

GSJ: Volume 10, Issue 10, October 2022, Online: ISSN 2320-9186 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Physical Land Suitability Analysis for Barely in Debre Tabour Agricultural Research Sub-Center Area, Amhara Region (Ethiopia)

Gebre Tafere (PhD)¹

Abstract

The objective of this study was to assess the suitability of Debre Tabour Sub-agricultural Research Center area for the production of food barley and malting barley. Aggregate data from various sources have been used for the analysis. The study has been benefited from the soil survey report by the FDRE Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Soil Research Center (NSRC) Soil Survey and Land Evaluation Section. The methodology used for the physical land suitability assessment was simple matching method. It consisted of matching environmental requirements of barley with the land qualities of the 12 land map units of the study area and rating suitability for each land characteristics and identifying limiting factors. Results of the simple limitation method showed that all the 12 land map units of the study area are moderately to marginally suitable for the production of both food barley and malting barley. The major limiting factors are nutrient availability, erosion hazard, workability and rooting condition. The study showed that despite some differences in their environmental requirements, there are no any differences between food barley and malting barley in suitability in the study area.

Key Words: Barley, Debre Tabour, Land suitability, Research Center, Ethiopia

1. Introduction

Inappropriate use of the land which is the ultimate source of wealth and the basis on which many civilizations are constructed leads to inefficient exploitation of natural resources, destruction of the resource, poverty and other social problems (Rossiter, 1996). The natural resources are affected negatively as a result of misusage of the land which along with the increasing population makes, among other things, sustainable agriculture impossible (Sarisamur and Kiliç, 2011). Thus, society must ensure that land is not degraded and that it is used according to its capacity to satisfy human needs for present and future generations while also maintaining the earth's ecosystems (Amiri and Mohamed Shariff, 2012). Part of the solution to the land-use problem is land evaluation in support of rational land-use planning and appropriate and sustainable use of natural and human resources (Rossiter, 1996).

Land evaluation, as defined by FAO (1976), is concerned with the assessment of land performance when used for specified purposes and involves the execution and interpretation of basic surveys of climate, soils, vegetation and other aspects of land in terms of the requirements of alternative forms of land use. As such it provides a rational basis for taking land-use decisions based on analysis of relations between land use and land (Sys et al., 1991). Land evaluation is the process of predicting the use potential of land on the basis of its attributes but does not include optimal land allocation rather it supplies the technical coefficients necessary for optimal land allocation (Rossiter, 1996).This means that two phases are considered for defining a land use planning model: land evaluation, in which the suitability of the land for the uses considered is evaluated, and land use allocation, from which the optimum allocation of uses to land units is decided according to the results of the previous phase (Santé & Crecente, 2006).

The objective of this study was to assess the suitability of Debre Tabour Sub-agricultural Research Center for the production of food barley and malting barley using simple limitation method.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 The study Area

This suitability analysis was conducted in the Debre Tabour Sub-agricultural Research Center which is located in South Gondar Administrative Zone of the Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. Its Geographic extent ranges from 11^0 51' 40' to 11^0 52' 10'' north latitude and from 37^0 59'' 35'' to 38^0 00' 05'' eastern longitude and it has a total area of 35.09 ha (FDRE Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Soil Research Center (NSRC) Soil Survey and Land Evaluation Section, 2006).

2.2 Data Sources

Aggregate data from various sources have been used for the analysis. This study has been benefited from the soil survey report by the FDRE Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Soil Research Center (NSRC) Soil Survey and Land Evaluation Section (2006); which was supposed to have valuable information for researchers. The report was based on a soil map at a scale of 1:5,000. Twelve land map units were identified in which each mapping unit was distinguished by three criteria (slope, soil depth and surface texture). Each mapping unit is indicated by three elements: a number indicating the first criteria (slope class), a lower case letter indicating the second criteria (soil depth class) and a number following the lower case (surface texture code). For example, a mapping unit 5b5; where in 5-slope class, b-soil depth class and 5-surface texture code.

