

Testing the Mediating Effect of Knowledge Management

Mediation analyses can be performed with either multiple regression or SEM. The logic of analyses is the same in both cases. In general SEM is preferred method (Baron & Kenny, 1986; Hoyle & Smith, 1994; Judd & Kenny, 1981). Preacher and Hayes (2004) the bootstrapping method provides an advantage to the Sobel's test since it increases power. The Preacher and Hayes Bootstrapping method is a non-parametric test. As such, the bootstrap method does not violate assumptions of normality and is therefore recommended for small sample sizes.

Hypothesis 4 (h_{o4}): stated that there is no significant mediating effect of absorptive capacity on the relationship between social capital and firm competitive advantage.

Table 4 below shows that the direct total effect of testing the mediating effect of social capital and firm competitive advantage. The output provides the significance tests of part "c" which tests the effect of social capital on firm competitiveness. The study findings revealed that entrepreneurial orientation had coefficients of estimate which was significant basing on $\beta_1 = 0.482$ (p -value = 0.00034 which was less than $\alpha = 0.05$).

The test of path "a" was social capital to absorptive capacity and the study finding indicated that social capital had a significant effect on absorptive capacity ($p = 0.000$ which is less than $\alpha = 0.05$). While path "b" was testing the effect of absorptive capacity on firm competitive advantage which was also found to be significant at ($p = 0.000$ which is less than $\alpha = 0.05$).

Finally path "c" tested the effect of social capital on firm competitiveness, controlling for absorptive capacity so as to test the indirect effect. The finding revealed that the effect was significant at $p = 0.000$ which is less than $\alpha = 0.05$. Therefore the model met all the criteria for mediation according to Baron and Kenny (1986). Hence we conclude there is partial mediation of absorptive capacity since part "c" was found to be significant.

Table 4 Direct and Total Effects

	Coeff	s.e	t	Sig(two)
C (YX)	0.0877	0.0297	2.9577	0.0034*
a (MX)	0.3600	0.0603	5.9662	0.0000*
b (YM.X)	0.1570	0.0124	12.7428	0.0000*
c' (YX.M)	-0.7106	0.0131	-54.3914	0.0000*

Notes: *Significant at $p < 0.05$, Sample size=272, Y= firm competitive advantage, X= social capital, M= absorptive capacity

Source: (Survey Data, 2018)

The result in table 5 below shows the test of the indirect effect in a normal distribution using the Sobel test. The test was done because it is preferred to Baron and Kenny (1986) method. The results of table 5 were obtained using Baron and Kenny (1986) method which has been considered conservative because of its low power (MacKinnon, Warsi, & Dwyer, 1995).

Table 5 Indirect Effect and Significance Using Normal Distribution

	Value	s.e.	LL95CI	UL95CI	Z	Sig(two)
Effect	0.5652	0.0948	0.3793	0.7510	5.9594	0.0000**

Source: (Survey Data, 2018)

The findings from table 4 shows the coefficients of path a = 0.3600 and path b = 1.5700, while the coefficients of the indirect effect = 0.5652, which was significant using the Sobel test ($p < 0.05$). Boost trapping was performed further to determine the mediating effect and the results are presented in Table 6 below:

Table 6 Bootstrap Results for Indirect effect

	Data	Mean CI	s.e.	LL99	LL95CI	UL95CI	UL99CI
Effect	0.5652	0.5587*	0.0835	0.3256	0.3914	0.7142	0.7649

Note: LL = Lower Limit (or the lower boundary) and UL = Upper Limit (or upper boundary) of the Confidence interval, Number of bootstrap resamples 5000, *significant at $p < 0.05$

Source: (Survey Data, 2018)

Table 6 output provides the bootstrapped confidence intervals at 99 and 95 percentiles are calculated but we only look at the 95% confident level. Here we are looking to see if ZERO (0) lies within the interval range of the Confidence interval. Essentially we are asking whether it is possible (with 95% confidence). If the indirect effect is equal to zero there would be no mediation. The findings show an indirect effect at 95% that ranged from 0.3914 to 0.7142.

The estimated effect was 0.5587, which was lying in between the interval range and hence zero does not occur between the lower limit and the upper limit. The study conclude that the indirect

effect is significant, hence mediation exists and therefore we reject Hypothesis (H_{04i}) that stated there is no significant mediating effect of absorptive capacity on the relationship between social capital and firm competitive advantage.

