

METHODOLOGY:

An explanatory descriptive design was used in this study. The data were collected using questionnaire to interviewer 100 respondents. Questionnaires were filled in alongside the interview by the researcher. Data were analyzed by using of statistical package for social sciences, version 20.0, and other statistical methods (descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analyses) and considered p-value 0.5 as the level of significance at 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

DISCUSSION:

In order to obtain the results which permitted us to analyze the effect of the project management practices and performance of women in agricultural projects in Bungwe Sector, Burera District, Rwanda (2015-2019); data were collected from 100 respondents. Quantitative data were mainly generated from this research and were analyzed using frequencies, standard deviation, percentages, regression, and correlation as well. The answers obtained led us to get results presented in form of statistical tables and percentages followed by systemic interpretations and analysis as follows:

Table 1: Distribution of responses on the effect of project planning on performance of women’s agricultural projects

	Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD	TOTAL
1	The Agricultural projects use management practices to keep records of sales of products	60 (75)	10 (12)	5 (6)	3 (4)	2 (3)	80 (100)
2	The agricultural projects use project coordinators to manage the farm inputs	55 (68)	15 (19)	5 (6)	3 (4)	2 (3)	80 (100)
3	Project management practices have been helpful in linking up farmers to donors	58 (72)	12 (15)	5 (6)	3 (4)	2 (3)	80 (100)
4	The project management practices have enabled the farmers to keep track of agricultural seasons	60 (75)	10 (12)	3 (3)	3 (3)	4 (5)	80 (100)
5	Project management practices enables donors to at the same page with farmers	55 (69)	15 (18)	5 (6)	2 (3)	3 (4)	80
6	Project management practices have enabled farmers perform to their best season after season	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	3 (4)	2 (2)	80 (100)
7	Project management practices have been helpful for the performance of farmers through the farm managers’ agricultural activities coordination	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	2 (3)	3 (3)	80 (100)
8	Project management practices have been used to	65	10	5	0	0	80

	track materials& equipment of the farms to ensure that farm produces are in good conditions and stored well.	(81)	(13)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(100)
9	The project management practices are the key drivers of agricultural activities as they link them to the funders	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	3 (4)	2 (3)	80 (100)
10	The project management practices help in tracking the sales of the farm produces	50 (63)	12 (15)	10 (12)	6 (7)	2 (3)	80 (100)

The bracket figures indicate the percentage and figures not bracket indicate, frequency. **Source: - author's field survey (2021).**

Table 1 above shows that; 75% of respondents strongly agree that the Agricultural projects use management practices to keep records of sales of products, 12% agree, 6% neither agree or disagree, 4% disagree and 3% strongly disagree. This indicates that management practices have been important in success of women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera district.

Table 2: Distribution of responses on the effect of project implementation on performance of women's agricultural projects. Please indicate the level of your agreement with each role to your projects.

	Statement	SA	A	N	SD	D	TOTAL
1	Project implementation has been helpful in the initiation of the women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District	58 (73)	10 (12)	5 (6)	2 (3)	5 (6)	80 (100)
2	Project implementation has been a pillar to the improvement of women's agricultural project in Bungwe, Burera District	60 (75)	10 (12)	7 (9)	3 (4)	0 (0)	80 (100)
3	Project implementation has been helpful in the coordination of farm activities of women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District	56 (70)	14 (17)	6 (8)	4 (5)	0 (0)	80 (100)
4	Project implementation has enabled the performance of women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District through engaging different stakeholders	50 (63)	20 (25)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
5	Project implementation has enabled women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District through distribution of farm produces to different destinations	52 (65)	18 (23)	8 (10)	2 (2)	0 (0)	80 (100)
6	Project implementation has enabled the farmers get the right information concerning the projects at the right	60	7	5	5	3	80

	time	(75)	(9)	(6)	(6)	(4)	(100)
7	Project implementation has acted as the pillar of the stakeholders of the agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District	50 (62)	10 (13)	10 (13)	5 (6)	5 (6)	80 (100)
8	Project implementation has been helpful in the access of information to the stakeholders of the projects in Bungwe, Burera District	55 (69)	10 (13)	5 (6)	5 (6)	5 (5)	80 (100)
9	Project implementation enablers the funders get to know the gap in the women's agricultural projects	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
10	Project implementation has been helpful in different activities on the farm	55 (69)	15 (18)	10 (13)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)

Source: Author's field survey (2021).