| Slop | be % | Soil depth (cm) | |) Surface texture (0-30 cm) | | | |
|-------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------------------|------|------------|------|
| Class | Code | Class | Code | Туре | Code | Туре | code |
| 0-1 | 1 | >150 | a | Heavy clay | 1 | Loam | 8 |
| 1-2 | 2 | 100-150 | b | Clay | 2 | Sandy loam | 9 |
| 2-5 | 3 | 50-100 | c | Sandy clay | 3 | Silt loam | 10 |
| 5-10 | 4 | 30-50 | d | Silty clay | 4 | Silt | 11 |
| 10-15 | 5 | <30 | e | Clay loam | 5 | Loamy sand | 12 |
| 15-30 | 6 | | | Silty clay loam | 6 | Sand | 13 |
| >30 | 7 | | | Sandy clay loam | 7 | | |

 Table 1: Distinguishing criteria of the mapping units

Source: FDRE Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Soil Research Center (NSRC) Soil Survey and Land Evaluation Section (2006)

The climate data and the length of growing period were obtained from an Agro-climatic Resources Inventory for Land Use Planning (FAO, 1983). The rainfall data is for a period of 10 years and the temperature data is for 11 years. The data for Debre Tabour Station is presented below.

| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | August | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | year |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | | 0.4 |
| Mean Min. Temp. (°C) | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8./ | 8.1 | 1.3 | /.1 | 6./ | 8.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Max. Temp. (⁰ C) | 29.1 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 24.5 | 25.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Temp. (⁰ C) | 18.6 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 16.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rainfall (mm) | 6 | 11 | 42 | 46 | 93 | 180 | 501 | 476 | 193 | 66 | 21 | 16 | 1651 |
| Potential | 102 | 107 | 136 | 135 | 120 | 91 | 58 | 59 | 84 | 100 | 91 | 66 | 1169 |
| Evapo-transpiration | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mm) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2: Climate Data of the study area

The environmental requirements of the crops of this study were obtained from the report prepared for the Government of Ethiopia by FAO (1983) to assist land use planning. The ranges of suitability are slightly different from the FAO (1976) suitability in that it has used three classes instead of five classes and are presented below:

- i) S1- highly suitable
- ii) S2 moderately to marginally suitable
- iii) N-not suitable

2.3 Methodology

The methodology used for the physical land suitability assessment is simple matching method based on Sys et al. (1991) matching tables. It consists of matching environmental requirements of barley with the land qualities of the 12 land map units of the study area and rating suitability for each land characteristics and identifying limiting factors.

The land qualities used in this study include temperature regime, moisture availability, oxygen availability, nutrient availability, rooting condition, soil workability and erosion hazard. Several diagnostic characteristics have been used to determine these land qualities. The land characteristics of mean growing period and altitude have been used to determine the land quality temperature regime. Moisture availability of the study area was indirectly determined by three land characteristics which include total soil depth, length of growing period and total growing period rainfall amount. Oxygen availability was determined by the soil drainage characteristics. The land quality nutrient availability was also determined indirectly by two land characteristics namely: soil organic matter and mean pH value. Rooting condition, soil workability and erosion hazard were indirectly determined by soil texture and slope steepness characteristics.

