

GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2020, Online: ISSN 2320-9186 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Use of Hospital Waste as a Partial Replacement of Cement

Junaid Hassan¹, Imtiaz khan², Fawad³, Iqtidar Ali⁴,

¹(MS Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Iqra National University, Peshawar)

²(Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Iqra National University, Peshawar)

³(Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Iqra National University, Peshawar)

⁴(Lab Engineer, Department of Civil Engineering, Iqra National University, Peshawar)

REVIEW OF LITEREATURE

Al-Mutairiet al., (2004) compared the compressive strength of of concrete by replacing the cement content with Hospital waste ash analyze, the 5% microsilica and fly ash were incorporated, the compressive strength of concrete with different mixes at 7,14 and 28 days strength of cubes was further increased.[5] (Genazziniet al., 2003) the The compressive strength is found to be satisfactory at 2.5% replacement of incorporating hospital waste ashs.

Keywords - Concrete, Hospital Waste Ash, hospital waste, replacemental analysis, X-ray diffraction, radioactive material detection. (Genazzini*et al.*, 2005)

INTRODUCTION

World output of cement in 2009 was about 2.8 gigatons [1]. Cement production is responsible for 5% of global anthropogenic CO2 emissions and 7% of industrial fuels use [2,3]. Thus, the cement industry is an important industrial polluter in terms of greenhouse gases (GHG), and emission reductions in this sector will lead to significant decreases of overall GHG releases.By using of hospital waste ash may reduce the cost of a construction project and may appear the step towards the healthy and pollution free environment.On the other hand management of waste is a major problem in most of the countries, specially Hospital Waste. This waste has adverse effect on the Health hazards i.e Injuries and accident, Infectious medical waste risk ,Hazardous medical waste risk and Environmental Hazardsi.e Pollutants from medical waste, Accumulation of toxic chemicals within soil. Ground water contamination .Windblown dusts Public nuisance, Reduce water percolation. In Pakistan the current status of hospital waste is alarming as ~0.8 million tonnes of waste is produced daily from hospitals (4).

The INCINERATION (burning) of Hospital Solid Waste (HSW) as a method of volume reduction is currently receiving wide spread attention especially in Pakistan. The Incineration of HSW significantly reduces the volume of waste but the resulting ash can create additional disposal problems.

For the purpose of technical evaluation the Hospital waste ash was collected from the locally available Incinerators installed at various GSJ© 2020 user debalecientificien

The new cement-ash composite systems have been tested for future applications in building materials. The additions of hospital ash in cement matrices to be potentially used as construction elements. This involved the assessment of the effect of the additions on the physico mechanical properties of the building materials.

Filipponiet al., (2003) prepared the different mixes by blending hospital waste incinerator bottom ash with ordinary Portland cement in different proportions and at different water dosages. Results at curing times longer than 28 days and for waste dosages higher than 50% suggested that bottom ash exhibited weak pozzolanic property. Al-Rawas et al., (2005) investigated the use of incinerator ash as a replacement for sand and cement in cement mortars. The cement, sand and water mixing proportions were 1:3:0.7 respectively. Results showed that incinerator ash caused a reduction in slump values when it was used as a replacement for sand while an opposite trend was observed when it was used as a replacement for cement[6].Aubert et al., (2004) evaluated the use of biomedical waste ash on the compressive strength and the durability of hardened concrete and suggest the use of waste in concrete constitutes a potential means of adding value. Anastasiadou et al., (2011) evaluated the mechanical properties of the medical waste incineration bottom ash using different amounts of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) as a binder. Result showed that strength decreased as the percentage of cement loading was reduced. (Azniet al., 2005) In Germany 50% of the ash produced from incinerated waste is used for the manufacturing of sound insulation walls at National roads, as well as, sub GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2020 lases 232019688 treets. 60% of the bottom ash is used for the construction of asphalt and as a sub layer of roads in Netherlands[7]. Anastasiadouet al., (2011) studied the cement based stabilization/solidification of fly and bottom ash Anastasiadou et al., (2011) studied the cement based stabilization/solidification of fly and bottom ash generated from

		WEIG	CUMMU	%	CUMU	CUMU	ASTM
S.N	SIEV	HT	LATIVE	WEIGHT	LATIV	LATIV	Range
0	E #	RETA	WEIGHT	RETAIN	E %	Е	(C 33)
		INED	RETAIN	ED	RETAI	PERCE	
		(gram	ED		NED	NTAGE	
)	(gram)			PASSIN	
						G	
1.	4	0	0	0	0	100	95 to 100
2.	8	20	20	4	4	96	80 to 100
3.	25	330	350	66	70	30	-
4.	50	125	475	25	95	5	5 to 30
5.	80	20	495	4	99	1	-
6.	100	5	500	1	100	0	0 to 10
7.	Pan	0	500	0	100	0	-

incinerated hospital waste to reduce the leachability of the heavy metals present in these materials.

