

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. The Respondents' Socio-Demographic and Economic Profile

The socio-demographic and economic profile of the respondents included in this study is the following: Age, Gender, Civil Status, family Size, family Income and Educational Attainment. The data is presented on Table 1.

Table 1. The distribution of Socio-demographic and Economic Profile of the Respondents

Variable		Frequency	Percentage
Age	Less than 20	5	4.2
	20 to 29	20	16.7
	30 to 39	46	38.3
	40 to 49	35	29.2
	50 to 59	10	8.3
	60 and above	4	3.3
	Total	120	100.0
	Mean	37.39	
Gender	Female	65	54.0
	Male	54	45.0
	Widow/Widower	1	0.83
	Total	120	100.0
Civil Status	Single	15	12.5
	Married	96	80.0
	Widowed/er	7	5.8
	Separated	2	1.7
	Total	120	100.0
Family Size	0 to 2	8	6.7
	3 to 5	64	53.3
	6 to 8	36	30.0
	9 to 11	12	10.0
	Total	120	100.0
	Mean	6	
Family Income	Less than 5000	7	5.8
	5000 to 9999	43	35.8

	10000 to 14999	44	36.7
	15000 to 19999	11	9.1
	20000 and above	15	12.5
	Total	120	100.0
	Mean	10820.00	
Educational Attainment	Elementary Level	38	31.6
	High School Level	65	54.2
	College Level	17	14.2
	Total	120	100.0

Legend: n= 120

Table 1 showed the frequency distribution of socio-demographic and economic profile of the internally displaced people with the total of 120 idp's.

It shows that the mean of their ages is 37.39. It also shows that the highest percentage of idp's belongs ages between 30 to 39 years with 38.3% while the lowest percentage of idp's belongs to the ages between 60 and above with percentage 3.3%.

The Frequency distribution for the gender of the idp's were distributed as 65 females, 54 males and 1 widow/widower.

The highest population of idp's are married with the frequency of 96 respondents with the percentage of 80.0 while the lowest frequency belongs to separated in status with the frequency of 2. Further, most of the idp's has a family size of 3 to 5 members. The overall mean of family member's distribution is 6.

A little more than one-third of the idp's has income between 10,000 to 14,999 with the frequency of 44 respondents. They are mostly high school level on their educational attainment with the frequency of 65, followed by elementary level with 38 frequency and few of them have reached college with the frequency of 17.

B. Psychological Condition of IDP's

Table 2. Frequency And Mean Distribution on Psycho-Social Condition of IDP's

Statement: Activities	Psycho-Social	Frequency	Mean	Description
		5 4 3 2 1		
1. Games		3 9 38 68 2	2.52	Fair
2. Arts and Drawing		2 6 20 12 6	2.27	Poor
3. Film Viewing		2 4 8 85 21	2.01	Poor
Overall			2.27	Poor

Legend: n= 120

Range	Description
4.50 – 5.00	Very good
3.50 – 4.49	Good
2.50 – 3.49	Fair
1.50 – 2.49	Poor
1.00 – 1.49	Very Poor

Table 2 shows the psychological condition of the internally displaced people in terms of psycho-social activities during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.27 described as poor.

Specifically, the respondents have poor conditions on the following item 2. Arts and drawing, and item 3, Film viewing. It implies that there are no activities on intervention done psychologically on the aforementioned items.

Table 3. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Stress and Trauma Debriefing

Statement: Debriefing	Stress and Trauma	Frequency	Mean	Description
		5 4 3 2 1		
1. Orientation		1 8 23 37 51	1.93	Poor

2. Meditation and Relaxation	1	4	17	46	52	1.80	Poor
3. Breathing exercise	1	4	28	22	65	1.78	Poor
Overall						1.84	Poor

Legend: n = 120

Table 3 Shows that the psychological conditions of the internally displaced people in terms of Stress and Trauma Debriefing during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.84 described as poor condition.

It shows that the respondents have poor conditions in all activities listed like orientation with mean of 1.93, meditation and relaxation with the mean of 1.80, and standard deviation of 0.87 and breathing exercise with mean of 1.84; all under stress and trauma debriefing.