| No | Land Quality | Land | Unit | Ranges of Suitability | | | |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| | | Characteristics | | S1 | S2 | Ň | |
| | | | | Highly | Moderately to | Not Suitable | |
| | | | | Suitable | Marginally | | |
| | | | | | Suitable | | |
| 1 | Temperature Regime | Altitude | m | 2000-3000 | 1500-2000 | Below 1500 | |
| - | remperature regime | 1 111111111 | | 2000 2000 | 3000-3800 | Over 3800 | |
| | | Mean temperature | ⁰ C | 12 5-17 5 | 7 5-12 5 | Below 7.5 | |
| | | for growing | e | 12.5 17.5 | 17.5-22.5 | Over 22.5 | |
| | | neriod | | | 17.5 22.5 | 0.001 22:5 | |
| | | Possible | Month | None Oct – | None Oct | Any frost in | |
| | | occurrence of | Wionui | Nov slight | slight Nov – | Oct –severe | |
| | | flood hazard | | Dece | Dece | in Nov Dece | |
| 2 | Growing Period | Length of | Dav | 120-180 | 90-120 | Below 90 | |
| _ | | growing period | , | | | , , , | |
| 3 | Moisture availability | Rainfall during | mm | 400-800 | 200-1200 | Below 200 | |
| | - | growing period | | | 800-1200 | Over 1200 | |
| 4 | Drainage | Soil drainage | Class | W | Ι | VP-P | |
| | - | _ | | | SE | Е | |
| 5 | Degradation Hazard | Mean temperature | ^{0}C | 12.5-17.5 | 7.5-12.5 | Below 7.5 | |
| | | for growing | | | 17.5-22.5 | Over 22.5 | |
| | | period | | | | | |
| | | Length of | Day | 120-180 | 90-120 | Below 90 | |
| | | growing period | | | | | |
| | | Soil unit | FAO unit | Jgrthblan | EV | IQZYXO | |
| | | Soil texture | class | L-SC | SL | S-LS | |
| | | | 0/ | 0.0 | S1C-C(b) | 0.15 | |
| | | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-15 | Over 15 | |
| | | Slope angle | % | 0-8 | 8-30 | Over 30 | |
| 6 | Nutrient Status and | Soil texture | Class | L-SC | SL | S-SL | |
| | Retention | | | | SiC-C(b1) | | |
| | | Soil reaction | pН | 5.5-7.3 | 5.0-5.5 | Below 5.0 | |
| | | | - | | 7.3-8.5 | Over 8.5 | |
| | | Organic Matter | % | Over 3 | 1-3 | 0-1 | |
| 7 | Rooting Condition | Effective soil | cm | Over 100 | 25-100 | 0-25 | |
| | and workability | depth | | | | | |
| | | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-15 | Over 15 | |
| | | outcrops | | | | | |
| | | Soil texture | class | L-SC | SL | S-SL | |
| | | | | | SiC-C(b1) | | |
| | | Soil structure | class | Acr, Agr, | CBl, MPr, | CPr, CCo, | |
| | | | | FBl, FPr, | MCo, FPl | MPI-CPL, | |
| | | | | FCo | | Mas, Inc | |
| 8 | Toxicities | Electrical conductivity | mmhs/cm | 0-10 | 10-16 | Over 16 | |
| | | Other limiting | ESP% | 0-35 | 35-50 | Over 50 | |
| | | toxicities | CaCO ₃ % | 0-30 | 30-60 | Over 60 | |
| 9 | Management Land | Slope angle | % | 0-8 | 8-30 | Over 30 | |
| | Preparation and | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-15 | Over 15 | |
| | Mechanization | outcrops | | | | | |
| | Potential | Soil texture | class | L-SC | SL | S-SL | |
| | | | | | SiC-C(b1) | | |

 Table 3: Crop Environmental Requirement for Food Barley (Hordeum vulgare)