Table 2 shows that, 73% strongly agree that Project implementation has been helpful in the initiation of the women's agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District, 12% of respondents agree, 6% disagree, 3% neither agree nor disagree, and 6% strongly disagree.

Table 3: Distribution of responses on the effect of project monitoring and evaluation on performance of women's agricultural projects. Please indicate the level of your agreement with each role to your projects.

	Statement	SA	A	N	SD	D	TOTAL
1	The project monitoring and evaluation tools have helped projects improvement of the yields	52 (65)	18 (23)	10 (12)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)
2	The project monitoring and evaluation has enabled the farmers keep track on the activities of the farm	50 (63)	16 (20)	10 (12)	4 (5)	0 (0)	80 (100)
3	The projects coordinators always write reports to the sponsors	55 (69)	15 (19)	10 (12)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)
4	The projects monitoring and evaluation tools have helped project coordinators make inventory on every farm inputs	50 (63)	10 (12)	10 (12)	5 (6)	5 (6)	80 (100)
5	The project monitoring and evaluation has helped the farm coordinators make reports on seasonal sales	57 (71)	13 (16)	10 (13)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)
6	The project monitoring and evaluation tools have been helpful in tracking equipment & materials of Project monitoring and evaluation has enabled the farm coordinators get access to the previous information concerning the projects	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
7	Project monitoring and evaluation as a tool has	53	17	6	3	1	80

	enabled farmers keep track of their yields	(66)	(21)	(8)	(4)	(1)	(100)
8	Project monitoring and evaluation as a tool has been helpful to the stakeholders of the project as in the comparison of their yield season after season	51 (63)	10 (12)	7 (8)	2 (2)	0 (0)	80 (100)
9	Project monitoring and evaluation has helped the farmers improve their skills in farming	53 (66)	15 (19)	7 (9)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
10	Project Monitoring and evaluation has enabled the farmers learn new management skills of their farms	50 (63)	15 (19)	5 (6)	5 (6)	5 (6)	80 (100)

The bracket figures indicate the percentage and figures not bracket indicate, frequency. **Source: Author's field survey (2021).**

Table 3 shows that, 65% of respondents strongly agree that, the project monitoring and evaluation tools have helped projects improvement of the yields, 23% of respondents agree, 12% of respondents neither agree nor disagree.

Table 4: Distribution of responses on the effect of project communication on performance of women's agricultural projects. Please indicate the level of your agreement with each role to your projects.

	Statement	SA	A	N	SD	D	TOTAL
1	Project communication has been so helpful in performance of women's project as it has kept all the stakeholders informed on every farm matters	60 (75)	10 (12)	6 (7)	4 (5)	0 (0)	80 (100)
2	Project communication has enabled the performance of women's agricultural projects to get funds from different financial institutions	50 (63)	17 (21)	5 (6)	3 (3)	5 (6)	80 (100)
3	Project communication as a tool has enabled the agricultural projects' gaps that need some improvement	62 (77)	10 (12)	8 (10)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)
4	Project communication has been helpful in engaging all the farmers to the right farm inputs for the right yields	48 (60)	20 (25)	12 (15)	0 (0)	0 (0)	80 (100)
5	Project communication as a tool has been helpful to the farmers improve their communication skills among themselves	50 (63)	10 (12)	5 (6)	8 (10)	7 (8)	80 (100)
6	Project communication has enabled the funders understand the gap in the agricultural activities and enabled them bridge the gap.	55 (69)	15 (19)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
7	Project communication as a tool has been helpful to the farm owners and the funders to keep on the same page	60 (75)	5 (6)	5 (6)	5 (6)	5 (6)	80 (100)
8	Project communication has enabled the performance of agricultural projects as they have linked all the	50	15	7	5	3	80

	stakeholders in different project of Bungwe, Burera District	(63)	(19)	(9)	(6)	(4)	(100)
9	Project communication has been helpful in management of the farm workers	52 (65)	18 (23)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)
10	Project communication as a tool has enabled the performance of agricultural projects in Bungwe, Burera District to another level.	60 (75)	10 (13)	5 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)	80 (100)

