

The ultimate question is *what have we gained with all these latest technological inventions and progress?* Nothing, but even we have lost our very own identity and peace. Knowledge is an essence that establishes those concepts that enable a human to be a good human, such a human that helps other humans and maintain his place in relevant society keeping all the balances intact. The *knowledge of self, the knowledge of nature, the knowledge of our deeds and above all the knowledge of GOD* is indispensable if one wants to make this world a worth living place.

7.0 Future Repository of Knowledge: *Mind or Metal*

It is now evidentially clear that *a priori* knowledge resides in human mind and it cannot be transferred to others' mind and remain within the close proximity of the mind of generator, the transformation of this knowledge requires a comprehensive process based upon *externalization* and it is only possible when the transformer appears to be an individual with above average mental balance and personal skills. Transcendentalism builds its thesis upon focused efforts invested by an individual to overcome manly desires and animal nature (as prescribed by Plato). This process is tedious and laborious that requires extensive efforts, dedication, contemplation, courage, conviction and commitment. The point of *self-reliance* and *individualism* is achieved through *self-accountability and self-recognition*. It further develops the concept of *belonging* to one super being and that super being is GOD; if we take the argument further then it clarifies that we wish to live in a world where every human considers himself as GOD's creation and gives space to other individual to breath. Metaphysical strength of knowledge is stronger than its empirical forte.

Anthropic principle elucidates the need of a sharp and consistent relationship between *man* and *universe* and it further clarifies that man and universe reflect one another; there is a mysterious connection amidst them based upon evolutionary concept of progression (Havel, 1994). Nature does work through *evolution* and clearly negates the concept of *revolution*; especially with reference to biological or intellectual development of *man*. Knowledge is an essence that ignites human mind and keeps it on the track to pursue *unknown* and in pursuit of this *unknown*, man explores his innerself through extensive *thinking* as postulated by Plato, Leibniz, Spinoza and Descartes as they all emphasized upon establishing an intuitive relationship with the world around us and they further elaborated that intuitive consistency provides ample reason to man to rationalize existing notions without having them experienced. Transcendence is an on-going process to unify our knowledge, our conscious with objective realities related to existence and further transcend the conceptual boundaries.

The *Gaia Hypothesis* posits that all contagious living systems (organisms) establish consistent interaction with their inorganic surroundings on earth in order to develop and synthesize harmony that ensures synergistic, homeostatic and intricate system that helps to retain and preserve the surroundings

for natural life on the globe (Lovelock, 1972). The core of Gaia hypothesis is that we are nothing but an intrinsic element of a larger whole and if we continue to endanger her then she would retaliate at the cost of our own lives, the dearest essence on the planet. The biosphere needs balance and nature does not allow or accept any artificial change in its processes; hardcore technological advancements have stimulated the issues of climate change and environmental destruction. The technology and knowledge onslaught have marginalized the strength of this balance and by every passing day, we are facing a new odd and coming across untoward situations in the name of *research and development*.

Anthropic principle and Gaia hypothesis make it clear that human and nature are in a consistent harmony and nature has derived specific route for human development and this route cannot be compromised. Man cannot enter the *metaphysical realm* as our intellect is bound to our senses and senses can only grab physical objects (sense perception). The process of converting man into a superhuman and thinking that induction of technology can help man overcome natural phenomena is no more than *day dreaming*. Transhumanists hope that with the help of science and technology they would enable human race to rise above its bodily confines as (death, diseases, and aging etc.) coupled with cognitive and somatic constrictions. They are considering human beings as a *designed object* (Mali, 2013). Any effort to accelerate, re-generate or exaggerate the natural process of human progression shall be devastating and discouraging. It shatters the balance that connects *man* with eternal essences and rational exposure, rather, leave humans on the verge of technological warfare.

Transhumanism developed strong linkage with fundamental Marxism as Karl Marx established a materialistic school and rejected all possibilities of human progression towards '*higher realm*', '*spiritual tendencies*', '*soul and spirit*' and '*immaterial constituents*'. Marx further rejected Hegelian dialectics on the ground that abstract spirit or human mind (above human) cannot bring any intellectual form such as *absolute knowledge*; as Marx did not believe in knowledge abstraction but *actual evidences* (Steinhoff, 2014). The dialectics of human development urges the significance of human interaction with a *supernatural* world that helps *man* in harnessing his inner abilities and transcends par limits in a well defined direction being a valuable human within the cross fold of his existence within a commune.