| No | Land Quality | Land | Unit | Ranges of Suitability | | | |
|----|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | | Characteristics | | S1 | S2 | N | |
| | | | | Highly | Moderately to | Not Suitable | |
| | | | | Suitable | Marginally | Not Buildble | |
| | | | | Sulturit | Suitable | | |
| 1 | Temperature Regime | Altitude | m | 2000-3000 | 1500-2000 | Below 1500 | |
| 1 | remperature Regime | Annual | 111 | 2000-3000 | 3000 3800 | Over 3800 | |
| | | Maan tanaa aataa | ⁰ C | 105 175 | 5000-5800 | Dver 3800 | |
| | | Mean temperature | C | 12.5-17.5 | 1.5-12.5 | Below 7.5 | |
| | | for growing | | | 17.5-22.5 | Over 22.5 | |
| | | period | | N | N | | |
| | | Possible | Month | None Oct. – | None Oct. | Any frost in | |
| | | occurrence of | | Nov. slight | slight Nov. – | Oct. –severe | |
| | | flood hazard | | Dece. | Dece. | in Nov. Dece. | |
| 2 | Growing Period | Length of | Day | 150-180 | 120-150 | Below 120 | |
| | | growing period | | | | | |
| 3 | Moisture availability | Rainfall during | mm | 500-800 | 400-500 | Below 400 | |
| | | growing period | | | 800-1200 | Over 1200 | |
| 4 | Drainage | Soil drainage | Class | W | Ι | VP-P | |
| | e | C C | | | SE | Е | |
| 5 | Degradation Hazard | Mean temperature | ⁰ C | 12.5-17.5 | 7.5-12.5 | Below 7.5 | |
| 5 | Degraduation mazara | for growing | e | 12.0 17.0 | 17 5-22 5 | Over 22.5 | |
| | | neriod | | | 17.5 22.5 | 0.00 22.5 | |
| | | Longth of | Dov | 150 180 | 120 150 | Balow 120 | |
| | | growing pariod | Day | 150-180 | 120-130 | Delow 120 | |
| | | giowing period | EAOunit | Iouthhlon | EV | IOZVXO | |
| | | Soli unit | FAO unit | Jgruibian | EV | | |
| | | Son texture | class | L-SC | SL SC C(1) | S-LS | |
| | 11 | | A / | | SiC-C(b) | 0.15 | |
| | | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-15 | Over 15 | |
| | | Slope engle | 0/ | 0.8 | <u> </u> | Orran 20 | |
| 6 | Nutriant Status and | Stope angle | % Class | 0-8 | 8-30 SI | Over 50 | |
| 0 | Nutrient Status and | Son texture | Class | L-SC | SL SIG C(11) | 3-3L | |
| | Retention | a 11 | ** | | SiC-C(b1) | | |
| | | Soil reaction | рН | 5.5-7.3 | 5.0-5.5 | Below 5.0 | |
| | | | | | 7.3-8.5 | Over 8.5 | |
| | | Organic Matter | % | Over 3 | 1-3 | 0-1 | |
| 7 | Rooting Condition | Effective soil | cm | Over 100 | 25-100 | 0-25 | |
| | and workability | depth | | | | | |
| | | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-15 | Over 15 | |
| | | outcrops | | | | | |
| | | Soil texture | class | L-SC | SL | S-SL | |
| | | | | | SiC-C(b1) | | |
| | | Soil structure | class | Acr. Agr. | CBl. MPr. | CPr. CCo. | |
| | | | ••••• | FB1 FPr | MCo FPI | MPI-CPL | |
| | | | | FCo | 1100,111 | Mas Inc | |
| 8 | Toxicities | Flectrical | mmhs/cm | 0-8 | 8-12 | Over 12 | |
| 0 | | conductivity | 1111113/0111 | | 012 | 0101 12 | |
| | | Other limiting | FSP% | 0-35 | 35-50 | Over 50 | |
| | | toxicities | | 0.30 | 30.60 | Over 60 | |
| 0 | Managamant I and | Slope angle | | 0-30 | 9 20 | Over 30 | |
| 7 | Propagation card | Stope aligie | 70 0/ | 0-0 | 0-30 | Over 50 | |
| | Mechanization and | Stones and rock | % | 0-3 | 3-13 | Over 15 | |
| | Niechanization | outcrops | 1 | 1.00 | CT. | a ar | |
| | Potential | Soil texture | class | L-SC | SL | S-SL | |
| | | 1 | | | SiC-C(b1) | | |

 Table 4: Crop Environmental Requirement for Malting Barley (Hordeum vulgare)

3. Results

Environmental requirements of food barley and malting barley and land qualities are matched based on Sys et al. (1991) matching tables. The succeeding tables show the simple matching results for each of the 12 land map units.