Therefore, this shows that the internally displaced people have poorly encountered those activities that made them stress free and left their trauma as just an experience. Furthermore, findings of some studies related to this showed that the above items assessed as statement very good considering that in some cases the concerned agencies are fully aware of their obligation and responsibilities towards the internally displaced people at the evacuation centers.

Table 4. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Health Assistance

Statement: Health Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Physician Check-up	1	1	27	86	5	2.23	Poor
2. Blood Pressure Monitor	1	2	26	85	6	2.23	Poor
3. Mental Health Check-up	1	1	5	85	28	1.85	Poor
Overall						2.10	Poor

Table 4 Shows that the psychological condition of the internally displaced people in terms of Health Assistance during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.10 described as poor.

Specifically, the respondents have poor condition on the following statements: 1. Physician Check Up; 2. Blood Pressure Monitor, 3. Mental Health Check-up.

It implies that the psychological condition of the IDP's on health assistance is poor.

Table 5. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Guidance and Counseling of IDP's

Statement: Guidance and Counseling	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Developing A Relationship	0	2	1	31	7	1.52	Poor
2. Making An Informed Assessment	1	3	4	39	7	1.50	Poor
3. Establishing Mutually Agreed Upon Goals and Objectives	1	2	5	38	7	1.48	Very Poor
Overall						1.52	Poor

Table 5. Shows that the psychological condition of the internally displaced people in terms of Guidance and Counseling during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.52.

Specifically, the statement 3. Establishing Mentally Agreed upon Goals and Objectives has very poor frequency that made the overall total result poor, that also shows in evacuation center the IDP's has no assistance in counseling and not been guided on how do they cope up. This implies further, that internally displaced people is not been guided and never been counsel.

Table 6. Summary of mean on the Psychological Condition of the IDP's at the Evacuation Center

Psychological condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center.	Mean	Description
1. Psycho-Social Activities	2.27	Poor
2. Stress and Trauma Debriefing	1.84	Poor
3. Health Assistance	2.10	Poor
4. Guidance and Counseling of the IDP's	1.52	Poor
Overall	1.93	Poor

Table 6. Shows the summary of psychological condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.93 described as poor.

Specifically, the respondent has poor condition on all statements from statement 1. Psycho-social activities with the mean of 2.27 down to the statement 4. Guidance and Counseling of the IDP's which has a mean of 1.52. It implies that the summary of psychological condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center is described poor as poor condition.

C. Social Condition of IDP's

Table 7. Frequency and Mean distribution on the Livelihood Assistance

Statement: Assistance	Livelihood		Frequency			Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Curtain and Dress Making	2	4	66	35	13	2.56	Fair
2. Sleeping Mat Weaving	0	0.2	44.	5.3	13	2.50	Fair
3. Basket Weaving	0	18	69	29	12	2.77	Fair
Overall						2.61	Fair

Legend: n= 120 Range Description
 4.50 – 5.00 Very good
 3.50 – 4.49 Good
 2.50 – 3.49 Fair
 1.50 – 2.49 Poor
 1.00 – 1.49 Very Poor

Table 7 Shows that the social condition of the internally displaced people in terms of Livelihood Assistance at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.61 described as poor.

Specifically, the respondents have fair conditions on the following statements: 1. Curtain and dress Making, 2. Sleeping Mat Weaving, and 3. Basket Weaving. It implies that the social condition on Livelihood Assistance of the idp's is fair. This shows further that some of the government and other concerned agencies are effective in livelihood assistance to the idp's during their stay at the evacuation center. This also shows that some government agencies are more focused on the social condition of the internally displaced people rather than psychological condition activities, that idp's primary needs is livelihood, that they are not so devastated by the conflicts in terms of psycho-social.

Table 8. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Relief Assistance

Statement: Relief Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Food Items	0	80	16	24	0	3.47	Fair
2. Non-Food Items	0	76	27	17	0	3.49	Fair
3. Hygiene & Water Kit	2	74	15	26	3	3.38	Fair
Overall						3.45	Fair

Table 8. Shows that the social condition of the internally displaced people in terms of Relief Assistance of the government at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 3.45 as described as fair.

