation are decided based on Taguchi Analysis. Taguchi analysis is carried out for only those factors which affect and cause more electromagnetic radiation. Accordingly after carrying out Taguchi analysis, a condition is finalized so that it causes least electromagnetic iation. This condition is optimum condition which can be applied in any situation. It can also take care of human health as more electromagnetic radiation is harmful to human health.
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I. Introduction

perimeter is the closed path, and $d \Phi / d t$ is the time rate of change of this flux.
A non zero value of $\mathrm{d} \Phi / \mathrm{dt}$ may result from any of the following situations:

1. A time - changing flux linking a stationary closed path
2. Relative motion between a steady flux and a closed path.
3. A combination of the two

The minus sign is an indication that the emf is in such a direction as to produce a current whose flux, if added to the original flux , would reduce the magnitude of the emf. This statement that the induced voltage acts to produce an opposing flux is known as Lenz's law.
Emf is also expressed as
Emf $=\int \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{dL}-------(2)$
Note that it is the voltage about a specific closed path. If any part of the path is changed, the emf in general changes.

Emf is also denoted as
Emf $=\int \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{dL}=-\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt} \int_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{dS}--------(3)$

The fingers of our right hand indicate the direction of closed path and our thumb indicates the direction of dS. A flux density $B$ in the direction of $d S$ and increasing with time thus produces an average value of E which is opposite to the positive direction about the closed path.
We first consider a stationary path. The magnetic flux is the only time varying quantity on the right side of (3), and a partial derivative may be taken under the integral sign ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Emf}=\int \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{dL}=-\int_{\mathrm{s}} \partial \mathrm{~B} / \partial \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{dS} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
Applying Stoke's theorem to the closed line integral , we have

$$
\int_{\mathrm{s}}(\Delta \mathrm{xE}) \cdot \mathrm{dS}=-\int_{\mathrm{s}} \partial \mathrm{~B} / \partial \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{dS}
$$

Where the surface integrals may be taken over identical surfaces. The surfaces are perfectly general and may be chosen as differentials,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \mathrm{xE}) \cdot \mathrm{dS}=-\partial \mathrm{B} / \partial \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{dS} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And
$\Delta x E=-\partial B / \partial t---------(6)$
This is one of Maxwell's four equations as written in differential ,or point form .
Equation 5 is the integral form of this equation and is equivalent to Faraday's law as applied to a fixed path.If $B$ is not a function of time ,(5) and (6) evidently reduce to the electrostatic equations,
$\int$ E.d L $=0$ (Electrostatics)
And
$\Delta \mathrm{xE}=0$ (electrostatics)
As an example of the interpretation of (5) and (6), let us assume a simple magnetic field which increases exponentially with time within the cylindrical region $\rho<b$,
$B=B_{0} e^{k t} a_{z}---------(7)$
Where
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=$ constant.
Choosing the circular path $\rho=a, a<b$ in the $z=0$ plane, along which $\mathrm{E}_{\Phi}$ must be constant by symmetry, we then have from (4)
$\mathrm{Emf}=2$ ПaE $_{\Phi} \quad=-\mathrm{kB}_{0}{ }_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{kt}} \Pi \mathrm{a}^{2}$ $\qquad$
If we replace a by $\rho, \rho<b$, the electric field intensity at any point is
$\mathrm{E}=-1 / 2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{kt}} \rho \mathrm{a}_{\Phi}--------------(9)$

The basic system is radiation measurement system. Depending on the equations governing electromotive force(emf) first the parameters affecting are decided according to priority. Thus following parameters which affect emf the most are decided.

1. Flux density
2. Time
3. Electric field intensity
4. Distance

However more study reveals that electric field intensity and flux density equally are responsible for emf, hence any one can be considered hence we will consider flux density, time and distance as three parameters.
Another important thing is that it is proved that plants/trees reduce emf.
Hence in our system we will measure emf nearby plants/trees.
The system will measure radiation at two different values of time, distance and flux density in an area without plants/ trees, and similarly it will measure radiation at two different values of time, distance and flux density in an area having plants/trees. The system will also record radiation values at early morning, afternoon and night.
The system will also record radiation values in different climate i.e hot, cold and rainy. also in airy atmosphere radiation values can be measured.
A detailed analysis of all above measurements will be done to reach some definite conclusions. Thereafter an optimum condition can be decided to have less amount of radiation.
3. Results and Discussions:

During experimentation following readings were taken.

Table 1

| parameters | Minimum and max values | Mean value of Radiation measured at minimum values | Mean value of Radiation measured at maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Rainy atmosphere (at home) | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \mu \text { Tesla, } 35 \\ & \mu \text { Tesla } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.565 \\ & \mu \text { Tesla } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.098 \\ & \mu \text { Tesla } \end{aligned}$ |
| Rainy atmosphere (outside home) | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \mu \text { Tesla, } 36 \\ & \mu \text { Tesla } \end{aligned}$ | $33.86 \mu$ Tesla | $35 \mu$ Tesla |
| Without gomutra bottle (at 7 p.m) | $\begin{aligned} & 37.94 \\ & \mu \text { Tesla,,42.84 } \\ & \mu \text { Tesla, } \end{aligned}$ | 37.94 <br> $\mu$ Tesla, | 42.84 <br> $\mu$ Tesla, |
| With gomutra bottle (at 7 p.m) | $\begin{gathered} 37.5 \\ \mu \text { Tesla,38.2 } \\ \mu \text { Tesla, } \end{gathered}$ | $37.82$ <br> $\mu$ Tesla, | 42.51 <br> $\mu$ Tesla, |

Each experiment is repeated 15 times and then mean value of radiation is decided in above tables.

Table 3

$\left.$| parameters | Mean value of <br> Radiation <br> measured at <br> minimum <br> values during <br> day time | Mean value of <br> Radiation <br> measured at <br> maximum <br> values during <br> evening. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Radiation | 33.86 | 38.53 |
| near plants <br> such as aloe <br> vera and <br> cactus | $\mu$ Tesla(for aloe <br> vera) | 3Tesla(for aloe <br> vera) <br> $\mu$ Tesla(for <br> cactus) | | 39.47 |
| :--- |
| $\mu$ Tesla(for |
| cactus) | \right\rvert\,

Table 4

| Direction | Mean value of Radiation |
| :---: | :--- |
| EAST | $42.47 \mu$ Tesla |
| WEST | $40.07 \mu$ Tesla |
| NORTH | $40.67 \mu$ Tesla |
| SOUTH | $40.73 \mu$ Tesla |

The above readings are taken at evening time at 7.15 pm.