| Area:- 0.71 ha | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
| | | | Food Barley | Malting Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰C) (gpt) Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 16.0 2,580 | S1 S1 | S1 S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil rganic matter (%) (som) | 0.24-3.31 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-5.84 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 10-15 | S2 | S2 |

Table 5: Land Map Unit:- Dystric Luvisols (5b5)

Table 6: Land Map Unit:- Dystric Luvisols (4b5)

Area:- 1.28 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | R | ating |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| (t) | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| (m) | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | | | |
| | (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| (0) | | | | |
| Nutrient availability | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.76-3.07 | S2 | S2 |
| (f) | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-5.7 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| (r) | | | | |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 5 - 10 | S1 | S2 |

| Area:- 1.83 ha | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | | | |
| | (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.41-3.34 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-6.3 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 5 - 10 | S1 | S2 |

Table 7: Land Map Unit:- Dystric Luvisols (4a5)

Table 8: Land Map Unit:- Haplic Phacozems (3c2)

Area:- 1.50 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | R | ating |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| (t) | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| (m) | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | - | | |
| | (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.38-2.62 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-6.6 | S1 | S2 |
| | | | | |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | С | S2 | S2 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | С | S2 | S2 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 2 - 5 | S1 | S2 |

Table 9: Land Map Unit:- Eutric Fluvisols (1c5)

Area:- 1.43 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | R | ating |
|---------------------------|--|----------|--------|---------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| (t) | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| (m) | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 1.97-3.4 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 6.0-6.5 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 0 - 1 | S1 | S1 |

| Area:- 9.01 ha | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|-------------|----------------|--|
| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | | |
| | | | Food Barley | Malting Barley | |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C | 16.0 | S1 | S1 | |
| | (gpt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 | |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | | | | |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 | |
| | • Length of growing period (days) | 214 | S1 | S1 | |
| | (lgp) | | | | |
| | • Total growing period rainfall | 1535 | S1 | S1 | |
| | amount (mm) (gprf) | | | | |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 | |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.58-7.71 | S2 | S2 | |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-8.5 | S2 | S2 | |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 | |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 | |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 2-5 | S1 | S1 | |

Table 10: Land Map Unit:- Eutric Vertisols (3a5)

Table 11: Land Map Unit:- Eutric Fluvisols (2a9)

Area:- 1.66 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | R | ating |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| (m) | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount | | | |
| | (mm) (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.79-2.55 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.0-6.5 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | SL | S2 | S2 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | SL | S2 | S2 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 1-2 | S1 | S1 |

Table 12: Land Map Unit:- Haplic Nitosols (3b2)

Area:- 2.36 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Ra | ating |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|--------|---------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | | | |
| | (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.45-3.03 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.7-6.6 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | Soil texture/structure class (text) | SL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | SL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 2-5 | S1 | S1 |

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S 1 | S 1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) (gprf) | 1535 | S 1 | S 1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 1.28-3.34 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.1-7.1 | S1 | S 1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 5-10 | S2 | S2 |

Table 13: Land Map Unit:- Haplic Luvisols (4a5)

Area:- 5.02 ha

Table 14: Land Map Unit:- Dystric Cambisols (5b5)

| | | | - | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Dorlay | Dorlay |
| | | | Darley | Darley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount (mm) | | | |
| | (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 2.36-3.67 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 5.3-6.3 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | CL | S1 | S1 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 10-15 | S2 | S2 |

Table 15: Land Map Unit:- Eutric Vertisols (4a1)

Area: - 5.54 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|--------|------------|
| | | | Food | Malting |
| | | | Barley | Barley |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem. ⁰ C (gpt) | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| Moisture availability (m) | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Length of growing period (days) (lgp) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Total growing period rainfall amount | | | |
| | (mm) (gprf) | 1535 | S1 | S 1 |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.72-2.79 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 6.1-6.64 | S1 | S1 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | С | S2 | S2 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | С | S2 | S2 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 5-10 | S2 | S2 |