The bracket figures indicate the percentage and figures not bracket indicate, frequency. **Source: Author's field survey (2021).**

Table 4 shows that, 75% of respondents strongly agreed that project communication has been so helpful in performance of women's project as it has kept all the stakeholders informed on every farm matters, 12% of respondents agreed, 7% of respondents neither agreed nor disagreed, 5% of respondents disagreed and 0% of respondents strongly disagreed.

Table 5: Correlation analysis

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Project planning (1)	1							
Project implementation (2)	.385**	1						
Project management(3)	.208*	.383**	1					
Age of the farm(4)	.027	.140	.098	1				
Small scale farm (5)	-.016	.028	-.117	-.084	1			
Large scale farm (6)	-.132	-.084	.068	-.157	-.366**	1		
Horticulture (7)	.129	.087	.097	.196	-.502**	-.368**	1	
Number of employees (8)	.093	.107	.158	.261*	-.237*	.479**	-.272*	1

Levels of significance ***p<0.00, **p<0.01, *p<0.05 and N = 93

Source: Computations and output of SPSS 20 based on author's field survey (2021)

The results in table 2 above indicate a strong positive relationship between project planning and project implementation having a positive correlation coefficient of 0.385 and p<0.01. This implies that project planning is associated with preparations of project implementation reports by farmers.

The results also revealed that project management practices of project planning and project implementation are significantly related to performance of performance of women's in agricultural projects with a correlation coefficient of 0.208 (P<0.05) and 0.385 (p<0.01) respectively. This indicate that effective utilization of project planning and project implementation are both significant in association with performance of women's in agricultural projects. In addition, the result of the analysis also showed a positive correlation between the utilization of project management practices and age of the farms and horticulture sector of the farmers in the study con-

text.

Table 6: Results of regression analysis

Variables	Model		
	<i>B</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>VIF</i>
Control variables			
Age of the farmers	.068	.436	1.07
Small scale farm	-.095	-.283	2.733
Large scale farm	.286	.834	2.177
Horticulture	.149	.449	2.708
Number of employees	.107	1.047	1.472
Independent Variables			
Project planning	.073	.824	1.313
Project implementation	.327	4.600***	1.37
R²	.8534		
Adjusted R²	.8523		
F value	2.907**		

N=93, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, dependent variable: SME performance

In the model above, the differential contribution of the project planning and project implementation to farmers' performance is presented. The overall model comprising these variables and control variables on firm level performance is significant (F= 2.907 P<0.01). Based on the coefficients of this model, the relationship between utilization of project planning in the study contexts is not Supported (B=0.073, P>0.05). More so, the findings of the study strongly show the evidence for the positive and significant relationship between project planning as one of the project management practices and performance of women's in agricultural projects (B=0.327, P<0.001). Apparently, the coefficients in the study reveal that for a 10% increment in the effort towards deployment of project planning, the farmers in the sample would have realize an increment in performance of 3.2%, other factors held constant or remain constant or Vice versa or ceteris Paribas.

From the study above results implies that the model accounts significantly more variance in performance measured growth of the farmers as indicated in the factor loadings than would be expected by chance. Therefore, the study has established variables of project planning as factors that influence performance of farmers in the study context.

Significantly, the study results further reveal that the regression model was also very crucial and hence fit

for the study so well. Given the coefficient of determination (R^2) is 85.3%, the relationship is significant. Given the adjusted R^2 significant 85.2%, it signifies the independence variables incorporated into this model have been able to determine that project planning increase the chances of the farmers operating and achieving success of small scale farmers to 84%. The F and probability statistics also confirmed the significance of this model.