Table 5 : readings taken at 7 p.m evening with and without gomutra bottle.

| Direction | Mean value of <br> Radiation <br> With gomutra bottle | Mean value of <br> Radiation <br> Without gomutra <br> bottle |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| EAST | $37.82 \mu$ Tesla | $37.94 \mu$ Tesla |
| WEST | $41.66 \mu$ Tesla | $41.75 \mu$ Tesla |
| NORTH | $42.51 \mu$ Tesla | $42.84 \mu$ Tesla |
| SOUTH | $37.92 \mu$ Tesla | 38.32 Tesla |

Conclusions:
It is a common observation that in evening , emf is highest in east direction, it is lowest in west, in north and south direction emf is high.
In morning emf is least in east direction and high in west.
The emf is less than the emf outside home near the plants such as aloe- vera and cactus during day time.
However it increases than emf outside home near plants such as aloe- vera and cactus. This indicates that during day time such plants absorb radiation and serve to minimise radiation in atmosphere.
Emf is different at different times and in different seasons also it varies. In hot atmosphere emf values are high.
In cold atmosphere emf is low.

EMF decreases due to gomutra bottle as seen by readings in table 5.
Hence gomutra has capacity to decrease radiation.













Signal-to-noise: Nominal is best ( $10 \times \log 10\left(\mathrm{Ybar}^{\wedge} 2 / 5^{\wedge} 2\right)$ )

From above graph it is clear that all points lie in a balanced way above average value of 0.64 .




Pareto Chart of outside by near aloe
Nested ANOVA: near cactus, near cactus1, near aloe, near aloe1
Nested ANOVA: near cactus versus outside
Analysis of Variance for near cactus
Source DF SS MS
outside 21.55480 .7774
Error 1210.17860 .8482
Total 1411.7333
Variance Components
Source Var Comp. \% of Total StDev
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { outside } & -0.015^{*} & 0.00 & 0.000 \\ \text { Error } & 0.848 & 100.00 & 0.921\end{array}$
Total $0.848 \quad 0.921$

* Value is negative, and is estimated by zero.

Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$
2 Error $1.00(2)$
Nested ANOVA: near cactus1 versus outside Analysis of Variance for near cactus1
Source DF SS MS
outside 22.01901 .0095
Error 123.71430 .3095

Total 145.7333


Nested ANOVA: near aloe versus outside Analysis of Variance for near aloe
Source DF SS MS
outside 22.75481 .3774
Error 126.17860 .5149
Total 148.9333
Variance Components
Source Var Comp. $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { StDev }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { outside } & 0.180 & 25.87 & 0.424 \\ \text { Error } & 0.515 & 74.13 & 0.718 \\ \text { Total } & 0.695 & & 0.833\end{array}$
Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$
2 Error 1.00(2)

Nested ANOVA: near aloe1 versus outside Analysis of Variance for near aloe 1
Source DF SS MS
outside 20.55480 .2774
Error 123.17860 .2649
Total 143.7333

|  |  | Variance Components <br> $\%$ of |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source Var Comp. |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | StDev

Factor coding ( $-1,0,+1$ )
Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36
Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { outside } 2 & 1.555 & 0.7774 & 0.92 & 0.426\end{array}$
Error 1210.1790 .8482
Total 1411.733

|  |  | Model Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | R-sq | R-sq(adj) | R-sq(pred) |
| 0.920985 | $13.25 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | Coefficients |  |  |

Term Coef SE Coef T-Value P-Value VIF
Constant $33.512 \quad 0.246 \quad 136.15 \quad 0.000$
outside

| 34 | 0.488 | 0.362 | 1.35 | 0.203 | 1.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | -0.226 | 0.318 | -0.71 | 0.490 | 1.24 |

Regression Equation
near $=33.512+0.488$ outside_34-0.226 outside_35-
cactus
0.262 outside_36

Fits and Diagnostics for Unusual Observations
Obs $\begin{gathered}\text { near } \\ \text { cactus }\end{gathered}$ Fit Resid Std Resid
$\begin{array}{lllll}14 & 32.000 & 34.000 & -2.000 & -2.51\end{array}$
R Large residual
General Linear Model: near cactus1 versus outside Method
Factor coding $(-1,0,+1)$
Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36
Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } & 2 & 2.019 & 1.0095 & 3.26 & 0.074\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Error } & 12 & 3.714 & 0.3095\end{array}$
Total 145.733
Model Summary
S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred)
$0.55634935 .22 \% \quad 24.42 \% \quad 0.00 \%$
Coefficients
Term Coef SE Coef T-Value P-Value VIF
Constant $39.4760 .149 \quad 265.49 \quad 0.000$
outside
$\begin{array}{llllll}34 & -0.476 & 0.219 & -2.18 & 0.050 & 1.24\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}35 & -0.048 & 0.192 & -0.25 & 0.808 & 1.24\end{array}$
Regression Equation
near = 39.476-0.476 outside_34-0.048 outside_35 cactus1 $=\quad+0.524$ outside_36

Fits and Diagnostics for Unusual Observations
Obs $\begin{gathered}\text { near } \\ \text { cactus1 }\end{gathered}$ Fit Resid Std Resid
$1240.00039 .000 \quad 1.000 \quad 2.08 \quad \mathrm{R}$
$1438.00039 .000-1.000 \quad-2.08 \quad \mathrm{R}$

R Large residual
General Linear Model: near aloe versus outside Method
Factor coding ( $-1,0,+1$ )
Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36
Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { outside } & 2 & 2.755 & 1.3774 & 2.68 \\ 0.109\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Error } & 12 & 6.179 & 0.5149\end{array}$
Total 148.933
Model Summary
S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred)
$0.71755230 .84 \% \quad 19.31 \% \quad 0.00 \%$
Coefficients
Term Coef SE Coef T-Value P-Value VIF
Constant $34.155 \quad 0.192 \quad 178.10 \quad 0.000$
outside

| 34 | -0.155 | 0.282 | -0.55 | 0.594 | 1.24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | -0.440 | 0.248 | -1.78 | 0.101 | 1.24 |

Regression Equation
near = 34.155-0.155 outside_34-0.440 outside_35
aloe $=\quad+0.595$ outside_36
General Linear Model: near aloe 1 versus outside Method
Factor coding $(-1,0,+1)$
Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36
Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
outside 20.55480 .27741 .0500 .381
Error 123.17860 .2649
Total 143.7333

|  |  | Model Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | R-sq | R-sq(adj) | R-sq(pred) |
| 0.514666 | $14.86 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  |  | Coefficients |  |