METHODOLOGY

Collection of Material & Testing

1. Cement

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) from Cherat Cement factory was used. ASTM Designation: C150-04 Type I [8].

a. **Fineness of Cement**

- Weight Retained= 5gram
- Total Weight of Cement Sample= 100 gram

3.

=100-5/100*100=95%

2. **Fine Aggregate**

Dry Lawrancepur Sand was used. The Sieve analysis was carried out according to ASTM C136-01[9].

a. Seive Analysis

Total weight of Aggregates = 500 grams

Fineness Modulus= 2.56



b. Moisture Content

• Weight of Water= 1.25gram

Ũ			6	0	00	
S.N	SIEV	WEIG	CUMMULA	%	CUMUL	PERCE
0	E	HT	TIVE	WEIGHT	ATIVE	NTAGE
	SIZ	RETAI	WEIGHT	RETAINE	%	PASSIN
	E	NED	RETAINED(D	RETAIN	G
		(gram)	gram)		ED	
1.	1.5	0	0	0	0	100
2.	1	444	444	14.8	14.8	85.2
3.	3⁄4	985	1429	32.83	47.63	52.37
4.	1⁄2"	1190	2619	39.67	87.3	12.7
5.	3/8	260	2879	8.67	95.97	4.03
6.	3/16	90	2969	3	98.97	1.03
7.	Pan	31	3000	1.03	100	0

603

• Oven Dry Weight of F.A= 48.75gram

• Moisture Content of F.A= 2.5%

c. Absorption

 $Water Absorption = \frac{SSD Weight - Oven Dry Weight}{100} * 100$ Oven Dry Weight

- SSD Weight = 51.25gram
- Oven Dry Weight of F.A= 48.75gram
- Absorption of F.A= 3.64%

d. Specific Gravity

$W^2 - W^1$ $Sp.\,gr = \frac{WL}{(W4 - W1) - (W3 - W2)}$

- Weight of Empty Container=W1= 8.5kg
- Weight of Container + F.A=W2= 12.43kg
- Weight of water + F.A + Container = W3= 15.990kg
- Weight of Container + Water = W4= 13.82kg
- Sp.gr of F.A=2.23

COARSE AGGREGATE

- Fineness= Total Weight-Weight Retained/Weight Retained Crushed Stone from Margala was used. The Sieve analysis was
 - carried out according to ASTM C136-01.[10]

a. Seive Analysis



b. Moisture Content

Weight of water Moisture Content = × 100 Oven Dry Weight

- Weight of Water= 0.125gram
- Oven Dry Weight of C.A= 48.87gram
- Moisture Content of C.A= 0.25%

GSJ© 2020 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Total weight of Aggregates = 3000 grams

GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2020 ISSN 2320-9186

c. Absorption

- Weight of Water= 50.325gram •
- Oven Dry Weight of C.A= 48.87gram
- Absorption of C.A= 1.92% •

e. Specific Gravity

 $Sp. gr = \frac{W2 - W1}{(W4 - W1) - (W3 - W2)}$ f.

- ٠ Coarse Aggregate
- Weight of Empty Container=W1= 8.5kg ٠
- Weight of Container + C.A=W2= 12.760kg
- Weight of water + C.A + Container = W3=
- 16.300kg
- Weight of Container + Water = W4= 13.82kg ٠
- Sp.gr of C.A=2.39 ٠

4. Hospital Waste Ash (HWA)

The Hospital Waste ash collected from the Incinerators installed at Hayatabad Medical Complex, Lady Reading Hospital,Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar.

HWA includes broken glass bottles, metallic pieces including syringes and other surgical item.

The following tests performed at laboratory for investigating the chemical properties of hospital ash in context of cement mechanism.

Los Angles Abrasion Test <u>a.</u>

In this test the Grinding of HWA carried out in Los Angles Abrasion Machine with 11 Balls each of weight measured 450±5gm per ball and 4000 revolution in each batch of 5kg carried out.