Specifically, in all relief assistance from the government such as: 1. Food items, 2. Non-food Items, and 3. Hygiene and water Kit are described as fair. It implies that the social condition of the idp's is fair.

Therefore, the result of the above table of relief assistance described as fair. This means that the idp's on the average extent are satisfied with the assistance given to them by the government.

Table 9. Frequency and Mean on the Securities and Safety Assistance

Statement: Securities and Safety Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Philippine Army / CAFGU Outpost	1	52	3	1	1	3.05	Fair
2. Police Personnel Visibility	1	52	3	2	1	3.06	Fair
3. Barangay/Tanod Roving	4	6.5	1	1	1	3.22	Fair

	6	8	5		
Overall				3.11	Fair

Legend: n = 120

Table 9 Shows that the psychological conditions of the internally displaced people in terms of security and safety at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 3.11. Specifically, the respondents have fair conditions in all the statements: It implies that the idp's is properly monitored in terms of their safety and security needs.

This means further, that in all terms of social condition of the idp's at the evacuation center from livelihood assistance, relief assistance of food items and non-food items, security and safety assistance generally described as fair and can also be described as closely to a range of good.

Table 10. Summary of Mean on the Social Condition of the IDP's at the Evacuation Center

Social condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center	Mean	Description
1. Livelihood Assistance	2.61	Fair
2. Relief Assistance	3.45	Fair
3. Security and Safety Assistance	3.11	Fair
Overall	3.06	Fair

Table 10. Shows the summary of social condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 3.06.

Specifically, the respondents has fair condition in all the statements, the statement from 1. Livelihood Assistance down to the statement 3. Security and Safety Assistance. It implies that the summary of social condition of the IDP's at the evacuation center is fair.

D. Satisfaction on the Government Assistance

Table 11. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Livelihood Assistance

Statement: Livelihood Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Curtain and Dress Making	1	1	57	57	4	2.48	Dissatisfied
2. Sleeping Mat Weaving	1	1	51	63	4	2.43	Dissatisfied
3. Basket Weaving	1	15	65	35	4	2.78	Fair
Overall						2.56	Fair

Legend: n=120 Range Description

4.50 – 5.00	Very satisfied
3.50 – 4.49	Satisfied
2.50 – 3.49	Fair
1.50 – 2.49	Dissatisfied
1.00 – 1.49	Very Dissatisfied

Table 11 Shows that the level of satisfaction of the internally displaced people in terms of livelihood assistance in government response during their stay at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.56.

Specifically, the respondents are dissatisfied on the following statements: 1. Curtain and dress making with a mean of 2.48, and 2. Sleeping Mat weaving with mean of 2.43. While number 3. Basket weaving has fair description with mean of 2.78 that made the overall mean of 2.56 described as fair. It implies that the level of satisfaction of the idp's in terms of livelihood assistance is just fair.

As it is explain further, the first two (2) statement of 1. Curtain and Dress Making considering, 2. Sleeping Mat Weavings the respondents describe it as dissatisfied, that out of three statements one statement is described as fair but on the average, the description is generally fair on social satisfaction of the IDP's on the government response in terms of livelihood assistance, looking at the table, it is observed that respondents it is observed that respondents described the last statement as fairly

satisfied. This suggests that, government is willing to support the idp's in terms of their livelihood.

Table 12. Frequency and Mean on Relief Assistance

Statement: Relief Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Food Items							
a. Noodles	0	79	26	14	1	3.53	Satisfied
b. Canned Goods	0	79	26	14	1	3.153	Satisfied
c. Rice	0	78	28	13	1	3.53	Good
2. Non-Food Items							
a. Blanket (Malong)	0	30	64	22	4	3.00	Fair
b. Mosquito Net/Sleeping Mat	0	31	61	23	5	2.98	Fair
c. Kitchen Kit	0	18	61	32	9	2.73	Fair
3. Hygiene and water Kit							
a. Sanitary Pad	0	2	61	22	14	2.42	Dissatisfied
b. Water Jar	0	3	63	49	5	2.53	Fair
c. Soap and Dental Kit	0	3	63	40	14	2.46	Dissatisfied
4. Medicine kit							
a. First Aid Kit	0	0	36	64	20	2.13	Dissatisfied
b. Loperamide & Paracetamol	0	1	35	73	11	2.22	Dissatisfied
c. Hypertension Pills	1	1	18	80	20	2.03	Dissatisfied
5. Shelter Kit							
a. Tent	0	2	7	56	55	1.63	Dissatisfied
b. Trapal	0	1	15	59	45	1.77	Dissatisfied
c. Plywood and Lumber	0	10	5	57	48	1.81	Dissatisfied
Overall						2.55	Fair

Legend: n = 120

Table 12 shows the social satisfaction of the internally displaced people on the government response at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.55.

