

GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 2, February 2020, Online: ISSN 2320-9186 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Mediation Effect of Information Technology between Supply Chain Management Capabilities and Business Performance

¹Anwar Pasha ²DrAsim

Abstract

Information Technology is the core component of business processes 21st century and Pakistani firms have utilized varied aspects of information technology, both advantageously and operationally. Therefore, this quantitative study attempts to determine the mediating relationship of information technology between supply chain management capabilities and business performance. The results indicates that there is a positive relationship between supply chain management capabilities and business performance and information technology significantly mediates the relationship between the independent variable supply chain management and dependent variable business performance.

Keywords: Information Technology, Business Performance, Supply Chain Capabilities

¹Anwar Pasha, Research Scholar, Karachi University Business School ²DrAsim, Associate professor, Karachi University Business School

CGSJ

1.1 Introduction

The supply chain encompasses all the companies involved in the production process upstream and downstream - from raw material supply to the last consumer (Slack, Chambers, & Johnston, 2009; Pires, 2016); Therefore, its management consists of a set of methods to better integrate and manage its parameters, such as transportation, inventory and costs (Christopher, 1997). As a result, companies operating in it seek to add value to the product at lower costs and improve long-term performance, both individually and within the chain as a whole (Min &Mentzer, 2004). According to Chopra and Meindl (2011), information is paramount for the knowledge of the global scope of the chain; Information systems therefore provide the tools necessary for better decision making in supply chain management (Corrêa, Gianesi, &Caon, 2010). According to Peng, Schroeder andShah (2011), competitive performance in technological capabilities can be conceived as an advantage assessed in terms of cost, quality, and flexibility and delivery performance.

These characteristics, coupled with human resources, laboratories and state-of-the-art equipment, exchanges between agents involved in the supply chain and less bureaucratic institutional arrangements that encourage the development of technological innovations and organizational performance, define the so-called technological poles (Institute of Studies for Industrial Development, 2014).

These industrial environments have demonstrated efficiency in the transfer of knowledge from science and technology institutions to the business sector, qualifying and generating companies whose focus is to add technology and innovation in their products, processes and services. In Pakistan, the technology centers have already generated more than 30,000 jobs, distributed among research institutes, managing institutes of their own structures and private initiative (Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, 2014).

Method

It's a quantitative research and the primary data we have collected through structured questionnaire from graduates of different institutes. A survey questionnaire for the study was adapted from Peng, Quan, Zhang& Dubinsky (2016). The items of the questionnaire are all closed ended. The data was collected from 347 respondents, 180 were female and 162 were male. While the data for literature review is obtained from research journals, papers and articles.

Results

The demographics data included gender, age, occupation and monthly income. Out of 347 respondents, 180 were female and 162 were male. The majority of the respondents were supervisors (228) while rests are project managers (56), middle level management (48) and top management (10). The age of the respondents were mainly lying between 30 to 49 years (241) while least in age of 50+ having frequency of 7. The highest range of the monthly income falls between Rs.50, 000 to 100,000 (159) followed by Rs.100, 001 to 150,000 (96).

		Freq	Per	Valid Per	Cum Per
Gender	Male	162	47.6	47.6	47.6
	Female	180	52.4	52.4	100
	Total	342	100	100	
Age	Under 19	11	3.2	3.2	3.2
	20-29	83	23.9	23.9	27.1
	30-39	125	36.6	36.6	63.7
	40-49	116	34.3	34.3	98
	50+	7	2	2	100
	Total	342	100	100	
Monthly Salary	<50,000	85	24.8	24.8	24.8
	50,000-100,000	159	46.7	46.7	71.5
	100,001-150,000	96	28	28	99.4
	150,000>	2	0.6	0.6	100

Demographic Profile

	Total	342	100	100	
Management					
Level	Top Management	10	2.9	2.9	2.9
	Middle Level				
	Management	48	14.1	14.1	17
	Project Managers	56	16.4	16.4	33.4
	Supervisors	228	66.6	66.4	100
	Total	342	100	100	

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics

	Ν	М	S.D	Skew		Kurt	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
BPM	342	3.7560	.67566	626	.131	.269	.261
SCP	342	3.4313	.79579	381	.131	.065	.261
IT	342	3.4157	.74463	182	.131	337	.261
Valid N (listwise)	342						

Above mentioned table shows that the maximum skewness belongs to variable of delivery quality i.e. -.172(Mean=3.355, S.D=.753) and the minimum skewness belongs to variable of BPM i.e. -.626 (Mean=3.75, S.D=.675). SCP and IT have positive kurtosis while others have negative kurtosis. As all constructs lie within the range of skewness and kurtosis, i.e. ± 3.5 therefore it can be believed that the data has a normal tendency.