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) b.



DATE 2012 05 15	694
DATE 2013-05-15	ting films
DEPATOR CENERAL	and the second
INSTRUMENT ISM 6400	
ACCEL VOLT 20	
ACCEL_VOLT 20	Tabe
STAGE SOURCE	3
9.997 0. Range & OPC : <u>www.c</u>	classie.com
PIXEL HWA : School of Che	emical and Material Engineering
MICRO (SCME), NUST, Islar	nabad.
FILM_NUMBER 2013	
TITLE PC-SEM	State of the state
SPOT_SIZE 30	20kV X85.000 0.2um 2013 11.30 SEL
DATE 2013-05-15	
TIME 1:32:28 PM	AP LA TELLE
OPERATOR GENERAL	
INSTRUMENT JSM-6490	A WELL AF MORE
ACCEL_VOLT 20	R. B. A. Lot all M.
MAG 3000	AND ADDIED
SIGNAL SEI	CALLET KAR MAN
STAGE_POS 2.872752 -23.10307 9.997 0.019328 0	Look There and the
PIXEL_SIZE 3.333333E-05	
MICRON_MARKER 5um	UTAL REPORTS
FILM_NUMBER 2013	
TITLE PC-SEM	and the second sec
SPOT_SIZE 50	
DATE 2013-05-15	March 1 Call of the
TIME 1:36:06 PM	CASE IN THE R
OPERATOR GENERAL	7 D T A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
INSTRUMENT JSM-6490	
ACCEL_VOLT 20	
MAG 30000	STREET, STREET
SIGNAL SEI	TO LOT IN ADACTY - MARK
STAGE_POS 2.202288 -24.38114 9.997 0.019328 0	Each Court Park
PIXEL_SIZE 3.333333E-06	
MICRON_MARKER 0.5um	JUN A TANK
FILM_NUMBER 2013	A COSCO
TITLE PC-SEM	
SPOT_SIZE 30	2014/ V20 000 0 E.m. 2042 44 40 CEL
ospital Waste Ash with Oxide	

H



	PROPERTY	RANGE	OPC
C	S 10 2020		
G			
ا م ما م ا به	and a set of the second set of the second		

www.globalscientificjournal.com

G Dioxide	ŞJ:∖ SR⊉:	/olui 2320	ne 8 -918	, <u>Iss</u> 18.9	ue 3, 907 -	<u>Mai</u> - 29.	ch 20 29	20 25.74		
Wat	er Co	ontei	nt= 3	42.51	b/yd	3		Water Cen	ient Ratio	= 0.68
Tabl for Differ	e : Ap rent Slump Mixing	proximate is and Max Water Duar	: Mixing W cimum Ago tity inka/m	ater and / pregate St	ir Content zes (adapt r the listed N	Requirem red from A	ents CI, 2000) Ibl	e : Water-Cement Ra (a	tio and Compressi fter ACI, 2000)	ve Strength Rela
Sump	9.5 mm	12.5 mm	19 mm	25mm	37.5 mm	50 mm	75mm			
Non Air Entrained PCC	(0.573 m)	(v.J m.)	(and and	(am)	(1.5 m.)	(zm)	(316)		Water-cement	ratio by weight
25 - 50 (1 - 2)	207 (350)	199 (335)	190 (315)	179 (300)	166 (275)	154 (260)	130 (220)	28-Day Compressive		, ,
75 + 100 (3 - 4)	228 (385)	215 (365)	205 (340)	193 (325)	181 (300)	169 (285)	145 (245)	Strength in MPa (psi)	Non-Air-	Air-Entrained
150 - 175 (6 - 7)	243 (410)	220 (385)	216 (360)	202 (340)	190 (315)	170 (300)	160 (270)		Entraineu	
Typical entrapped air (percent)	3	2.5	2	1.5	1	0.5	0.3	41.4 (6000)	0.41	
Air-Entrained PCC								24 E (E000)	0.49	0.40
25 - 50 (1 - 2)	181 (305)	175 (295)	168 (280)	160 (270)	148 (250)	142 (240)	122 (205)	37.3 (3000)	0,10	0,70
75 - 100 (3 - 4)	202 (340)	190 (325)	104 (305)	175 (295)	165 (275)	157 (265)	100 (225)	27.6 (4000)	0.57	0.48
150 - 175	216	205	197	184	174	165	154			