Specifically, the respondents rated high Mean on statement 2. Non food items supplies from the government response which includes the a. Blanket (malong), b. mosquito net/Sleeping mat, c. kitchen kit which are all rated fair. While, the lowest mean belongs to the following statements of 2. Shelter Kit that includes the a. Tent with mean of 1.63, b. Trapal mean of 1.77 and c. Plywood/Lumber with mean of 1.81.

This implies that IDP's is dissatisfied to the government response in terms of Food Items, Hygiene & water Kit, Medicine Kit, Shelter Kit. Fair satisfaction on the assistance of Non-Food Items and Securities and Safety. This shows further that in all statements related to social satisfaction of the IDP's on the government response in terms of Relief Assistance, no respondent claimed as very satisfied. This data suggest that government is just fair in terms of relief assistance as indicated by at overall mean of 2.55

Table 13. Frequency and Mean Distribution on Security and Safety Assistance

Statement: Security and Safety Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Philippine Army / CAFGU Outpost	2	43	3	28	11	2.97	Fair
2. Police Personnel Visibility	0	45	3	31	7	3.00	Fair
3. Barangay Tanod/Roving	1	58	2	27	5	3.22	Fair
Overall						3.06	Fair

Table 13 shows the distribution on social satisfaction of the internally displaced people on government response in terms of securities and safety assistance at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 3.06.

Specifically, the respondent's shows a satisfaction level of fair on the assistance of government for securities and safety. It implies that they are fairly satisfied. Therefore,

the government is fairly doing their responsibilities in case of security and safety measures of the respondents during their stay in the evacuation center.

Table 14. Frequency and mean distribution of the recovery program on psychological condition

Statement: Psycho-Social Activities	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Games	0	4	30	85	1	2.31	Dissatisfied
2. Art and Drawing	0	2	20	94	4	2.17	Dissatisfied
3. Film viewing	0	4	10	85	21	1.97	Dissatisfied
Overall						2.15	Dissatisfied

Legend: n = 120

Table 14 shows the psychological satisfaction of the internally displaced people in terms of psycho-social activities during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.15.

Specifically, the respondents are dissatisfied in the following statements: 1. Games, 2. Arts and drawing, and 3. Film viewing.

The implication is that, psychological satisfaction of the idp's in terms of psycho-socio activities of government showed a dissatisfactory response from the idp's. The data suggest that respondents never experience any psycho-social activities as part of their recovery program on psychosocial aspect during their stay at the evacuation center.

Table 15. Frequency and mean distribution of the recovery program on Stress and Trauma Debriefing

Statement: Stress & Trauma Debriefing	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Orientation	0	2	14	72	32	1.88	Dissatisfied
2. Meditation and Relaxation	0	0	14	74	32	1.85	Dissatisfied
3. Breathing exercise	0	0	13	71	36	1.81	Dissatisfied
Overall						1.84	Dissatisfied

Table 15 shows the psychological satisfaction of the internally displaced people in terms of stress and trauma debriefing during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.84.

Specifically, the respondents are dissatisfied on stress and trauma debriefing activity given to them by the government on the following statements 1. Orientation, 2. Meditation and Relaxation, 3. Breathing Exercise. It implies that the psychological satisfaction on stress and trauma debriefing of the idp's is described as dissatisfied.

Table 16. Frequency and Mean Distribution of the Recovery Program on Health Assistance

Statement: Health Assistance	Frequency					Mean	Description
	5	4	3	2	1		
1. Physician Check- Up	0	1	32	67	20	2.12	Dissatisfied
2. Blood Pressure Monitor	0	2	34	63	21	2.14	Dissatisfied
3. Mental Health Check-up	0	1	4	75	40	1.72	Dissatisfied
Overall						1.99	Dissatisfied

Table 16 shows the psychological satisfaction of the internally displaced people in terms of health assistance during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.99.