Term Coef SE Coef T-Value P-Value VIF
Constant $38.512 \quad 0.138 \quad 279.98 \quad 0.000$
outside

| 34 | -0.012 | 0.202 | -0.06 | 0.954 | 1.24 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 35 | -0.226 | 0.178 | -1.27 | 0.227 | 1.24 |
| Regression Equation |  |  |  |  |  |

Autocorrelations

| Lag | ACF | T | LBQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.700775 | -2.71 | 8.94 |
| 2 | 0.563566 | 1.55 | 15.17 |
| 3 | -0.532558 | -1.27 | 21.20 |
| 4 | 0.475969 | 1.03 | 26.45 |

Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Response Table for Signal to Noise Ratios
Nominal is best $\left(10 \times \log 10\left(\mathrm{Ybar}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)$
Level outside near aloe near near near

| 1 | 32.62 | 30.62 | 31.15 | 30.90 | 32.15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 29.95 | 31.57 | 30.59 | 31.71 | 30.55 |  |
| 3 | 32.43 | 31.39 | 31.57 |  | 31.72 |  |
| 4 |  |  | 32.16 |  |  |  |
| Delta | 2.67 | 0.95 | 1.57 | 0.81 | 1.60 |  |
| Rank | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |
|  |  | Response Table for Means |  |  |  |  |

Level outside near aloe near near near cactus aloe 1 cactus 1

| 1 | 40.94 | 41.19 | 41.00 | 41.06 | 40.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 41.14 | 41.00 | 41.02 | 40.94 | 41.17 |
| 3 | 40.79 | 40.84 | 40.95 |  | 40.95 |
| 4 |  |  | 41.13 |  |  |
| Delta | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.67 |
| Rank | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |

cactus 1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Predicted values
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Prediction
S/N Ratio Mean StDev $\operatorname{Ln}($ StDev $)$
$33.734840 .54550 .835915-0.181412$


## Settings

outside near near near near aloe cactus aloe 1 cactus 1
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 & 33 & 32 & 38 & 38\end{array}$
Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Predicted values
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Prediction
S/N Ratio Mean StDev Ln(StDev)
$33.734840 .54550 .835915-0.181412$
Settings
outside near near near near
aloe cactus aloe 1 cactus1
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 & 33 & 32 & 38 & 38\end{array}$
Probability Plot of east, north
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=39$, south $=41)$ must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=40$, south $=42$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=41$, south $=40$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=41$, south $=41)$ must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=39$, south $=41$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=40$, south $=42$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=41$, south $=41$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal.

Linear Model Analysis: SN ratios versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe 1, near cactus1
Estimated Model Coefficients for SN ratios

| Term | Coef | SE Coef | T | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | 30.7384 | 1.6450 | 18.686 | 0.000 |
| outside 34 | 2.4240 | 2.0796 | 1.166 | 0.328 |
| outside 35 | -2.1833 | 1.2752 | -1.712 | 0.185 |
| near alo 33 | 0.2436 | 1.7278 | 0.141 | 0.897 |
| near alo 34 | 2.0028 | 1.7959 | 1.115 | 0.346 |
| near cac 32 | 2.4000 | 2.6834 | 0.894 | 0.437 |
| near cac 33 | 1.1570 | 1.8901 | 0.612 | 0.584 |
| near cac 34 | -1.1606 | 2.2719 | -0.511 | 0.645 |
| near alo 38 | -0.4522 | 0.8830 | -0.512 | 0.644 |

near cac $38-1.6192 \quad 3.7096-0.436 \quad 0.692$
near cac $39-0.6041 \quad 2.5969-0.233 \quad 0.831$
Model Summary

## S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)

2.2974 68.63\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for SN ratios

$X$ denotes an observation whose $X$ value gives it large leverage.
Linear Model Analysis: Means versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus1 Estimated Model Coefficients for Means

| Term | Coef | SE Coef | T | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | 40.7880 | 0.2383 | 171.180 | 0.000 |
| outside 34 | 0.0781 | 0.3012 | 0.259 | 0.812 |
| outside 35 | 0.1392 | 0.1847 | 0.754 | 0.506 |
| near alo 33 | 0.1174 | 0.2503 | 0.469 | 0.671 |
| near alo 34 | -0.0104 | 0.2601 | -0.040 | 0.971 |
| near cac 32 | 0.2844 | 0.3887 | 0.732 | 0.517 |
| near cac 33 | -0.0266 | 0.2738 | -0.097 | 0.929 |
| near cac 34 | -0.2667 | 0.3291 | -0.810 | 0.477 |
| near alo 38 | -0.0895 | 0.1279 | -0.700 | 0.535 |
| near cac 38 | -0.6330 | 0.5373 | -1.178 | 0.324 |
| near cac 39 | 0.2604 | 0.3762 | 0.692 | 0.539 |
|  |  | Model Summary |  |  |

S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
0.3328 70.85\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for Means
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P
outside $\quad 20.287570 .153410 .076700 .690 .566$
near aloe $\quad 20.075860 .026280 .013140 .120 .892$
near cactus 30.238830 .112150 .037380 .340 .802
near aloe1 10.030250 .054210 .054210 .490 .535
near cactus1 20.174790 .174790 .087400 .790 .530
Residual Error 30.332210 .332210 .11074
Total 131.13951
Unusual Observations for Means
Observation Means Fit SE Fit Residual $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{St} \\ \text { Resid }\end{gathered}$

| 2 | 41.000 | 41.000 | 0.333 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 41.500 | 41.500 | 0.333 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 12 | 41.000 | 41.000 | 0.333 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 14 | 40.500 | 40.500 | 0.333 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |

Linear Model Analysis: StDevs versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus1
Estimated Model Coefficients for StDevs
Term Coef SE Coef T P
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Constant } & 1.21971 & 0.2211 & 5.518 & 0.012\end{array}$
outside $34-0.320030 .2795-1.1450 .335$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 35 & 0.28988 & 0.1714 & 1.692 & 0.189\end{array}$
near alo $33-0.00162 \quad 0.2322-0.0070 .995$
near alo $34-0.258890 .2413-1.073 \quad 0.362$
near cac $32-0.32876 \quad 0.3606-0.9120 .429$
near cac $33-0.154860 .2540-0.6100 .585$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 34 & 0.14977 & 0.3053 & 0.491 & 0.657\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near alo } 38 & 0.04902 & 0.1187 & 0.413 & 0.707\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 38 & 0.21759 & 0.4985 & 0.437 & 0.692\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 39 & 0.06276 & 0.3490 & 0.180 & 0.869\end{array}$
Model Summary
R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
0.3087 67.06\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for StDevs
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P
outside $\quad 20.4139070 .274810 .137401 .440 .364$
near aloe $\quad 20.0172370 .113460 .056730 .600 .606$
near cactus 30.0552010 .100460 .033490 .350 .793
near aloe1 $1 \quad 0.0002810 .016270 .016270 .170 .707$
near cactus1 20.0953320 .095330 .047670 .500 .649
Residual Error 30.2859180 .285920 .09531
Total 130.867875
Unusual Observations for StDevs
Observation StDevs Fit SE Fit Residual $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Resid}\end{gathered}$

| 2 | 1.414 | 1.414 | 0.309 | -0.000 | $*$ | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.291 | 1.291 | 0.309 | -0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 12 | 0.816 | 0.816 | 0.309 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 14 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.309 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |

Response Table for Signal to Noise Ratios Nominal is best $\left(10 \times \log 10\left(\mathrm{Ybar}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)$
Level outside near aloe near near near

|  |  | cactus aloe1 cactus1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 32.62 | 30.62 | 31.15 | 30.90 | 32.15 |  |
| 2 | 29.95 | 31.57 | 30.59 | 31.71 | 30.55 |  |
| 3 | 32.43 | 31.39 | 31.57 |  | 31.72 |  |
| 4 |  |  | 32.16 |  |  |  |
| Delta | 2.67 | 0.95 | 1.57 | 0.81 | 1.60 |  |
| Rank | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |
|  | Response Table for Means |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level outside near aloe near | near | near |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 40.94 | 41.19 | 41.00 | 41.06 | 40.50 |  |
| 2 | 41.14 | 41.00 | 41.02 | 40.94 | 41.17 |  |
| 3 | 40.79 | 40.84 | 40.95 | 40.95 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 41.13 |  |  |  |
| Delta | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.67 |  |
| Rank | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |

Response Table for Standard Deviations Level outside near aloe $\begin{gathered}\text { near } \\ \text { cactus }\end{gathered}$ near aloe1 $\begin{gathered}\text { near } \\ \text { cactus } 1\end{gathered}$
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & 0.9728 & 1.2497 & 1.1455 & 1.1983 & 1.0000 \\ 2 & 1.3227 & 1.1129 & 1.2217 & 1.0877 & 1.2421 \\ 3 & 0.9872 & 1.1090 & 1.1275 & & 1.0942 \\ 4 & & & 1.0374 & & \\ \text { Delta } & 0.3499 & 0.1407 & 0.1843 & 0.1106 & 0.2421 \\ \text { Rank } & 1 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & & \text { Probability Plot of east } \\ & & & \text { Probability Plot of west } \\ & & & \text { Probability Plot of north } \\ \text { Probability Plot of south }\end{array}\right)$

Samples per batch at each time: 1 Total runs: 27
Main Effects Plot for near aloe
Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal.

Linear Model Analysis: SN ratios versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus1
Estimated Model Coefficients for SN ratios
Term Coef SE Coef T P
Constant $30.73841 .6450 \quad 18.6860 .000$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 34 & 2.4240 & 2.0796 & 1.166 & 0.328\end{array}$
outside $35-2.18331 .2752-1.712 \quad 0.185$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near alo } 33 & 0.2436 & 1.7278 & 0.141 & 0.897\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near alo } 34 & 2.0028 & 1.7959 & 1.115 & 0.346\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 32 & 2.4000 & 2.6834 & 0.894 & 0.437\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 33 & 1.1570 & 1.8901 & 0.612 & 0.584\end{array}$
near cac $34-1.1606 \quad 2.2719-0.511 \quad 0.645$
near alo $38-0.4522 \quad 0.8830-0.5120 .644$
near cac $38-1.6192 \quad 3.7096-0.4360 .692$
near cac $39-0.6041 \quad 2.5969 \quad-0.233 \quad 0.831$
Model Summary
S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
2.2974 68.63\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for SN ratios

| Source | DF | Seq SS | Adj SS Adj MS | F | P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outside | 2 | 23.4900 | 15.602 | 7.801 | 1.48 | 0.357 |
| near aloe | 2 | 1.6903 | 6.590 | 3.295 | 0.62 | 0.593 |
| near cactus | 3 | 3.2046 | 5.427 | 1.809 | 0.34 | 0.799 |
| near aloe1 | 1 | 0.0817 | 1.384 | 1.384 | 0.26 | 0.644 |
| near cactus1 | 2 | 6.1690 | 6.169 | 3.085 | 0.58 | 0.610 |
| Residual Error | 3 | 15.8336 | 15.834 | 5.278 |  |  |

Total 1350.4692
Unusual Observations for SN ratios
Observation SN ratios Fit SE Fit Residual $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{St} \\ \text { Resid }\end{gathered}$

| 2 | 29.245 | 29.245 | 2.297 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 30.142 | 30.142 | 2.297 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 12 | 34.017 | 34.017 | 2.297 | -0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 14 | 32.149 | 32.149 | 2.297 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |

X denotes an observation whose X value gives it large leverage.
Linear Model Analysis: Means versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus1
Estimated Model Coefficients for Means
Term Coef SE Coef T P
Constant $40.7880 \quad 0.2383 \quad 171.180 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 34 & 0.0781 & 0.3012 & 0.259 & 0.812\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 35 & 0.1392 & 0.1847 & 0.754 & 0.506\end{array}$
near alo $330.1174 \quad 0.2503 \quad 0.469 \quad 0.671$
near alo $34-0.0104 \quad 0.2601 \quad-0.040 \quad 0.971$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near cac } 32 & 0.2844 & 0.3887 & 0.732 & 0.517\end{array}$
near cac $33-0.0266 \quad 0.2738-0.097 \quad 0.929$
near cac $34-0.2667 \quad 0.3291-0.810 \quad 0.477$
near alo $38-0.0895 \quad 0.1279-0.700 \quad 0.535$
near cac $38-0.6330 \quad 0.5373-1.178 \quad 0.324$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 39 & 0.2604 & 0.3762 & 0.692 & 0.539\end{array}$
Model Summary
S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
0.3328 70.85\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for Means
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P
outside $\quad 20.287570 .153410 .076700 .690 .566$
near aloe $\quad 20.075860 .026280 .013140 .120 .892$
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Unusual Observations for StDevs
Observation StDevs Fit $\quad$ SE Fit Residual $\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{St} \\ \text { Resid }\end{array}$

| 2 | 1.414 | 1.414 | 0.309 | -0.000 | $*$ | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.291 | 1.291 | 0.309 | -0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 12 | 0.816 | 0.816 | 0.309 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |
| 14 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.309 | 0.000 | $*$ | X |