	(3 - 4)	(385)	(365)	(340)	(325)	(300)	(285)	(245)	ou ongen in the e (poi)	Entening	AIT-Entrained
	150 - 175 (6 - 7)	243 (410)	220 (385)	216 (360)	202 (340)	190 (315)	178 (300)	160 (270)		Entraine	a
	Typical entrapped air (percent)	3	2.5	2	1.5	1	0.5	0.3	41.4 (6000)	0.41	
	Air-Entrained PCC								24 E (E000)	0.49	0.40
	25 - 50 (1 - 2)	181 (305)	175 (295)	168 (280)	160 (270)	148 (250)	142 (240)	122 (205)	10000	0,40	0,70
	75 · 100 (3 - 4)	202 (340)	190 (325)	104 (305)	175 (295)	165 (275)	157 (265)	100 (225)	27.6 (4000)	0.57	0.48
	150 - 175 [6 - 7]	216 (365)	205 (345)	197 (325)	184 (310)	174 (290)	166 (280)	154 (260)	20.7 (3000)	0.68	0.59
			Reco	mmended A	ir Contert (pr	ercent)			2011 (0000)		0.05
	Mid Exposure Moderate Exposure	4.5 6.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	4.5	2.0	3.5	13.8 (2000)	0.82	0.74
Aluminu	ım Oxide	Al	2 ⁰ 3		3.	.40-1	0.70)	8.70		
Ferric O	xide Fe ₂ O	D ₃			0.150-4.18			3	2.03		
Calcium Oxide CaO			49.28-68.94			4	55.15				
Magnesium Oxide MgO			0.78-5.12			3.98					
Sulfur T	rioxide S	0,			1.91-4.689)	3.18		

0.26

SOURCE

Sodium Oxide Na₂O

Range &OPC :www.classie.com

HWA : School of Chemical and Material Engineering (SCME), NUST, Islamabad

0.021-1.1086

c. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

This test conducted to obtain particle size and shape of HWA. The size of particles found less than 12micron and the shape observed highly irregular.

5. Water: Potable water was Used

Specimen Designation

- The mix were abbreviated in two ways
- CM and HWAH
- CM is Control Mix
- HWAH represented replaced amount of Cement.
- CM, 2.5H, 5H, 10H,15H and 20H

Mix Design

Definition

"Mix design is the science of determining the relative proportional of the ingredients of concrete to achieve the desired properties in the most economical way"

Types of Mix Design

- 1. Nominal Mix
- 2. Design Mix
- 3. Trial Mix

Factors Defining the choice of Mix Proportions

- 2. Workability
- 3. Durability
- 4. Type, size and grading of aggregates
- 5. Aggregate-cement ratio

ACI Method of Mix Design

- Compressive Strength= 3000psi
- Slump= 2to3in

Values from Tests

- Specific Gravity of Fine Aggregate=2.23
- Specific Gravity of Coarse Aggregate=2.39
- Moisture Content of Fine Aggregate=2.5%
- Moisture Content of Coarse Aggregate=0.25%
- Absorption of Fine Aggregate=3.64%
- Absorption of Coarse Aggregate=1.92%
- Fineness Modulus of Fine Aggregate=2.56
- Maximum Size of Aggregate= 1/2in Air Content= 2%

Amount of Cement=Water content/ Water Cement Ratio= 342.5/0.68= 503.67 lb/yd³

Table	: Volume of Coarse Aggregate per Unit Volume of PCC
for Different Fine	aggregate Fineness Moduli for Pavement PCC (after ACI, 2000

Nominal Maximum	Fine Aggregate Fineness Modulus						
Aggregate Size	2.40	2.60	2.80	3.00			
9.5 mm (0.375 inches)	0.50	0.48	0.46	0.44			
12.5 mm (0.5 irches)	0.59	0.57	0.55	0.53			
19 mm (0.75 inches)	0.66	0.64	0.62	0.60			
25 mm (1 inches)	0.71	0.69	0.67	0.65			
37.5 mm (1.5 irches)	0.75	0.73	0.71	0.69			
50 mm (2 inches)	0.78	0.76	0.74	0.72			

the

• Amount of Fine Aggregate= 3890-1552.5-503.67-342.5=1491.33 lb/yd³

	First Estimate of Conc	rete Weight (lb/yd³)
Maximum Size of Aggregate (in.)	Nonair-entrained Concrete	Air-entrained Concrete
3/8	3840	3690
1/2	3890	3760
3/4	3960	3840
1	4010	3900
11/2	4070	3960
2	4120	4000
3	4160	4040
6	4230	4120