Specifically, the respondents are on health assistance given to them by the government on the following statements 1. Physician check-up, 2. Blood pressure monitor, 3. Mental health check-up. It implies that the psychological satisfaction of the idp's on health assistance from the government is rated dissatisfied.

This further shows, that the same result as in tables 15 and 16 on psycho-social stress and trauma debriefing activities of the respondents on the government response which is described as dissatisfied. As mentioned earlier, the government was not focused on the psycho-social activities as that compared to other researches in which

the rating on psycho-social activities was higher since those respondents are mentally shattered during their stay at the evacuation center

Table 17. Frequency and Mean Distribution of the Recovery Program on Guidance and Counselling of IDP's

Statement: Guidance and Counseling of IDP's	Frequency					Mean	Description
1. Developing A Relationship	5	4	3	2	1	1.38	Very Dissatisfied
2. Making An Informed Assessment	0	3	6	2	8	1.37	Very Dissatisfied
3. Establishing Mutually Agreed Upon Goals and Objectives	0	3	6	2	8	1.37	Very Dissatisfied
Overall						1.37	Dissatisfied

Table 17 shows the psychological satisfaction of the internally displaced people in terms of guidance and counseling during their recovery period at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 1.37.

Specifically, the respondents are very dissatisfied on guidance and counselling activities given to them by the government on the following statements 1. Developing Relationship, 2. Making an informed assessment, 3. Establishing Mutually Agreed Upon Goals and Objectives. It implies that the psychological satisfaction of the idp's in guidance and counseling from the government assistance is dissatisfied.

The low ratings given by of 87 and 88 respondent on statements 2. Making an Informed Assessment and 3, Establishing Mutually Agreed upon Goals and Objectives respectively, affects the values of the mean which were described.

Table 18. Summary of Mean on the Level of Government Response at the Evacuation Center

Statement: Government response at the evacuation center	Mean	Description
1. Livelihood Assistance	2.56	Fair
2. Relief Assistance	2.55	Fair
3. Security and Safety Assistance	3.06	Fair
4. Psycho-Social Activities	2.15	Dissatisfied
5. Stress and Trauma Debriefing	1.84	Dissatisfied
6. Health Assistance	1.99	Dissatisfied
7. Guidance and Counseling of IDP's	1.37	Dissatisfied
Overall	2.22	Dissatisfied

Table 18 shows the summary of the level psycho-social satisfaction of the IDP's at the evacuation center. The overall mean is 2.22

Specifically, the respondents were dissatisfied on four statements such as statement number 4, Psycho-Social Activities' statement number 5, Stress and Trauma Debriefing; statement number 6, Health Assistance; and statement number 6. Guidance and Counselling of IDP's. However, the first three (3) item-statements were rated by the respondents as just fair. The overall assessment of the respondent's level of satisfaction on the government response in terms of psycho-social condition during their stay at the evacuation center is described as dissatisfied. This is indicated by the overall mean of 2.22. The result implies that the psycho-social activities of the IDP's at the evacuation center did not meet their expectation.

Table 19. The problems encountered of the IDP's at the evacuations center

Indicators	Frequency	Rank
1.Toilet/Sanitation Condition	85	6
2. Drainage and Sewage System	102	4
3. Water Distribution Supply	93	5
4. Power and Electrical Connection	83	7
5. Shortage of Food Supply	117	2
6. Medical Supply	118	1

7. Clothing Assistance	47	9
8. Shelter Comfortability	116	3
9. Safety and Security	57	8
And others	0	0

Table 19 shows the frequency and ranking distribution of problems encountered by the IDP's at the evacuation center.

It shows that statement 6, Medical supply ranked 1, with the frequency of 118; and closely followed by statement 5, shortage of food supply with the frequency of 117, then statement 8, shelter comfortability frequency of 116; statement 2. Drainage and sewage system with the frequency of 102; and 3, Water distribution supply with the frequency of 93; rank 5.