Transcendentalism influenced many other 'mental science' movements and took under its fold the 'Unitarian' movement that derived true knowledge through mental exercise (Coviello, 2004) and meditation. Objective frame and form of knowledge is prone to rapid change as it is not *confirmed* and *absolute* and missed the notion of *permanence*. We need to focus upon mental repositories of knowledge as technological interfaces have generated lot of negative impact on our social setup. Thousands of arguments can be posted in favor of capturing and striving for latest technologies but *aren't we compromising purpose to gain short term objectives*. Transhumanism believes in *metal repositories*, the a

posteriori frame of knowledge, the objectivity in knowledge and it is for sure that true, real, intrinsic and permanent knowledge is not objective but purely subjective to its core.

Knowledge should not be undertaken as a tool to accomplish some physical gain; even though, it is also one of the tasks of knowledge but it is purely *objective*, when we consider *knowledge as an essence* then we look into its purpose and sole purpose of knowledge is to refine a human not to transform him into a *superhuman* (in physical term). Primitive societies passed through scores of evolutionary phases and turned up in the form of current social structure but the question is; *are we talking about human intellectual and internal evolution or physical or external evolution?* The collapsing family circles, social frustration, mental stress, dehumanization, depression, alienation are the psychological ailments encircle us and we need to track back our upcoming generations to concentrate more within themselves than indulging themselves to invent gadgets and lose their human core.

8.0 Conclusion

In the very right perspective of arguments established above, it can easily be concluded that knowledge in its generic capacity is meant to aid human to develop his inner capabilities and establish a balanced relationship with external world around him. The notion of *evolution* in knowledge and human self clearly manifests that the nature of knowledge and human self is *centrifugal* (moving or going away from the center) which further strengthens the possibility of streamlining a close and consistent connection with other humans, groups and commune. It seems imperative to undertake the significance of conventional knowledge portals rather than instilling artificial repositories and replace human minds with clear objective to generate efficient results. Technology can accelerate the output gained through fundamental knowledge but if we think that a robot can replace a human and considered being a human then it will definitely tarnish the sanctity of humanism.

Influx of contemporary scientific research vitally reveals that commercialization of knowledge is the sole purpose behind these initiatives that swallows extensive efforts, precious time and lot of other resources that can be invested in many other beneficial avenues. The most important aspect that is being neglected in this race is *human connection*. Every possible knowledge based initiative is generating a lag between two humans; we must understand that knowledge is not in collection but in connection. Human development in an ideal fashion is only possible when a human is given ample chances to grow in his very native and own environment, on the contrary, we see that almost all the societies are losing their values and cultural heritage and a strong sense of forgetfulness is prevailing among new generations. Human progression is a connected process and *history* is the only way that provides this connectivity. It is so unfortunate that in this modern age we ponder our own history as embryonic and primitive; we think

that our forefathers were living in Stone Age and they did not add any value in sociological process. We need to bridge this gap and develop a strong re-connection with our native history.

It is not the case that research and development is not adding any value to our lives but the point that is to be focused is that our every successful scientific research based edge is creating a sense of *fear* among other humans. It is not knowledge that we hand ourselves to machines and sit quietly while watching the performance of gadgets that we created at the first place. Universal un-rest, disparity, wars, destructions and large scale devastations are the prime outcome of human progression that are named advanced knowledge. The world has to be a living place and its eternal peace is based upon human relations, ethics, forgiveness, sacrifice, compassion and mutual trust; human transcendent seems closely related to social transcendent and this level of social peace can only be obtained if knowledge is not objective rather subjective. Human mind is to be enriched in its most natural form and frame and human intelligence is to be judged on the basis of usefulness of a human as a human for other humans not on the basis of modern inventions.