Discussions and Conclusions

This paper focused on three key issues: First is whether social capital and Absorptive Capacity affects firms' competitive advantage. Using employees of selected commercial banks in Kenya, we found support for the proposition that social capital and Absorptive Capacity had significant effect on firm competitive advantage. Consistent with previous results, knowledge acquisition has a statistical significant relationship with firm competitive advantage. This finding is similar to the result obtained by Russel (2003).

The study findings also revealed that absorptive capacity was positively associated to firm competitive advantage. This finding is similar to the results obtained in previous studies such as Kim, (2007), and Luthans & Youssef, (2004). Thus, effective utilization of resources or better still tangible/intangible assets and intangible capabilities will result to a better competitive advantage and hence performance.

The results of the study further showed that Social Capital has positive and significant effect on Absorptive Capacity. This means the higher/positive the Social Capital the higher/Positive Absorptive Capacity. The findings in this study are in line with previous research results from Chuang *et al.* (2016), Aribi & Dupouet (2015) and Hughes *et al.* (2014). The findings are in line with previous research because finance company actively interacts with partners in carrying out their daily business activities. This is consistent with Chuang *et al.* (2016) suggesting that companies should strengthen relationships within the business network to facilitate knowledge exchange.

Given the increasingly critical role of absorptive capacity in connection with firm competitiveness in today's dynamic market place, this study has contributed to the current body of knowledge in competitive advantage by combining social capital theory and the Resource based view theory blended with knowledge and dynamic capability approaches to develop an integrative theoretical model of management capability-based Competitive advantage of the firm.

Limitations

The primary purpose of the study was to investigate the relationship between social capital and firm competitive advantage and testing the mediating effect of absorptive capacity among commercial banks listed in Nakuru County, Kenya. It is important to appreciate the study limitations. First, we focused on a single industry. Although this is one way of controlling for industry effects, the results may not be representative of other sectors and so we need to interpret the results with caution. Second, the sample size used is relatively small and so future research using different sectors and larger samples may provide additional insights and add to the understanding of issues explored in the study. Finally it may also be fascinating to examine social capital dimensions and to tie them to firm competitive advantage including both financial and cycle time performance implications in a multi-sector approach.

REFERENCE

- Almeida, P., (1996). "Knowledge sourcing by foreign multinationals: Patent citation analysis in the US semiconductor industry". *Strategic Management Journal* Vol. 1, pp.155-165.
- Alvesson, M. (1993). Organizations as rhetoric: Knowledge-intensive firms and the struggle with ambiguity. *Journal of Management studies*, 30(6), 997-1015.
- Nadkarni S, Chen J. Bridging Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow: CEO Temporal Focus, Environmental Dynamism, and Rate of New Product Introduction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 2014; 57(6): 1810–1833. 10.5465/amj.2011.0401.
- Chen J, Sharma P, Zhan W, Liu L. Demystifying the impact of CEO transformational leadership on firm performance: Interactive roles of exploratory innovation and environmental uncertainty. *Journal of Business Research*, 2019; 96: 85–96. 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.061.
- McGrath, R. G. (2013). *The End of Competitive Advantage*. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
- Rui, H., Miao, Z., and Shipman, A. (2016). Relevant knowledge and recipient ownership: Chinese MNCs' knowledge transfer in Africa. *J. World Bus.* 51, 713–728. doi: 10.1016/j.jwb.2016.07.009
- Delery, J. E., and Roumpi, D. (2017). Strategic human resource management, human capital and competitive advantage: is the field going in circles? *Hum. Resour. Manag. J.* 27, 1–21. doi: 10.1111/1748-8583.12137.