Reliability

Fig 4.3.		
Constructs	Cronbach's Alpha	Number of Item
BP	0.721	6
SCM	0.573	3
IT	0.626	4
Overall	0.849	6

The above mentioned table is reliability of all constructs used in this study. According to Hinton, Brownlow, McMurray, and Cozens (2004), Cronbach's alpha value above 0.75 is considered as high reliability while 0.5 to 0.75 signifies moderate reliability. The overall reliability of the complete instrument used in this study is 0.849. The highest reliability is of business process management (0.721) and the lowest is of SCM (0.573).

Inferential Analysis

SCM

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square
1	.537 ^a	.289	.287

MODEL SUMMARY

ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	65.905	1	65.905	139.983	.000 ^b
Residual	162.429	345	.471		
Total	228.334	346			

a. Dependent Variable: BP

b. Predictors: (Constant), SCM,IT

Coefficients Unstandardized Standardized Coefficients Coefficients В Model Std. Error Beta Sig. t (Constant) .960 4.608 .000 .208 SCM .646 .055 .537 11.831 .000

a. Dependent Variable: BP

The Regression analysis results from the above model signifies that the predictor SCM has an association with business performance and explain 29% of the variance (.289, f=139.983, p<.05), which according to Cohen (1988) is a slightly moderate effect large effect.

IT

Fig 4.4.2. Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.520 ^a	.270	.268	.69510

ANOVA ^a						
Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Regression	61.641	1	61.641	127.576	.000 ^b	
Residual	166.693	345	.483			

Total	228.334	346		
		_	-	

a. Dependent Variable: BP

b. Predictors: (Constant), IT

Coefficients ^a							
	Unstandardized		Standardized				
	Coefficients		Coefficients				
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.		
(Constant)	1.450	.175		8.266	.000		
IT	.567	.050	.520	11.295	.000		

a. Dependent Variable: BP

The Regression analysis results from the above model signifies that the predictor IThas an association with business performance and explain 27% of the variance (.270,

IT=127.576, p<.05), which according to Cohen (1988) is a slightly moderate effect large effect.

Mediator **Fig 4.4.5.**

C	Index	SE (Boot)	BootLLCI	BootULCI
IT	.0000	.0065	0144	.0144

The above model where run on sample size of 342 taking the Independent variable of Supply Chain (X), with the dependent variable of business performance (Y). The mediatorhere is IT (M). The result of direct effect of X on Y here indicates the sig value of 0.0001 which is less than the 0.05 value. The index of moderated mediation value here for bootstrap of confidence interval are -0.0144 (LLCI) and 0.0144(ULCI).

Summary of Hypothesis

S.no	Hypothesis	Decision
H_1	Supply chain management does not influence business process	Rejected
	management.	
H_2	Technology and information systems don't mediate the relationship	Rejected
	between supply chain management and business process management.	
H ₃	Supply chain management doesn't influences capabilities in information	Rejected
	technology and systems.	

Discussion

The results showed that the IT totally intermediate the relation between SC and BP, directly influencing the latter; They also demonstrated that SC in Pakistani technology cluster companies is more focused on commercial aspects and technology adaptation and less on transformation and development of new technologies. Moreover, these findings made room for some theoretical-empirical and managerial implications presented below

Regarding the theoretical and empirical ones, it was identified the need for a macro view on IT and an adaptation of this theme to the context of the national technological poles from the perspective of the SC; we sought to fill a gap of methodological knowledge in the relationship between the constructs addressed.