Future repository of knowledge, if knowledge is taken as a natural notion, is human mind and we need to draw lines between man and machine. Machines can be efficient, effective but they cannot replace humans and cannot claim the mastership. Why man is primarily focusing about *exhibiting knowledge through scientific inventions?* rather it is to be disseminated among other humans by means of hard bound books, blogs, dialogue circles and other such avenues. The process of knowledge creation and progression is very natural and it is to be undertaken in a natural environment so that; we may explore hidden human personality traits by way of gradual advancement hands-in-hands with knowledge. Our future is based upon how we preserve nature in its very natural context and it is only possible if man and knowledge travels along one another in a balanced fashion.

9.0 References

- Adcock, F. (1957). *The Greek and Macedonian Art of War*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Allen, G. W. (1981). *Waldo Emerson*. New York, NY: Viking Press.
- Alston, W. (1989). *Epistemic Justification*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Annas, G. A., Andrews, L.B., & Isasi, R.M. (2002). Protecting the endangered human, toward an international treaty prohibiting cloning and inheritable alterations. *American Journal of Law and Medicine*. 28, 151-78
- A. Bashford, & L. Philipa. (Eds.). (2010). *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Eugenics*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Arizona State University (2014, November 25). *Beyond Human: Exploring Transhumanism*. Retrieved from <http://phys.org/news/2014-11-human-exploring-transhumanism.html>

Baird, F. E., & Kaufmann, W. (2008). *From Plato to Derrida*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Bardzell, J. (2014). *Speculative Grammar and Stoic Language Theory in Medieval Allegorical Narrative: From Prudentius to Alan of Lille*. London: Routledge.

Beiser, F. C. (1987). *The Fate of Reason: German Philosophy from Kant to Fichte*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

Bordo, S. (1993). *Unbearable Weight: Feminism, Western Culture and the Body*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Bostrom, N. (2005). Transhumanism: The World's Most Dangerous Idea? *Bioethics*. 19, 202-214.

Bostrom, N. (2005). Transhumanist Values. *Review of Contemporary Philosophy*. 4, 3-14

Bradley, J. (2001, February 12). *Values and beliefs of Transcendentalists*. Retrieved from <http://classroom.synonym.com/values-beliefs-transcendentalists-6695.html>

Brandwood, L. (1990). *The Chronology of Plato's Dialogues*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bredenoord, A.L., Vander Graaf, R. & Van Delden, J.J.M. (2010). Toward a Post-Posthuman Dignity Area in Evaluating Emerging Enhancement Technologies. *The American Journal of Bioethics*. 10, 55-57.

Broad, C. D. (1978). *Kant: an Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brown, A. (2005). *J. D. Bernal, the sage of science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Capaldi, N. (1995). Scientism, deconstruction, and nihilism. *Argumentation*. 9, 563–575.

Carriero, J. (2009). *Between Two Worlds: A Reading of Descartes' Meditations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Carvalko, J. (2012). *The Techno-human Shell-A Jump in the Evolutionary Gap*. Mechanicsburg, PA: Sunbury Press.

Clarke, A. C. (2010, March 12). *Transhumanism and Posthumanism, Lifting Man Up or Pulling Him Down?*. Retrieved from http://www.ignatiusinsight.com/features2010/aclark_tranhumanism_mar2010.asp

Clarke, A. C. (2000). *Greetings: Carbon-Based Bipedes*. New York, NY: St Martin's Griffin.

Clebsch, W. (1973). *American Religious Thought: A history*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