- Moisture of C.A= $(3.64-2.5)*1593/100=18.16 \text{ lb/yd}^3$
- Moisture of F.A= (1.92-0.25)*1450.83/100=24.22 lb/yd³
- Total Water= $342.5 + 18.16 + 24.22 = 384.88 \text{ lb/yd}^3$

Mix	Ceme nt lb/yd ³	HWA lb/yd ³	W/C + HWA	Water lb/yd ³	F.A lb/yd ³	C.A lb/yd ³
СМ	503.67	-	0.68	384.88	1491.33	1552.5
2.5H	491.09	12.59	0.68	384.88	1491.33	1552.5
5H	478.49	25.18	0.68	384.88	1491.33	1552.5
10H	453.30	50.367	0.68	384.88	1491.33	1552.5
15H	428.12	75.55	0.65	384.88	1491.33	1552.5
20H	402.94	100.73	0.65	384.88	1491.33	1552.5

39M-03'[14]

GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2020 New 200 above mix design data the required tests performed in the laboratory as per ASTM requirements whose results are given as under

Initial and Final Setting Time of Concrete Mixes

Mixing: ASTM C191-04 [11]



Consistencies of Mixes

ASTM C187-98C'[12] Consistency(% of Water) Mix 30 CM 30.5 2.5H **5H** 31 10H 32 15H 33 <u>Sh</u> of 20H 34 AS

Mix	Slump Value (in)
СМ	1.80
2.5H	1.77
5H	1.69
10H	1.65
15H	1.60
20H	1.56

Compressive Strength of Mixes @7DaysASTM C39/C

Cyli nder	Test Date	Dimensi (in)	on	Area 2 (in)	Load (lbs)	Compres sive
		Length	Dia			Strength (psi)
СМ	-	12	6	28.27	45000	1559.25
2.5H	-	12	6	28.27	45000	1559.25
5H	-	12	6	28.27	43000	1521.04
10H	-	12.05	6	28.27	36000	1273.43
15H	-	12.10	6	28.27	29000	1025.82
20H	-	12.15	6	28.27	20000	707.46

www.globalscientificjournal.com

I.

Compressive	Strength	of Mixes	@14Days[14]

	Cvlin		Test	Dimensio	n	А	rea	Load	Compres
N	lex		n Rit tes	et(iii) g Tim	e(min)(i	n ² Final	Stating T	Strength
0	Μ			Length	Dia			198	(psi)
2	£Μ		-	1 21	6	2	8.27	450,00, 2	2957.19
-	2.5H		-	12	6	2	8.27	45000	2957.19
5	H5H		-	122	6	2	8.27	43020406.4	2840.46
	<u>10H</u>	Π	-	12.05	б	2	8.27	36000	1867.70
1	ЧЪн		-	12.40	6	2	8.27	29000 ⁴	778.21
1	5₽PH		-	12,15	6	2	8.27	200002.6	778.21
	-	_							
2	0H			148				325.6	

Compressive Strength of Mixes @28Days [14]

	Cylin ler	Test Date	Dimensio (in)	on	Area 2 (in)	Load (lbs)	Compress ive Strength
er			Length	Dia			(psi)
Vat	CM	-	12	12	6	28.27	2023.34
Ϋ́	2.5H	-	12	12	6	28.27	2023.34
9 9	5 H	-	12	12	6	28.27	1556.42
•`	10H	Contraction of the local division of the loc	12.05	12.05	6	28.27	1400.77
	15H	-	12.10	12.10	6	28.27	933.85
	20H	-	12.15	12.15	6	28.27	894.94
(inch)	$\begin{array}{c} 12011 \\ 12.13 \\ 12.13 \\ 12.13 \\ 12.13 \\ 12.13 \\ 100 \\ 2500 \\ 2000 \\ 2000 \\ 2000 \\ 1000 \\ 500 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $					7Days 14Days 28Days	

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This study carried out to evaluate the feasibility of using of hospital waste ash as partial replacement of cement. The main variable in this research is the amount of hospital waste ash (2.5,5,10,15, and 20% by weight of cement) while the amount of cementations material, water cement ratio, fine and coarse aggregate content were kept constant.