CONCLUSION:

The study needed to find out the influence of project management practices and agricultural project performance by community based organizations in Bungwe sector, Burera District in Rwanda. Basing on the findings, the researcher reached at several conclusions. Concerning the first objective, the study found out that project planning when jointly regressed had a positive influence on performance of agriculture projects. Likewise, based on the second objective, the study discovered that project implementation had a positive influence on agricultural projects' performance by community based organizations. Nevertheless, as much as the joint regression show that planning and implementation influenced project performance, the two variables are intermingled since planning guides in implementation as shown in literature review. The outcomes of multiple regression on project planning and project implementation, therefore, supports the fact that the two variables influence performance of agricultural projects.

The other objective required was to determine the influence of project monitoring and evaluation on agriculture projects' performance, the study established that the project M&E influenced agriculture projects' performance by community based organizations in Bungwe sector, Burera District in Rwanda. One more objective, the study found out that project communication had significantly influenced the performance of agricultural projects. Project communication therefore, was found to be a great influence on agricultural projects' performance.

Lastly, on the basis of the fifth objective which required to establish the moderating effect of environmental enablers on the relationship between project management practices and performance of agriculture projects by community based organizations in Bungwe sector, Burera District, the study established that; those environmental enablers moderated the relationship between project management practices and agricultural projects' performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the first place, I thank God for protection over my life. I really feel greatly indebted to my superior who made this dissertation a success. I highly acknowledge the efforts and commitment of **Dr. DUSHIMIMANA Jean De Dieu**, whose close and intellectual guidance enabled me to complete this dissertation. His encouragement and positive corrections have been so crucial in coming up with this constructive paper.

Many thanks indeed to the School of Postgraduate studies, Musanze Campus of the University of Kigali team for encouraging me to undertake this program of Master of Business Administration in Project Management of the University of Kigali- Musanze Campus.

My humble gratitude goes to my parents, my brothers and sisters for their encouragement, advice and valuable moral, my Father B. Mbonera Enias, My Mother N. Kanyamanza Judith, My Husband Kimenyi Aloysius, my

daughters, Liz, Nana & Ariana. My deepest gratitude goes to staff members of school of postgraduate studies at the University of Kigali (UoK) for their support in both my academic and social life. Many thanks also goes to my wonderful friends & great classmates.

REFERENCES

- Ackroyd, S. Fleetwood, S. (2000) *Realist Perspectives on Organization and Management*: London, Routledge
- Adeyemi, T.O., Ogundipe, O.T. and Olowokudejo, J.D. 2013. Species Distribution modelling of Family Sapindaceae in West Africa. *International Journal of Botany*
- Ahimbisibwe, A., Nangoli, S. (2012) Using the Behavioural Factors to explain Perceived Project Performance of Ugandan Citizenship Projects: A Multivariate Analysis *International Journal of Business and Social Science*
- Akindele, R.I. (2007). *Fundamentals of Human Resources*. Ile-Ife, Cedar Productions.
- Aladwani, A. M. (2002). IT project uncertainty, planning and success: An empirical investigation from Kuwait. *Information Technology & People*
- Amponsah, R. (2010). *Improving Project Management practice on Ghana with focus on Agriculture, Banking & Construction of Ghanaian Economy*, Finland, RMIT University press.
- Antvik, A.O, Sjöholm, K.F, (2007). Identification and Evaluation of Factors Influencing Variations on Building Projects. *International Journal of Project Management*.
- Balaji et al., 2007 V. Balaji, S.N. Meera, S. Dixit
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17, 99–120.
- Barney, J. B. (2001). *Resource-based theories of competitive advantage*
- Barry, C., Dent, D., & Olivier, D. (2000). *Rural Planning in the Developing World with a Special Focus on Natural Resources*, London, International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Bello-Imam I.B. (2007). *Fundamentals of Human Resource Management in Nigeria*. Ibadan, College Press & Publishers Limited.
- Blackstone J.H. (2010). Theory of constraints – A status report. *International Journal of Production Research*, 39(6), 1053-1080
- Botchie, G. (2000), *Rural District Planning in Ghana: A Case Study*. Environmental Planning Issues No. 21, International Institute for Environment and Development, London. Boudreau, M. C., Gefen, D., & S
- Boudreau, M.-C., Gefen, D., and Straub, D. W. "Validation in information systems research