- Choung & Cheng Ming. (2009). Limb pattern: physical mechanisms and morphological evolution - An interview with Stuart A. Newman. *International Journal of Development Biology*. 53 , 663–671.
- Cohen, S. (1986). Knowledge and Context. *The Journal of Philosophy*. 83, 574-585.
- Conee, E. B., & Feldman, R. (2004). *Evidentialism: Essays in Epistemology*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Coviello, P. (2004). Transcendentalism. *The Oxford Encyclopedia of American Literature*. Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/acref/9780195156539.001.0001
- Cramer, J.S. (2004). *Walden: A Fully Annotated Edition*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press
- Curley, E. M. (1985). *The Collected Works of Spinoza*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- D. D. Runes (Ed.) (1962). *Dictionary of Philosophy*. Totowa, NJ: Adams, and Company.
- Darnovsky, M. (2001, October 03). *Health & Human Rights Leaders Call for Global Ban on Species-Altering Procedures*. Retrieved from <http://www.geneticsandsociety.org/article.php>
- Drexler, K. E. (1986). *Engines of Creation: The Coming Era of Nanotechnology*. New York, NY: Anchor Books.
- Dublin, M. (1992). *Future hype: The Tyranny of Prophecy*. New York, NY: Plume.
- Ebben, P. (2012, February 07). *How Technology Impacts Physical And Emotional Health*. Retrieved from <http://boston.cbslocal.com/2012/02/07/how-technology-impacts-physical-and-emotional-health/>
- Emerson, R. W. (1995). Nature. In James D. Hart. & Rev. Philip W. Leininger (Ed.), *The Oxford Companion to American Literature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Emerson, R. W. (1842). *The Transcendentalist* [Lecture Notes] Retrieved from. <http://transcendentalism.tamu.edu/transcendentalist>
- Emerson, R. W. (1960). *The Journals and Miscellaneous Notebooks of Ralph Waldo Emerson*. In William H. Gilman, et al. (Ed.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Engberg-Pedersen, T. (1983). *Aristotle's Theory of Moral Insight*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fukuyama, F. (1995). Reflections on the End of History: Five Years Later. *History and Theory*. 34, 27-43.
- Geuss, R. (1981). *The Idea of a Critical Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goldman, A. I. (1999). *Knowledge in a Social World*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Good, I.J. (1965). Speculations Concerning the First Ultra-intelligent Machine. *Advances in Computers*. 6, 31-83

- Gura, P. F. (2007). *American Transcendentalism: A History*. New York, NY: Hill and Wang.
- Haldane, J. B. (1923, February, 04). *Daedalus or Science and the Future*. [Lecture Notes] Retrieved from. <http://bactra.org/Daedalus.html>
- Haraway, D. J. (1991). *Situated Knowledge in Simians, Cyborgs, and Women*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Harrison, P. & Wolyniak, J. (2015). The History of Transhumanism. *Notes and Queries*. 62, 465-7. doi: 10.1093/notesj/gjv080
- Havel, V. (1994, July 04). *The Need for Transcendence in the Postmodern World*. Retrieved from <http://www.worldtrans.org/whole/havelspeech.html>.
- Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How We Became Posthuman; Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Hughes, J. (2004). *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future*. Cambridge, MA: Westview Press.
- Hume, D. (1902). An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding. In L.A. Selby-Bigge (Ed.), *Enquiries Concerning the Human Understanding and Concerning the Principles of Morals*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Institute of Bio-Technology and Human Future. (2016, November 10). *The Human Future*. Retrieved from www.humanfuture.com.
- International Theological Commission (2006, April 01). *Communion and Stewardship, Human Persons Created in the Image of God*. Retrieved from <http://www.vatican.va/>
- Jordaan, D. W. (2009). Antipromethean Fallacies: A Critique of Fukuyama's Bioethics. *Biotechnology Law Report*. 28, 577–590.
- Jori, A. (2003). *Aristotle*. Milan: Bruno Mondadori Editore.
- Kamal, M. (2006). *Mulla Sadra's Transcendent Philosophy*. Surrey: Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.
- Lacey, A. R. (1996). *A Dictionary of Philosophy*, 3rd edition. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Laërtius, D. (2000). *Lives of eminent philosophers*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lee, K. (1999). *The Natural and the Artifactual*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishing.
- Liebman, S. W. (2004). Emerson, Ralph Waldo. *The Oxford Encyclopedia of American Literature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lovejoy, B. G., Beacon, F. (1888). *Francis Bacon: A Critical Review*. London: T. Fisher Unwin Publishing.
- Lovelock, J. E. (1972). Gaia as seen through the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment*. 6, 579–580.

Luce, A.A. (1968). *Berkeley's Immaterialism: A Commentary on His A Treatise Concerning the Principles of Human Knowledge*. New York, NY: Russell and Russell.

Mali, F. (2013, July 09). *Transhumanism: A Secularist Re-Enchantment of the World? Report from the International Research Symposium :Imagining the (Post-) Human Future: Meaning, Critique and Consequences*. Retrieved from University of Heidelberg Website: https://www.tatup-journal.de/downloads/2013/tatup133_maua13a.pdf.

Markie, P. (2004). Rationalism vs. Empiricism. In Edward D. Zalta (Ed.). *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford: The Metaphysics Research Lab.