Response Table for Signal to Noise Ratios


Pareto Chart of outside by near aloe
Nested ANOVA: near cactus, near cactus1, near aloe, near aloe1
Nested ANOVA: near cactus versus outside Analysis of Variance for near cactus
Source DF SS MS
outside 21.55480 .7774
Error 1210.17860 .8482
Total 1411.7333
Variance Components

Source Var Comp. \% of Total StDev
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { outside } & -0.015^{*} & 0.00 & 0.000 \\ \text { Error } & 0.848 & 100.00 & 0.921 \\ \text { Total } & 0.848 & & 0.921\end{array}$

* Value is negative, and is estimated by zero. Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$
2 Error 1.00(2)
Nested ANOVA: near cactus1 versus outside Analysis of Variance for near cactus1
Source DF SS MS
outside 22.01901 .0095
Error 123.71430 .3095
Total 145.7333

|  |  | Variance Components <br> \% of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source Var Comp. |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | StDev

Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$
2 Error 1.00 (2)
Nested ANOVA: near aloe versus outside Analysis of Variance for near aloe
Source DF SS MS
outside $2 \times 2.75481 .3774$
Error 126.17860 .5149
Total 148.9333

|  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Variance Con } \\ \text { Source Var Comp. } \\ \text { \% of }\end{array}$ |  |  | StDev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$
2 Error 1.00(2)
Nested ANOVA: near aloe 1 versus outside Analysis of Variance for near aloe 1
Source DF SS MS
outside 20.55480 .2774
Error 123.17860 .2649
Total 143.7333
Variance Components
Source Var Comp. $\begin{gathered}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { StDev }\end{gathered}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { outside } & 0.003 & 0.97 & 0.051\end{array}$



Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Response Table for Signal to Noise Ratios
Nominal is best $\left(10 \times \log 10\left(\mathrm{Ybar}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)$
Level outside near aloe near near near

| 1 | 32.62 | 30.62 | 31.15 | 30.90 | 32.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 29.95 | 31.57 | 30.59 | 31.71 | 30.55 |
| 3 | 32.43 | 31.39 | 31.57 |  | 31.72 |
| 4 |  |  | 32.16 |  |  |
| Delta | 2.67 | 0.95 | 1.57 | 0.81 | 1.60 |
| Rank | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 |

Level outside near aloe near near near cactus aloe 1 cactus 1

| 1 | 40.94 | 41.19 | 41.00 | 41.06 | 40.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 41.14 | 41.00 | 41.02 | 40.94 | 41.17 |
| 3 | 40.79 | 40.84 | 40.95 |  | 40.95 |
| 4 |  |  | 41.13 |  |  |
| Delta | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.67 |
| Rank | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |

Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Predicted values
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Prediction
S/N Ratio Mean StDev $\operatorname{Ln}($ StDev $)$
$33.734840 .54550 .835915-0.181412$


## Settings

outside near near near near aloe cactus aloe 1 cactus 1

| 34 | 33 | 32 | 38 | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1

* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Predicted values
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal. Prediction
S/N Ratio Mean StDev $\operatorname{Ln}($ StDev $)$
$33.734840 .54550 .835915-0.181412$
Settings
outside near near near near
aloe cactus aloe 1 cactus
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 & 33 & 32 & 38 & 38\end{array}$
Probability Plot of east, north
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of
distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=39$, south $=41$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=40$, south $=42$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=41$, south $=40)$ must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in east (for west
$=41$, south $=41$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=39$, south $=41$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=40$, south $=42$ ) must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
* NOTE * Distribution could not be fit. The number of distinct rows of data in north (for
west $=41$, south $=41)$ must be greater than or equal to the number of estimated distribution parameters.
Taguchi Analysis: east, west, north, south versus outside, ... ar cactus1
* NOTE * Design is not orthogonal.

Linear Model Analysis: SN ratios versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus1
Estimated Model Coefficients for SN ratios
Term Coef SE Coef T P
Constant $30.73841 .6450 \quad 18.6860 .000$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 34 & 2.4240 & 2.0796 & 1.166 & 0.328\end{array}$
outside $35-2.18331 .2752-1.712 \quad 0.185$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near alo } 33 & 0.2436 & 1.7278 & 0.141 & 0.897\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { near alo } 34 & 2.0028 & 1.7959 & 1.115 & 0.346\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 32 & 2.4000 & 2.6834 & 0.894 & 0.437\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 33 & 1.1570 & 1.8901 & 0.612 & 0.584\end{array}$
near cac $34-1.1606 \quad 2.2719-0.511 \quad 0.645$
near alo $38-0.4522 \quad 0.8830-0.512 \quad 0.644$
near cac $38-1.6192 \quad 3.7096-0.4360 .692$
near cac $39-0.6041 \quad 2.5969-0.2330 .831$

## Model Summary

S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
$2.297468 .63 \% \quad 0.00 \%$
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios


X denotes an observation whose X value gives it large leverage.
Linear Model Analysis: Means versus outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, near cactus 1
Estimated Model Coefficients for Means
Term Coef SE Coef T P
Constant 40.78800 .2383171 .1800 .000
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { outside } 34 & 0.0781 & 0.3012 & 0.259 & 0.812\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { outside } 35 & 0.1392 & 0.1847 & 0.754 & 0.506\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near alo } 33 & 0.1174 & 0.2503 & 0.469 & 0.671\end{array}$
near alo $34-0.0104 \quad 0.2601 \quad-0.040 \quad 0.971$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 32 & 0.2844 & 0.3887 & 0.732 & 0.517\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 33 & -0.0266 & 0.2738 & -0.097 & 0.929\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near cac } 34 & -0.2667 & 0.3291 & -0.810 & 0.477 \\ \text { near alo 38 } & -0.0895 & 0.1279 & -0.700 & 0.535 \\ \text { near cac 38 } & -0.6330 & 0.5373 & -1.178 & 0.324 \\ \text { near cac 39 } & 0.2604 & 0.3762 & 0.692 & 0.539\end{array}$ Model Summary
S R-Sq R-Sq(adj)
0.3328 70.85\% 0.00\%