The use of information systems makes collaboration between supply chain partners easier (Chen, Papazafeiropoulou, & Wu, 2012), with integrated management that improves services and suppresses costs, providing a good supplier-company relationship. -customer (Hong &Jeong, 2006). This integration facilitates the development of strategies with partners, enabling companies, for example, to anticipate, with the help of suppliers, the dynamic needs of customers (Flynn et al., 2010). Peng, Jing, Zhang and Dubinsky (2016) argue that companies should be concerned with SCM, coordination and optimization to improve competitive performance, and for this information systems help companies in more complex operations and that They require faster resolutions and, backed by technological

capabilities, help improve business processes, with accurate information that is easily accessible to other departments and companies in the chain (Modgil& Sharma, 2017). CapT-SI integration is required for GCS integration, producing accurate information that improves business and supply chain efficiency and productivity, and hence customer satisfaction, and ultimately business performance (Budiarto, Prabowo, &Herawan, 2017).

Regarding the implications for management practices, it was concluded that SC is an extremely important factor as a source of interdependence for better competitive performance, both in internal issues (such as materials and cost management) and in external issues (egenhancing relationships with suppliers and customers) through partnerships and developing common research.

Thus, this study meets the empirical results of the literature (Peng J. et al., 2016; Budiarto et al., 2017; Modgil& Sharma, 2017), in which the IT - in particular the integration between Chain links via information systems and R&D investments in new product and process technologies - are among the determining variables in the mediation relationship between companies' supply chain and development, particularly in terms of quality (design, product and design complianceintroducing new products and design flexibility to meet specific customer needs.

Conclusion

Supplier-business-customer interactions increasingly depend on fast and effective internet communication. By utilizing information systems for integration between internal sectors, companies invest in new product and process technologies to improve their competitive performance indicators. In the national context, technology cluster companies attribute to these indicator variables such as compliance with the technical specifications of the project, high quality in design and finishing, introduction of new products to the market and ability to customize product designs to meet specific customer needs.

The hypotheses formulated demonstrate that SCM relates to both competitive performance and capabilities in technology and information systems. These strategies, executed through partnerships at supply chain, consist of actions aimed at adapting new technologies in products and processes, promotional event planning, market forecasting, inventory management, product variety and joint problem solving, providing companies with higher quality, thus increasing their competitive performance. The qualitative and quantitative steps of this study demonstrated the importance of investments in high quality and speed in production and skilled labor to act in innovation processes, corroborating the hypothesis that IT fully intermediate the business performance. It is also noteworthy that companies from Pakistanian technology centers can invest even more in technological capabilities of manufacturing, marketing and strategic planning. Nevertheless, it is worth stressing the limitations of the research, which included the sample size, data collection by accessibility and the studied period, cross-sectional. Finally, it is recommended for future research: a) to develop a computational model that uses different simulation rounds, in order to evaluate the effect of changes in control variables; b) enlarge the sample and compare the results with those of technological centers in other countries; and c) develop ITmediation scenarios with, for example, the use of scanning or saving methods.

The utilization of IT in Pakistani business process can be a shift Pakistani market from traditional systems of production to a system that will be more responsive to the needs and demands of the customers (Chandrashekar& Schary,1999). The effective application of information technology can bring speed and flexibility in supply chain system of Pakistani firms. Moreover, the information about the effectiveness of IT will allow supplier to organize inventories of vendors and distributors, allow managers to manage stock system and make entire supply chain responsive to the needs of consumer market.

Limitations

The data collected has reduced generalizability to the limited number of participant and a limited number of organizations selected for the study. The research needs a higher number of data for more effective results.

C GSJ

References

- Archer, NP, Hong, P., &Jeong, J. (2006). Supply chain management practices of SMEs: from a business growth perspective. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 19 (3), 292-302. https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390610658478.
- Bardin, L. (2011). Content analysis. 2nd reprint of 1st. Edition. Sao Paulo: Editions 70 Ltda / AlmedinaPakistan. 279p.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. Journal of Management, 17 (1), 99-120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108.
- Baron, RM, & Kenny, DA (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51, 1173-1182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173.
- Barratt, M., & Oliveira, A. (2001). Exploring the experiences of collaborative planning initiatives. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 31 (4), 266-289. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030110394932.
- Barratt, M. (2004). Understanding the meaning of collaboration in the supply chain. Supply
 Chain Management: An International Journal, 9 (1), 30-42. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540410517566.
- Bowersox, D.J., Closs, DJ, Cooper, M.B. &Bowersox, JC (2014). Supply Chain Logistics Management (4th ed). Sao Paulo: McGraw Hill, Bookman. 472p.
- Budiarto, DS, Prabowo, MA, &Herawan, T. (2017). An integrated information system to support supply chain management and performance in SME's. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 10 (2), 373-387. https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.2180.