Mayer, F. (1951). *A History of Modern Philosophy*. San Jose, CA: American Book Company.

McKibben, B. (2003). *Enough: Staying Human in an Engineered Age*. New York, NY: Times Books.

Miller, P. (1950). *The Transcendentalists: An Anthology*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Mitchell, C. Ben & Kilner, J. F. (2003, August 29). *Remaking Humans: The New Utopians Versus a Truly Human Future*. Retrieved from <https://cbhd.org/content/remaking-humans-new-utopians-versus-truly-human-future>

Moravec, H. (1998). When will computer hardware match the human brain? *Journal of Evolution and Technology*. 1. Retrieved from <http://www.transhumanist.com/volume1/moravec.htm>

More, M. (1990). Transhumanism: Toward a Futurist Philosophy. *Extropy*. 6, 6–12.

Nadler, S. (2006). *Spinoza's Ethics: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nasr, S. H. (2006). *Islamic Philosophy from its Origin to the Present: Philosophy in the Land of Prophecy*. New York, NY: State University of New York Press

Palmquist, S. (1987). A Priori Knowledge in Perspective: Mathematics, Method and Pure Intuition. *The Review of Metaphysics*. 41, 3–22.

Phillip, Natalie, & Anton F. deMan.(2010). Weight Status and Body Image Satisfaction in Adult Men and Women. *North American Journal of Psychology*, 12, 171-283.

Porter, N. (Ed.) (1913). Epistemology. In *Webster's Revised Unabridged Dictionary*. Retrieved from <http://dictionary.babylon-software.com/language/english-english/websters-revised-unabridged-dictionary-1913/>

Psillos, S., & Curd, M. (2010). *The Routledge companion to philosophy of science*. London: Routledge.

Quine, W. V. (1951). Two Dogmas of Empiricism. *The Philosophical Review*, 60, 20–43.

R.A. Furtak, J. Ellsworth, & D. R. James (Eds.). (2012). *Thoreau's Importance for Philosophy*, New York, NY: Fordham University Press.

Russell, B. (1900). *A Critical Exposition of the Philosophy of Leibniz*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ryan, B. (2011, February 01). *Transhumanism, Pros and Cons*. Retrieved from <http://projectavalon.net/forum4/showthread.php?80437-Transhumanism-Pros-and-Cons>

Schoonenberg, P. (1976). *From Transcendence to Immanence: Part II, Wisdom and Knowledge, Essays in Honor of Joseph Papin*. Philadelphia, PA: Villanova University Press.

Sedley, D.N., & Long, A. A. (1987). *The Hellenistic Philosophers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Silver, L. M. (1998). *Remaking Eden, Cloning and Beyond in a Brave New World*. New York, NY: Avon Books.

Smith, S. W. (1898). *A Concise Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities*. London: Spotswood and Co.

Soltan, L. (2015, March 13). *Distraction Caused by Technology*. Retrieved from <http://www.digitalresponsibility.org/health-and-technology>

Sorell, T. (1994). *Scientism: Philosophy and the Infatuation with Science*. London: Routledge.

Steinhoff, J. (2014). Transhumanism and Marxism: Philosophical Connections. *Journal of Evolution and Technology*, 24, 1-16.

Stock, G. (2002). *Redesigning Humans: Choosing our Genes, Changing our Future*. New York, NY: Mariner Books.

Thoreau, H. D. (1989). *Walden*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Volpi, D. (2012, August 12). *Heavy Technology Use Linked to Fatigue, Stress and Depression in Young Adults*. Retrieved from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/david-volpi-md-pc-facs/technology-depression_b_1723625.html

Watson, R. A. (2012, March 31). René Descartes. *Encyclopedia Britannica*. Retrieved from <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Rene-Descartes>

Wiener, P. (1951). *Leibniz: Selections*. New York, NY: Charles Scribner's Sons.

Wild, J. D. (1962). *George Berkeley: A study of his life and philosophy*. New York, NY: Russell & Russell.

Winner, L. (1980). Do Artifacts Have Politics? *Daedalus*. 109, 121-136

Yolton, J. W. (1969). *John Locke: Problems and Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Zagorin, P. (1999). *Francis Bacon*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.