Specifically, the respondents are very dissatisfied on their basic needs during their stay at the evacuation center. It implies that the IDP's are very dissatisfied and has very poor condition at the evacuation during their recovery period, this further implies that the government agencies did not focus their attention to the general welfare of the idp's in the evacuation center.

Summary of Findings

Based on the data gathered and analyzed, the following are the summary of the major findings.

1. Most of the internally displace people were at the ages of between 30 years old to 39 years old, that most of them are female with the frequency of 65 compare to male with the frequency of 53, that there were more numbers of married idp's than with the single, widowed/widower, and separated.

2. The psychological condition of the internally displaced people during recovery period at the evacuation center is described as poor in Psycho-Social Activities, the same as with the stress trauma debriefing, Health Assistance; and Guidance and Counseling of IDP's which needs more attention.

3. The social condition of the internally displaced people at the evacuation center in Livelihood Assistance, Relief Assistance, Security and Safety Assistance described as fair with over all mean of 3.06.

4. The level of satisfaction of the internally displace people on the government response in Livelihood Assistance is dissatisfied, while Relief Assistance and Securities and Safety Assistance were fair, which shows slight satisfaction while on the Recovery program on Psychological Condition shows dissatisfied which described poor in overall.

5. The problems encountered of the internally displace people at the evacuation center is most in medical supply, followed by shortage of food supply, next is shelter comfort ability and rank as four in most problems at the evacuation center is Drainage and Sewage System.

Conclusion

Based on the findings of the study, the following conclusion are hereby drawn, the psychological condition of the internally displaced people is poor, and likewise the social condition is poor. The IDP's at the evacuation centers are dissatisfied on the government response on the needed activities and resources expected. Therefore, government failed in his duty to provide necessary assistance to the IDP's

REFERENCES

Ahmad A, Sofi MA, Sundelin-Wahlsten V, & vonKnorring AL.(2000) *Posttraumatic stress disorder in children after the military operation "Anfal" in Iraqi Kurdistan*. Published; Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Dec;9(4):235-43. Department of Neuroscience, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden.

Allwood MA, Bell-Dolan D, & Husain SA.(2002) Children's trauma and adjustment reactions to violent and nonviolent war experiences. Published: J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002 Apr;41(4):450-7. University of Missouri-Columbia 65211, USA.

Angel B, Hjern A, & Ingleby D.(2001). *Effects of war and organized violence*

on children: a study of Bosnian refugees in Sweden. Published; Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2001 Jan;71(1):4-15. Department of Child Psychiatry, St. Sigfrid Hospital, Växjö, Sweden.

Anthony Cullen, (2010). *The Concept of Non-International Armed Conflict in International Humanitarian Law.* Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Press

Barath A. (2002) *Psychological status of Sarajevo children after war: 1999-2000 survey.* Published: Croat Med J. 2002 Apr;43(2):213-20. Department of Sociology and Social Policy, Pécs University Facult

Dyregrov A, Gjestad R, & Raundalen M. (2002) *Children exposed to warfare: a longitudinal study.* Published: J Trauma Stress. 2002 Feb;15(1):59-68. Center for Crisis Psychology, Bergen, Norway.

EE Enwereji. (2003) *Assessing intervention available to internally displaced persons in Abia State, Nigeria* 2009 mar 1.

Erin Mooney (2004). *Realizing National Responsibility for Internally Displaced Persons* December 9, 2004.

Fahima K. Addulaziz. (2011) *The Experience of the Bangsamoro Women in Armed Conflict in Selected Municipalities in the Province of Maguindanao.* Master of Arts in Peace and Development Studies, MSU Graduated School March 2011

Freh FM, Chung MC, & Dallos R. (2013) *In the shadow of terror: posttraumatic stress and psychiatric co-morbidity following bombing in Iraq: the role of shattered world assumptions and altered self-capacities.* Published: J Psychiatr Res. 2013 Feb;47(2):215-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.10.008. Epub 2012 Nov 24. Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom. Fuaad.Freh@plymouth.ac.uk

Folkman S, Lazarus RS, Gruen RJ, & DeLongis A. (1986) *Appraisal, coping, health status, and psychological symptoms.* Published: J Pers Soc Psychol. 1986 Mar;50(3):571-9.