Analysis of Variance for Means
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P
outside $\quad 20.287570 .153410 .076700 .690 .566$
near aloe $\quad 20.075860 .026280 .013140 .120 .892$
near cactus 30.238830 .112150 .037380 .340 .802
near aloe1 $1 \quad 0.030250 .054210 .054210 .490 .535$
near cactus1 20.174790 .174790 .087400 .790 .530
Residual Error 30.332210 .332210 .11074
Total 131.13951
Unusual Observations for Means
Observation Means Fit SE Fit Residual $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{St} \\ \text { Resid }\end{gathered}$

| 2 | 41.000 | 41.000 | 0.333 | 0.000 | $*$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | X

Linear Model Analysis: StDevs versus outside, near aloe, near
cactus, near aloe1, near cactus 1
Estimated Model Coefficients for StDevs
Term Coef SE Coef T P
Constant $1.219710 .2211 \quad 5.518 \quad 0.012$
outside $34-0.32003 \quad 0.2795-1.1450 .335$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { outside } 35 & 0.28988 & 0.1714 & 1.692 & 0.189\end{array}$
near alo $33-0.00162 \quad 0.2322-0.0070 .995$
near alo $34-0.25889 \quad 0.2413-1.0730 .362$
near cac $32-0.32876 \quad 0.3606-0.9120 .429$
near cac $33-0.15486 \quad 0.2540-0.610 \quad 0.585$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near cac } 34 & 0.14977 & 0.3053 & 0.491 & 0.657\end{array}$
near alo $38 \quad 0.04902 \quad 0.1187 \quad 0.4130 .707$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { near cac } 38 & 0.21759 & 0.4985 & 0.437 & 0.692\end{array}$
near cac $390.062760 .3490 \quad 0.180 \quad 0.869$
Model Summary
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccc}\text { S R-Sq } & \text { R-Sq(adj) } & & & \\ 0.3087 & 67.06 \% & 0.00 \%\end{array}\right]$


outside near near near near
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 & 33 & 32 & 38 & 38\end{array}$
aloe cactus aloe 1 cactus




| Error | 0.848 | 100.00 | 0.921 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.848 |  | 0.921 |



* Value is negative, and is estimated by zero. Expected Mean Squares
1 outside $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$

2 Error $\quad 1.00(2)$
Nested ANOVA: near cactus1 versus outside Analysis of Variance for near cactus1

Source DF SS MS

| outside | 2 | 2.0190 | 1.0095 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Error | 12 | 3.7143 | 0.3095 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 5.7333 |  |

Variance Components
Source Var Comp. \% of StDev Total
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { outside } & 0.146 & 32.03 & 0.382\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Error } & 0.310 & 67.97 & 0.556\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total } 0.455 & 0.675\end{array}$

Pareto Chart of outside by near aloe
Nested ANOVA: near cactus, near cactus1, near aloe, near aloe1
Nested ANOVA: near cactus versus outside Analysis of Variance for near cactus

| Source | DF | SS | MS |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| outside | 2 | 1.5548 | 0.7774 |

Error $\quad 12 \quad 10.1786 \quad 0.8482$

Total 1411.7333

Variance Components
Source Var Comp. \% of Total StDev
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { outside } & -0.015^{*} & 0.00 & 0.000\end{array}$

Total $0.848-0.921$

Pie Chart of outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe $1, \ldots$
Total $14 \quad 5.7333$

|  |  | Expected Mean Squares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | outside | $1.00(2)+4.80(1)$ |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | Error | $1.00(2)$ |

Nested ANOVA: near aloe versus outside Analysis of Variance for near aloe
Source DF SS MS
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { outside } & 2 & 2.7548 & 1.3774\end{array}$

Error $\quad 12 \quad 6.1786 \quad 0.5149$

Total 148.9333

Variance Components


[^0]| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |  | Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| outside | 2 | 2.019 | 1.0095 | 3.26 | 0.074 |  | outside | 2 | 2.755 | 1.3774 | 2.68 | 0.109 |
| Error | 12 | 3.714 | 0.3095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 5.733 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 8.933 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Model Summary |  |  |  |  |  | Model Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | R-sq | R-sq(adj) | R -sq(pred) |  |  | S | R-sq | R-sq(adj) | R-sq(pred) |  |  |
| 0.556349 | $35.22 \%$ | 24.42\% |  | \% |  | 0.717552 | 30.84\% | 19.31\% |  |  |  |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  | Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | Coef | SE Coef | T-Value | P -Value | VIF | Term | Coef | SE Coef | T-Value | P -Value | VIF |
| Constant | 39.476 | 0.149 | 265.49 | 0.000 |  | Constant | 34.155 | 0.192 | 178.10 | 0.000 |  |
| outside |  |  |  |  |  | outside |  |  |  |  |  |


| 34 | -0.476 | 0.219 | -2.18 | 0.050 | 1.24 | 34 | -0.155 | 0.282 | -0.55 | 0.594 | 1.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | -0.048 | 0.192 | -0.25 | 0.808 | 1.24 | 35 | -0.440 | 0.248 | -1.78 | 0.101 | 1.24 |

near cactus1 = 39.476-0.476 outside_34-0.048 outside_35 + near aloe = 34.155-0.155 outside_34-0.440 outside_35+0.595 ou

| Fits and Diagnostics for Unusual Observations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Obsnear <br> cactus1 | Fit Resid | Std Resid | General Linear Model: near aloe1 versus outside |  |  |
| Method |  |  |  |  |  |


| 12 | 40.000 | 39.000 | 1.000 | 2.08 | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 38.000 | 39.000 | -1.000 | -2.08 | R |

## $R$ Large residual

General Linear Model: near aloe versus outside Method

Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36

Analysis of Variance
Source DF Adj SS Adj MS F-Value P-Value
Factor coding $\quad(-1,0,+1)$
Factor Information
Factor Type Levels Values
outside Fixed 3 34, 35, 36
Total $\quad 14 \quad 3.7333$
Analysis of Variance
Model Summary
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total } & 15 & 180.47 & 1.96\end{array}$


Interaction Plot for near aloe

| near aloe | 0.355 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near aloe1 | 0.183 | 0.612 |  |  |
| near cactus | -0.310 | -0.241 | -0.040 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0.211 |
| near cactus1 | 0.591 | 0.075 | -0.058 |  |