- Burgelman, R., Maidique, MA, &Wheelweight, SC (2004). Strategic management of technology and innovation. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.
- Camisón, C., &Villar-López, A. (2014). Organizational innovation as an enabler of technological innovation capabilities and firm performance. Journal of Business Research, 67 (1), 2891-2902. https://doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.06.004.
- Chen, H., Papazafeiropoulou, A., & Wu, C. (2012). An e-government initiative to support supply chain integration for small to medium sized enterprises: successes and challenges. ACM SIGMIS Database, 42 (4), 63-80. https://doi.org/10.1145/2096140.2096145.
- Chin, WW, &Newsted PR (1999). Structural Equation Modeling analysis with small using Partial Least Squares. Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research. Thousand Oaks, Sage Publications, pp. 307-341.
- Ching, HY (2010). Inventory management in the integrated logistics chain Supply Chain (4th ed). Sao Paulo: Atlas. 254 p.
- Chopra, S.; Meindl, P. (2011). Supply Chain Management: strategy; planning and operations (4th ed). Sao Paulo: Pearson Prentice Hall. 544 p.
- Christopher, M. (1997). Logistics and Supply Chain Management: strategies for cost reduction and service improvement. Sao Paulo: Pioneer. 240 p.
- Cooper, MC, Lambert, DM, &Pagh, JD (1997). Supply Chain Management: More than a new name for logistics. International Journal of Logistics Management, 8 (1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574099710805556.

- Correa, HL, Gianesi, IGN, &Caon, M. (2010). Production Planning, Programming and Control: MRP II / ERP: Concepts, Use and Implementation (5th ed). Sao Paulo: Atlas. 456 p.
- Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed). SAGE Publications.
- Dikshit, SK, &Trivedi, S. (2012). Impact of supply chain management practices on competitive edge and organizational performance: study of cement industry. Paradigm, 16 (2), 67-81.
- Dornier, PP, Ernst, R., Fender, M., &Kouvelis, P. (2000). Logistics and Global Operations: Texts and Cases. Sao Paulo: Atlas. 724 p.
- Dyer, J., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23 (4), 660-679. https://doi.org/10.2307/259056.
- Dornier, PP, Ernst, R., Fender, M., &Kouvelis, P. (2000). Logistics and Global Operations: Texts and Cases. Sao Paulo: Atlas. 724 p.
- Dyer, J., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23 (4), 660-679. https://doi.org/10.2307/259056.
- Ferdows, K., & De Meyer, A. (1990). Lasting improvements manufacturing performance: in search of a new theory. Journal of Operations Management, 9 (2), 168-184. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6963(90)90094-T.
- Fiorini, P. de C., &Jabbour, CJC (2017). Information systems and sustainable supply chain management towards a more sustainable society: where we are and where we are

going. International Journal of Information Management, 37 (4), 241-249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.12.004.

- Flynn, BB, Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: a contingency and configuration approach. Journal of Operation Management, 28 (1), 58-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2009.06.001.
- Fornell, C., &Larcker, DF (1981). Evaluation structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research, 18 (1), 39-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3151312.
- Frohlich, MT, & Westbrook, R. (2001). Arcs of integration: an international study of supply chain strategies. Journal of Operations Management, 19 (2), 185-200. https://doi.org/10.1016/S02726963(00)00055-3.
- Guan, J., & Ma, N. (2003). Innovative capability and export performance of Chinese firms. Technovation, 23 (9), 737-747. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972(02)00013-5.
- Hair Jr., JF, Anderson, RE, Tatham, RL, & Black, WC (2009). Multivariate data analysis with readings (7th ed). Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffsm New Jersey.
- Holcomb, TR, &Hitt, MA (2007). Toward a model of strategic outsourcing. Journal of Operations Management, 25, 464-481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.05.003.
- IEDI Institute for Industrial Development Studies. (2014). Industry and development: IEDI reflections and proposals for the Pakistanian economy. Retrieved on May 27, 2017 http://www.iedi.org.br/anexos_legado/5522db6f0bc9668e.pdf.
- Kylaheiko, K., Jantunen, A., Puumalainem, K., &Saarenketo, S. (2011). Innovation and internationalization as growth strategies: the role of technological capabilities and