- Bradley BA, Blumenthal DM, Wilcove DS et al (2010) Predicting plant invasions in an era of global change. *Trends Ecol Evol* 25:310–318
- Breman, J. (1996). *Footloose Labour: Working in India's Informal Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Burke, P. J. (2008). Identity, social status, and emotion. In D. T. Robinson & J. Clay-Warner (Eds.), *Social structure and emotion* (pp. 7593). Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Burke, R. & Barron, S. 2007. *Project management leadership: Building creative teams*. Ringwood: Burke Publishing
- Burke, R. (2008). *Project management: planning and control techniques*, 4th edition west Sussex, England
- Buttrick 2007, N & Oishi, S: *The psychological consequences of income inequality*.
- Buttrick, R., 2007. *The Project Workout: a Toolkit for Reaping the Rewards From all Your Business Projects*, First ed. Financial Times Management, London.
- Choudrie, & Dwivedi, (2005). *Investigating Broadband Diffusion in the Household: Towards Content Validity and Pre-Test of the Survey Instrument*. ECIS 2005 Proceedings.
- Christen, R.P., & Douglas, P. (2005). *Managing Risks and Designing Products for Agricultural Microfinance*. Rome: International Fund for Agricultural Development.
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. (2008). *Business research methods*. Pottstown: McGraw-Hill School.
- Cooper, R. D., & Schindler, S.P. (2007). *Business Research Methods*. 8th Ed Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*.
- Davis, Baker, S. R., Bloom, N. & S. J. (2016). *Measuring Economic Policy Uncertainty*.
- Demircioğlu, G, Ayas, A, & Demircioğlu, 2010, 'Conceptual change achieved through a new teaching program on acids and bases,' *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*
- Diso, L. (2005). Mass information work and television journalisms dilemma in Nigeria. *The International Information and Library Review* 37: 285-294.
- Diso, L. (2005). Mass information work and television journalisms dilemma in Nigeria. *The International Information and Library Review*
- Downs, C.W., Adrian, A.D. (2004) *Assessing organizational communication, strategic communication audits*. New York: Guilford Press
- Drob Tucker, 2009 T. A. *Adult age trends in the relations among cognitive abilities*.
- Drob, C. (2009). *The evolution of the project management*. *Studies and Scientific Researches*. Economics Edition No. 14, 2009, 31- 34.

- Ewurum, Eboh, and Igwe, N.N. (2009). Analysis of Environmental Influences that impinge on Project
- Fisher, S., Murray, F., Frazer, N. (1985). Homesickness, health and efficiency in first year students. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 5, 181-195.
- Gibson, G.; Wang, Y.; Cho, C. & Pappas, M. (2012), 'What is pre-project planning, anyway?', *Journal of Management in Engineering*
- Goczol, J. and Scoubeau, C. (2003). Corporate communication and strategy in the field of projects, *Corporate Communications*, 8(1), 60-6.
- Goldhaber, G. M., & Rogers, D. P. (1979). Auditing organizational communication systems: The ICA communication audit.
- Goldratt, E.M. (1990), *What is This Thing Called Theory of Constraints and How Should it be Implemented?* North River Press, New York, NY.
- Gray, Dworatschek, D. H. Gobeli, H. Knoepfel, and E. W. Larson, (2008) "International Comparison of Project Organization Structures: Use and Effectiveness," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 8, no. 1 February 1990,
- Gregg and Anna (2016). The wage scar from youth unemployment. CMPO Working Paper
- Grisham, T. (2008). *Cross Cultural Leadership*. School of Property, Construction and Project Management. Melbourne, RMIT.
- Salma, A., Abdul, H. K., Abdelnaser, O., & Mahyuddin, R. (2009). a brief study on the critical success factors in construction industry in sudan. *annals of the faculty of engineering*.