Johnson Transformation for outside, near aloe, near ... st, north, south
Pie Chart of outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe1, ...
Pie Chart of outside, near aloe, near cactus, near aloe 1, ...
Scatterplot of near aloe vs outside
Scatterplot of near aloe vs outside
Scatterplot of near cactus vs outside
Scatterplot of near cactus vs outside
Scatterplot of near aloe 1 vs outside
Scatterplot of near aloe1 vs outside
Scatterplot of near cactus1 vs outside
Scatterplot of near cactus1 vs outside
Chart of east, north, south, west
Trend Analysis for east
Method
Model type Quadratic Trend Model

| outside | 15 | 35.00 | 0.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near aloe | 15 | 34.07 | 0.80 |
| near aloe1 | 15 | 38.47 | 0.52 |
| near cactus | 15 | 33.47 | 0.92 |
| near cactus1 | 15 | 39.47 | 0.64 |

Variance estimation Restricted maximum likelihood

MAD 0.56396 DF for fixed effects Kenward-Roger

| MSD $\quad 0.37242$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Trend Analysis Plot for east |
| Trend Analysis for south |  |
| Method |  |

Model type Linear Trend Model

| Data | south |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length | 15 |
| NMissing | 0 |
|  | Fitted Trend Equation |

$\mathrm{Yt}=40.448+0.0357 \times \mathrm{t}$
Accuracy Measures
MAPE 1.12051

| MAD | 0.45556 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MSD | 0.30508 |

Single Exponential Smoothing for east Method
Data east

Length 15

|  |  | Smoothing Constant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha$ | 0.0783544 |  |
|  |  | Accuracy Measures |
| MAPE | 1.40720 |  |
| MAD | 0.59686 |  |
| MSD | 0.42412 |  |

Symmetry Plot for east
Mixed Effects Model: east versus outside, near aloe, near

[^1]Factor Information

| Factor | Type | Levels | Values |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| outside | Random | 3 | $34,35,36$ |
| near aloe | Fixed | 3 | $33,34,35$ |
| near aloe1 | Fixed | 2 | 38,39 |
| near cactus1 | Fixed | 3 | $38,39,40$ |
| near cactus | Fixed | 4 | $32,33,34,35$ |

Variance Components
Source Var \% of Total SE Var Z-Value P-Value
outside $0.000000 \quad 0.00 \%$ * *
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Error } & 0.628788 & 100.00 \% & 0.363031 & 1.732051 & 0.042\end{array}$

Total 0.628788
-2 Log likelihood $=27.967311$ Tests of Fixed Effects

| Term | DF Num | DF Den | F-Value | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near aloe | 2.00 | 6.00 | 0.12 | 0.891 |
| near aloe1 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 0.10 | 0.767 |
| near cactus1 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 0.53 | 0.614 |
| near cactus | 3.00 | 6.00 | 0.12 | 0.942 |

Model Summary
S $\quad$ R-sq $\quad$ R-sq(adj)
$0.792961 \quad 34.20 \% \quad 0.00 \%$
Coefficients
Term
Coef SE Coef DF
T-Value $\quad \mathrm{P}$-Value


| near cactus |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | -0.113636 | 0.778181 | 6.00 | -0.146028 |
| 33 | 0.022727 | 0.565467 | 6.00 | 0.040192 |
| 34 | 0.340909 | 0.759595 | 6.00 | 0.448804 |

Marginal Fits and Diagnostics for Unusual Observations Obs east Fit Resid Std Resid

| 2 | 43.000000 | 43.000000 | 0.000000 | $*$ | X |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 43.000000 | 43.000000 | -0.000000 | -0.000002 | X | $14 \quad 42.00000042 .000000 \quad 0.000000 \quad * \quad \mathrm{X}$

X Unusual X
Mixed Effects Model: west versus outside, near aloe, ... s1,

|  | near cactus <br> Method | Term | Coef | SE Coef | DF | T-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variance estimation | Restricted maximum likelihood | Constant | 40.106061 | 0.300669 | 6.00 | 133.389204 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Factor Information

Factor Type Levels Values
near aloe
$\begin{array}{llllll}33 & 0.000000 & 0.443813 & 6.00 & 0.000000 & 1.000\end{array}$
-2 Log likelihood $=25.161729$
Tests of Fixed Effects
0. Term

DF Num DF Den F-Value P-Value
0. near aloe
0. near aloe1 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near cactus1 } & 2.00 & 6.00 & 0.67 & 0.548\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { near cactus } & 3.00 & 6.00 & 0.42 & 0.743\end{array}$

Model Summary
S R-sq R-sq(adj)
$0.627646 \quad 52.09 \% \quad 0.00 \%$

| 34 | 0.909091 | 0.469941 | 6.00 | 1.934478 | 0. near cactus 1 |  | Fixed | d 3 | 38, 39, 40 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near aloe1 |  |  |  |  | near cactus |  | Fixed | d 4 | 32, 33, 34, 35 |  |  |
| 38 | -0.136364 | 0.231774 | 6.00 | $-0.588348$ | 0.5 |  | Variance Components |  |  | Z-Value | P -Value |
|  |  |  |  |  | Source |  | Var | \% of Total | SE Var |  |  |
| near cactus1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | outside | 0.000 | 0000 | 0.00\% | * | * | * |
| 38 | 0.121212 | 0.740024 | 6.00 | 0.163795 | 0. | 0.303030 |  | 100.00\% | 0.174955 | 1.732051 | 0.042 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Error |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | -0.515152 | 0.611997 | 6.00 | $-0.841754$ | 0. | 0.303030 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 Factor Information

| Factor | Type | Levels | Values | 33 | 0.333333 | 0.389249 | 6.00 | 0.856349 | 0.425 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outside | Random | 3 | $34,35,36$ | 34 | -0.303030 | 0.412166 | 6.00 | -0.735215 | 0.490 |
| near aloe | Fixed | 3 | $33,34,35$ | near aloe1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| near aloe1 | Fixed | 2 | 38,39 | 38 | 0.045455 | 0.203279 | 6.00 | 0.223607 | 0.830 |




## References
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n at the end of a paragraph. Do not add any kind of pagination anywhere in the paper. Do not number text headsthe template will do that for you.