- Lawson, B., Krause, D., & Potter, A. (2015). Improving supplier new product development performance: the role of supplier development. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 32 (5), 777-792. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12231.
- Lee, V.-H., Ooi, K.-B., Chong, AYL, &Seow, C. (2014). Creating technological innovation via green supply chain management: an empirical analysis. Expert Systems With Applications, 41 (16), 6983-6994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2014.05.022.
- Lijun, L., &Zuhua, J. (2016). Influence of technological innovation capabilities on product competitiveness. Industrial Management & Data System, 116 (5). 883-902. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-05-2015-0189.
- Lin, HF (2014). The impact of socialization mechanisms and technological innovation capabilities on partnership quality and supply chain integration. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 12 (2), 285-306.
- Bump, ACG, Feldens, LF, & Santos, AM dos. (2007). Impact of information technology on supply chain management: a multiple case study. Management & Production [online], 14 (1), 1-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0104-530X2007000100002.
- Makkonen, H., Pohjola, M., Olkkonen, R., &Koponen, A. (2014). Dynamic capabilities and firm performance in a financial crisis. Journal of Business Research, 67 (1), 2707-2719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.03.02.
- Marconi, M. de A., &Lakatos, EM, (2011). Scientific Methodology (6th ed), Sao Paulo: Atlas. 320 p.

- Mentzer, JT, DeWitt, W., Keebler, JS, Min, S., Nix, NW, Smith, CD, &Zacharia, ZG (2001). Defining Supply Chain Management. Journal of Business Logistics, 22 (2), 1-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2001.tb00001.x.
- Min, S., &Mentzer, JT (2004). Developing and measuring supply chain management concepts. Journal of Business Logistics, 25 (1), 63-99.
- Modgil, S., & Sharma, S. (2017). Information systems, supply chain management and operational performance: tri-linkage - an exploratory study in the pharmaceutical industry of India. Global Business Review, 18 (3), 652-677. https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150917692177.
- Paulraj, A., & Chen, IJ (2007). Strategic buyer-supplier relationships, information technology and external logistics integration. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 43 (2), 2-14.
- Peng DX, Schroeder RG, & Shah R. (2011). Competitive priorities, plant improvement and innovation capabilities, and operational performance: A test of two forms fit. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 31 (5), 484– 510. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111126292.
- Peng, J., Jing, Q., Zhang, G, & Dubinsky, AJ (2016). Mediation effect of business process and supply chain management capabilities on the impact of IT on firm performance: evidence from Chinese firms. International Journal of Information Management, 36 (1), 89-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2015.09.006.

- Pires, SRI (2016). Supply Chain Management Concepts, Strategies, Practices, and Cases (3rd ed). Sao Paulo: Atlas. 288 p.
- Game, D., Oke, A., &Olhager, J. (2016). Supply Chain processes: linking supply logistics integration, supply performance, lean processes and competitive performance. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 26 (2), 220-238.
- Reichert, FM, &Zawislak, PA (2014). Technological Capability and Firm Performance. Journal of Technology Management & Innovation, 9 (4), 20-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242014000400002.
- Ringle, CM, Wende, S., & Becker, J. –M. (2015). SmartPLS 3.Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH. Retrieved on August 20, 2017 from http://www.smartpls.com.
- Rungtusanatham, M., Salvador, F., Forza C., & Choi, TY (2003). Supply chain linkages and operational performance: a resource-based-view perspective. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 23 (9), 1084-1099. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570310491783.
- Seth, M., Goyal, DP, &Kiran, R. (2015). Development of a model for successful implementation of supply chain management information system in Indian automotive industry. SAGE Publication, 19 (3), 248-262.
- Slack, N., Chambers, S., & Johnston, R. (2009). Production management. Translation: Henry LuizCorrêa (3rd ed). Sao Paulo: Atlas. 728 p.
- Vencataya, L., Seebaluck, AK, &Doorga, D. (2016). Assessing the impact of supply chain management on competitive advantage and operational performance: a case of four

star hotels of Mauritius. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6 (4), 61-69.

- Williamson, OE (1985). The economic institutions of capitalism: Firms, markets, relational contracting. New York: The Free Press.
- Yam, RCM; Lo, W .; Tang, EPY, & Lau, AKW (2010). Technological Innovation Capabilities and Firm Performance. International Scholarly and Scientific Research & Innovation, 4 (6), 1056-1064.

C GSJ