Area: - 6.01 ha

| Land Quality | Land Characteristics | Value | Rating | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|--------|----------------|
| | | | Food | Malting Barley |
| | | | Barley | |
| Temperature Regime (t) | • Mean growing period (Tem.) ⁰ C | 16.0 | S1 | S1 |
| | (gpt) | 2,580 | S1 | S1 |
| | • Altitude (m.a.s.l) (m) (alt) | | | |
| Moisture availability | • Total soil depth (cm) | 100-150 | S1 | S1 |
| (m) | • Length of growing period (days) | 214 | S1 | S1 |
| | (lgp) | | | |
| | • Total growing period rainfall | 1535 | S1 | S1 |
| | amount (mm) (gprf) | | | |
| Oxygen availability (o) | Soil drainage (class) | W | S1 | S1 |
| Nutrient availability (f) | • Soil organic matter (%) (som) | 0.65-6.74 | S2 | S2 |
| | • Mean pH value (pHs) | 4.9-7.9 | S2 | S2 |
| Rooting Conditions (r) | • Soil texture/structure class (text) | L | S2 | S2 |
| Soil workability (w) | • Soil texture class (tex) | L | S2 | S2 |
| Erosion hazard (e) | • Slope steepness, percent (slop) | 2-5 | S1 | S1 |

Table 16: Land Map Unit:- Haplic Vertisols (3a8)

Table 17: Results of the qualitative suitability assessment of land map units for food barley and malting barley

| No | Mapping Units | Food Barley | Malting Barley |
|----|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1 | 5b5 (Dystric Luvisols) | S2ef | S2ef |
| 2 | 4b5 (Dystric Luvisols) | S2f | S2fe |
| 3 | 4a5 (Dystric Luvisols) | S2f | S2fe |
| 4 | 3c2 (Haplic Phaeozems) | S2f | S2fe |
| 5 | 1c5 (Eutric Fluvisols) | S2f | S2f |
| 6 | 3a5 (Eutric Vertisols) | S2f | S2f |
| 7 | 2a9 (Eutric Fluvisols) | S2fw | S2fw |
| 8 | 3b2 (Haplic Nitosols) | S2f | S2f |
| 9 | 4a5 (Haplic Luvisols) | S2e | S2e |
| 10 | 5b5 (Dystric Cambisols) | S2e | S2e |
| 11 | 4a1 (Eutric Vertisols) | S2frwe | S2frwe |
| 12 | 3a8 (Haplic Vertisols) | S2fw | S2fw |

Limiting factors

f = nutrient availability e = erosion hazard w = workability r = rooting condition

4. Conclusion

Results of the simple limitation method showed that all the 12 land map units of the study area are moderately to marginally suitable for the production of both food barley and malting barley. The major limiting factors are nutrient availability, erosion hazard, workability and rooting condition. The study showed that there are no any differences between food barley and malting barley in suitability in the study area despite some differences in their environmental requirements.

References

- Amiri, F. & Mohamed Shariff, A. R. (2012). Application of geographic information systems in landuse suitability evaluation for beekeeping: A case study of Vahregan watershed (Iran). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 7(1), 89-97.
- FAO (1983). Assistance to land use planning, Ethiopia land evaluation, Part Three: Crop environmental requirements. Addis Ababa.
- FAO, (1976). A Framework for Land Evaluation, Roma, FAO.
- FDRE Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Soil Research Center (NSRC) Soil Survey and Land Evaluation Section, 2006). Soils of adet Agricultural Center and its testing sites.
- Rossiter, D.G., (1996). A theoretical frame work for land evaluation. Geoderma, 72(3-4): 165–190.
- Santé, I. & Crecente, R. (2006). Models and Methods for Rural Land Use Planning and their Applicability in Galicia (Spain). *Environment and Planning B:Planning and Design*, 33, 165 183.
- Sys, C. Van, E. Ranst and J. Debaveye, (1991a). Land Evaluation. Part II: Metod land evaluation. General Administration 1 for Development Cooperation.