Gertude C. Chelimo, (2011). *Defining Armed Conflict in International Humanitarian Law.* A concentration in International Relations/ African Studies from USIU – Africa in Nairobi, Kenya, Kenya

Khamis V. (2012) *Impact of war, religiosity and ideology on PTSD and psychiatric disorders in adolescents from Gaza Strip and South Lebanon.* Published: Soc Sci Med. 2012 Jun;74(12):2005-11. doi: 0.1016/j.socscimed.2012.02.025. Epub 2012 Mar 20.
y of Arts and Humanities, Rokos u. 2, 7632 Pécs, Hungary. arpad@btk.pte.hu

Lauren H, Halle K, (2003). *A Systematic Review: Effects of Psychosocial Interventions on Outcomes in Refugee Adolescents,* University of Akron, hmg39@uakron.edu

Luana G, (2017). *Mental Health and Psychosocial needs and response in conflict-affected areas of north-east Nigeria,* Nigeria October 2017.

Macksoud MS, & Aber JL. (2004) *The war experiences and psychosocial development of children in Lebanon.* Published: Child Dev. 2004 Feb;67(1):70-88. National Center for Children in Poverty, Columbia University School of Public Health, New York, NY 10032, USA.

Muslimen H. Mentang, (2016) *The Role of Muslim Religious Sectors in Peace and Development.* Master of Public Administration MSU Graduated School May 2016

Naser M, Aemal A, Jurge B, and Ulrich s, (2017). *Psychiatric Disorders in Refugees and Internally Dispalced Persons after Forced Displacment*,2017

North CS¹,Pfefferbaum B, Kawasaki A, Lee S, &Spitznagel EL. (2010) *Psychosocial adjustment of directly exposed survivors 7 years after the Oklahoma City bombing*.Published:Compr Psychiatry. 2011 Jan-Feb;52(1):1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsy.2010.04.003. Epub 2010 Jun 26.The North Texas VA Health Care System, Dallas, TX 75390-8828, USA. carol.north@utsouthwestern.edu

Reinna Bermudez *A Disaster Approach to Displacement: IDPs in the Philippines.*

Riyadh L, Nesreen A, Saba D, Emily L, and Gilbert B (2016) *Needs of Internally Displaced Women and Children in Baghdad, Karbala, and Kirkuk, Iraq.* June 10, 2016.

Roberta C, (2006). *National Responsibilities: Providing an Adequate Response to IDP Needs*, February 23, 2006

Rosner R, Powell S, &Butollo W.(2003) *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder three years after the siege of Sarajevo*.KlinischePsychologie, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany.JClin Psychol. 2003 Jan;59(1):41-55.

Solomon Z, Dekel R, &Mikulincer M.(2008). *Complex Trauma Of War Captivity: A Prospective Study Of Attachment And Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder*.The Adler Research Center for Child Welfare and Protection, Tel Aviv University, Israel.Solomon@post.tau.ac.ilPsychol Med. 2008 Oct;38(10):1427-34. doi: 10.1017/S0033291708002808.Epub 2008 Feb 7.

Solomon Z, & Dekel R.*Posttraumatic stress disorder among Israeli ex-prisoners of war 18 and 30 years after release*.Tel Aviv University, Israel. Solomon@post.tau.ac.il

ThabetAA,Abed Y, &Vostanis P. (2011) *Comorbidity of PTSD and depression among refugee children during war conflict*.Published: J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2011 Mar;45(3):533-42.Gaza Community Mental Health Programme, Palestine.

Thabet AA[&],Vostanis P. (2013) *Post-traumatic stress reactions in children of war*.Published:J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;40(3):385-91.Gaza Community Mental Health Programme, Palestine.

Taiwo Lateef S, Abdulaziz M, Siamuel A, Joseph L, Williamn, Ebiti and Oluwatosin A. (2011).*Psycho-Trauma Psychosocial Adjustment, and Symptomatic Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder among Internally Displaced person, Kaduna Northwestern Nigeria.*

Walter K, (2010). *The Human Rights of Internally Displaced Persons* March 8,