Test results substitute that hospital waste ash can be used in concrete. XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) of hospital waste ash showed that it is rich in calcite while scanning electron micrographs indicated that the particles of hospital waste ash have highly irregular shape. The slump value, density of fresh concrete and water absorption decreased with the increase in

GSJ: Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2020 days of testing, the compressive strength of mixes with 2.5H hospital waste ash was equal to the Control mix and results of the CM (Control Mix) showed higher strength than the hospital waste ashmixes except the mix containing 2.5% hospital waste ash by weight of cement.

CONCLUSIONS

- Based on the experimental results, following conclusions Can be drawn.
- Low cost concrete i.e 2.5% of cost saving can be achieve by utilizing HWAAs partial replacement of cement in concreteWithout compromising the strength parameters.
- The setting time increased while the density andWater absorption of mixes decreased with theIncrease in the percentage of HWA in the mix.
- The utilization of HWA as partial replacement of Cement in concrete solves the problem of it's theDisposal thus keeping the environment free fromPollution.
- In future research, further scientific investigation should be carried out to endorse the results

References

- [1] van Oss, H. G. Cement. In Mineral Commodity Summaries; U.S. Geological Survey: Reston, VA, 2010; pp 38-39.
- [2] IEA. Tracking Industrial Energy Efficiency and CO2 Emissions; International Energy Agency: Paris, 2007.
- [3] Worrell, E.; Price, L.; Martin, N.; Hendriks, C.; Meida, L. O. Carbon Dioxide Emissions from the Global Cement Industry. Annu. Rev. Energy Environ. 2001, 26, 303-329.
- [4] Khan JA. Hospital waste management issues and steps taken by the Government of Pakistan Oct 2006 (http://www.env.go.jp/recycle/3r/en/asia/02_03-2/04.pdf, accessed 16 January 2018)
- [5] Al-Mutairi N, Terro M and Al-Khaleefi AL, "Effect of recycling hospital ash on the compressive properties of concrete: statistical assessment and predicting model. Building and Environment" Volume 39, Issue 5, Pages 557-566, 2004.
- [6] Al-Rawas AA, Hago AW, Taha R and Al-Kharousi K, "Use of incinerator ash as a replacement for cement and sand in cement mortars." Volume 40, Issue 9, Pages 1261-1266, 2005.
- [7] Azni I, Katayon S, Ratnasamy M and Johari MMNM, "Stabilization and utilization of hospital waste as road and asphalt aggregate." Volume 7, Issue 1, pp 33-37, 2005.
- [8] ASTM, "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Cement; Lime; Gypsum", ASTM, 'Standard specification forPortland Cement, C150-04', Section 4, Volume 4.01, pp. 150-155, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2004
 - GSJ© 2020 www.globalscientificjournal.com

- [9] ASTM, "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 'Concreteand Aggregates", ASTM, 'Standard Test Method for SieveAnalysis of Fine Aggregates, C136-01', Section 4, Volume 4.02, pp. 84-88, West Conshohocken, PA, USA,2004. Available Online at http://www.answers.com/calcite?cat=technology
- [10] ASTM, "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 'Concreteand Aggregates", ASTM, 'Standard Test Method for SieveAnalysis of Fine Aggregates, ASTM C33/C33M-Section 4, Volume 4.02, 84-88, 13. pp. West Conshohocken, PA, USA,2004.Available Online at http://www.answers.com/calcite?cat=technology
 - [11] ASTM, "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Cement;Lime; Gypsum", ASTM, 'Standard Test Method for Timeof Setting of Hydraulic Cement by Vicat Needle, C191-04', Section 4, Volume 4.01, pp. 184-191, WestConshohocken, PA, USA, 2004.
 - "Annual [12]ASTM, Book of ASTM Standards. Cement;Lime; Gypsum", ASTM, 'Standard Test Method forNormal Consistency of Hydraulic Cement, C187-98C', Section 4, Volume 4.01, pp. 180-181, WestConshohocken, PA, USA, 2004
 - [13]ASTM Standard Test Method C143: Slump of Hydraulic Cement Concrete
 - [14] ASTM, "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 'Concrete and Aggregates", ASTM, 'Standard Test Method forCompressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimen,C39/C 39M-03', Section 4, Volume 4.02, pp. 21-25, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2004.

C GSJ