Finally, complete content and organizational editing before formatting. Please take note of the following items when proofreading spelling and grammar:

## A. Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, sc, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

## B. Units

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as " 3.5 -inch disk drive."
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: "Wb/m2" or "webers per square meter," not "webers/m2." Spell units when they appear in text: "...a few henries," not "...a few H."
- Use a zero before decimal points: " 0.25 ," not ". 25 ." Use "cm3," not "cc." (bullet list)


## C. Equations

The equations are an exception to the prescribed specifications of this template. You will need to determine whether or not your equation should be typed using either the Times New Roman or the Symbol font (please no other font). To create multileveled equations, it may be necessary to treat the equation as a graphic and insert it into the text after your paper is styled.

Number equations consecutively. Equation numbers, within parentheses, are to position flush right, as in (1), using a right tab stop. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus ( / ), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=\gamma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Note that the equation is centered using a center tab stop. Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use "(1)," not "Eq. (1)" or "equation (1)," except at the beginning of a sentence: "Equation (1) is ..."

## D. Some Common Mistakes

- The word "data" is plural, not singular.
- The subscript for the permeability of vacuum $\mu_{0}$, and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter "o."
- In American English, commas, semi-/colons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)
- A graph within a graph is an "inset," not an "insert." The word alternatively is preferred to the word "alternately" (unless you really mean something that alternates).
- Do not use the word "essentially" to mean "approximately" or "effectively."
- In your paper title, if the words "that uses" can accurately replace the word using, capitalize the "u"; if not, keep using lower-cased.
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones "affect" and "effect," "complement" and "compliment," "discreet" and "discrete," "principal" and "principle."
- Do not confuse "imply" and "infer."
- The prefix "non" is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the "et" in the Latin abbreviation "et al."
- The abbreviation "i.e." means "that is," and the abbreviation "e.g." means "for example."

An excellent style manual for science writers is [7].

## II. Using the Template

After the text edit has been completed, the paper is ready for the template. Duplicate the template file by using the Save As command, and use the naming convention prescribed by your conference for the name of your paper. In this newly created file, highlight all of the contents and import your prepared text file. You are now ready to style your paper; use the scroll down window on the left of the MS Word Formatting toolbar.

## A. Authors and Affiliations

The template is designed so that author affiliations are not repeated each time for multiple authors of the same affiliation. Please keep your affiliations as succinct as possible (for example, do not differentiate among departments of the same organization). This template was designed for two affiliations.

1) For authorls of only one affiliation (Heading 3): To change the default, adjust the template as follows.
a) Selection (Heading 4): Highlight all author and affiliation lines.
b) Change number of columns: Select the Columns icon from the MS Word Standard toolbar and then select "1 Column" from the selection palette.
c) Deletion: Delete the author and affiliation lines for the second affiliation.
2) For authorls of more than two affiliations: To change the default, adjust the template as follows.
a) Selection: Highlight all author and affiliation lines.
b) Change number of columns: Select the "Columns" icon from the MS Word Standard toolbar and then select " 1 Column" from the selection palette.
c) Highlight author and affiliation lines of affiliation 1 and copy this selection.
d) Formatting: Insert one hard return immediately after the last character of the last affiliation line. Then paste down the copy of affiliation 1. Repeat as necessary for each additional affiliation.
e) Reassign number of columns: Place your cursor to the right of the last character of the last affiliation line of an even numbered affiliation (e.g., if there are five affiliations, place your cursor at end of fourth affiliation). Drag the cursor up to highlight all of the above author and affiliation lines. Go to Column icon and select " 2 Columns". If you have an odd number of affiliations, the final affiliation will be centered on the page; all previous will be in two columns.

## B. Identify the Headings

Headings, or heads, are organizational devices that guide the reader through your paper. There are two types: component heads and text heads.

Component heads identify the different components of your paper and are not topically subordinate to each other. Examples include ACKNOWLEDGMENTS and REFERENCES, and for these, the correct style to use is "Heading 5." Use "figure caption" for your Figure captions, and "table head" for your table title. Run-in heads, such as "Abstract," will require you to apply a style (in this case, italic) in addition to the style provided by the drop down menu to differentiate the head from the text.

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and,
conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced. Styles named "Heading 1," "Heading 2," "Heading 3," and "Heading 4" are prescribed.

## C. Figures and Tables

1) Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation "Fig. l," even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I. TABLE STYLES

| Table | Table Column Head |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table column subhead | Subhead | Subhead |
| copy | More table copy $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a. }}$ Sample of a Table footnote. (Table footnote)

Fig. 1. Example of a figure caption. (figure caption)
We suggest that you use a text box to insert a graphic (which is ideally a 300 dpi resolution TIFF or EPS file with all fonts embedded) because this method is somewhat more stable than directly inserting a picture.

To have non-visible rules on your frame, use the MSWord "Format" pull-down menu, select Text Box > Colors and Lines to choose No Fill and No Line.

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity "Magnetization," or "Magnetization, M," not just "M." If including units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write "Magnetization (A/m)" or "Magnetization (A ( $\mathrm{m}(1)$," not just "A/m." Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write "Temperature (K)," not "Temperature/K."

## Acknowledgment (HEADING 5)

The preferred spelling of the word "acknowledgment" in America is without an "e" after the "g." Avoid the stilted
expression "one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...". Instead, try "R. B. G. thanks...". Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

## References

The template will number citations consecutively within brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket [2]. Refer simply to the reference number, as in [3]-do not use "Ref. [3]" or "reference [3]" except at the beginning of a sentence: "Reference [3] was the first ..."

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the reference list. Use letters for table footnotes

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors' names; do not use "et al.". Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as "unpublished" [4]. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as "in press" [5] Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreignlanguage citation [6].
[1] G. Eason, B. Noble, and I.N. Sneddon, "On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions," Phil Trans. Roy. Soc. London, vol. A247, pp. 529-551, April 1955. (references)
[2] J. Clerk Maxwell, A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, 3rd ed., vol 2. Oxford: Clarendon, 1892, pp.68-73
[3] I.S. Jacobs and C.P. Bean, "Fine particles, thin films and exchange anisotropy," in Magnetism, vol. III, G.T. Rado and H. Suhl, Eds. New York: Academic, 1963, pp. 271-350.
[4] K. Elissa, "Title of paper if known," unpublished.
[5] R. Nicole, "Title of paper with only first word capitalized," J. Name Stand. Abbrev., in press.
[6] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, "Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface," IEEE Transl. J. Magn. Japan, vol. 2, pp. 740-741, August 1987 [Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetics Japan, p. 301, 1982].
[7] M. Young, The Technical Writer's Handbook. Mill Valley, CA University Science, 1989.
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