- Harrigan, K. R., and Diguardo, M. C. (2017). Sustainability of patent-based competitive advantage in the U.S. communications services industry. *J. Technol. Transfer* 42, 1–28.
- Li, C. B., and Zhou, K. Z. (2010). How strategic orientations influence the building of dynamic capability in emerging economies. *J. Bus. Res.* 63, 224–231. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.03.003
- Kwak, D. W., Seo, Y. J., Mason, R., Brown, S., and Hill, A. (2018). Investigating the relationship between supply chain innovation, risk management capabilities and competitive advantage in global supply chains. *Int. J. Operat. Prod. Manag.* 38, 2–21. doi: 10.1108/ijopm-06-2015-0390
- Saranga, H., George, R., Beine, J., and Arnold, U. (2018). Resource configurations, product development capability, and competitive advantage: an empirical analysis of their evolution. *J. Bus. Res.* 85, 32–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.045
- Ambos, T. C., & Schlegelmilch, B. B. (2009). Managing knowledge in international consulting firms. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(6), 491-508.
- Ambos, T. C., & Schlegelmilch, B. B. (2009). Managing knowledge in international consulting firms. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(6), 491-508.
- Ambos, T. C., & Schlegelmilch, B. B. (2009). Managing knowledge in international consulting firms. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(6), 491-508.
- Amit, R.; and Schoemaker, P. (1993). "Strategic assets and organizational rent". *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol.17 No.1; pp.99
- Angelo S.; DeNisi Michael A. Hitt Susan E. Jackson. (2003). "The Knowledge-Based Approach to Sustainable Competitive Advantage", *Managing Knowledge for Sustained Competitive Advantage: Designing Strategies for Effective Human Resource Management*, Willy Imprint
- Antonelli, C. (1999). The evolution of the industrial organization of the production of knowledge', *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 23; pp. 243-60.
- Armbruster, H.; Bikfalvi, A.; Kinkel, S.; and Lay, G. (2008). "Organizational innovation: The challenge of measuring non-technical innovation in large-scale surveys, *Technovation*, Vol. 28; pp.644–657
- Avlonitis, G.J.; Papapstathopoulou, P.G.; and Gounaris, S.P. (2001). "An empirically-based typology of product innovativeness for new financial services: success and failure scenarios", *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, Vol.18; pp. 324–342.

- Barney J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantages. *Journal of Management*, Vol.17 No.1; pp. 99-12
- Barney, J. B. (2001). “Is the resource-based “view” a useful perspective for strategic management research? Yes”. *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 26; pp. 41–56.
- Barney, J. B., & Clark, D. N. (2007). *Resource-based theory: Creating and sustaining competitive advantage*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bagozzi, R.P., Yi, Y. (1988). “On the evaluation of structural equation models”, *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol.16, No.1; pp. 74-94
- Brown, J. S., & Duguid, P. (2001). Knowledge and organization: A social-practice perspective. *Organization science*, 12(2), 198-213.
- Cantner, U.; Joel, K.; and Schmidt, T. (2009). “The effects of KM on innovative success –an empirical analysis of German firms”, *Economic studies*, Vol. 1 No.16
- Carneiro, A. (2000).How does knowledge management influence innovation and competitiveness?” *Journal of knowledge management*.Vol.4 No.2; pp. 87-98
- Choi, B.; and Lee, H. (2002).Knowledge Management strategy and its link to knowledge creation process, *Expert Systems with applications* Vol.23; pp. 173- 186.
- Choi, B.; and Lee, H. (2003).Knowledge management Enablers, Processes, and Organizational Performance: An Integrative View and Empirical Examination,*Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 20, No.1; pp. 179-228
- Chuang, S.H. (2004).A resource-based perspective on knowledge management capability cans competitive advantage: an empirical investigation, *Expert System with applications* Vol. 27; pp. 459-465
- Connor, K.R.; and Prahalad, C.K. (1996).A resource-based theory of the firm: knowledge versus opportunism”, *Organization Science*, Vol. 7 No. 5; pp. 477-501.
- Cooper, C.; and Beesley, G.A. (2008). “Defining Knowledge Management activities: towards consensus”, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 12 No. 3; pp. 48-62
- Cooper, J.R. (1998). A multidimensional approach to the adoption of innovation, *Management Science*, Vol. 36 No. 8; pp. 493-502.
- Cronbach, L.J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests, *Psychometrical*, Vol. 16, No. 33; pp.297-334
- Damanpour, F. (1991).Organizational innovation: a meta-analysis effect of determinants and moderators”, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 3; pp. 555-90.

- Darroch, J. (2003), “Developing a measure for Knowledge Management behaviors and practices”, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 7. No.5.pp. 41-54
- Darroch, J. (2005), “Knowledge management, innovation and firm performance”, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 9 No.3; pp. 101-115.
- Darroch, J., & McNaughton, R. (2001).Knowledge management and innovation.*Department of Marketing, University of Otago, Dunedin.*
- Darroch, J; and McNaughton, R. (2003), Beyond market orientation Knowledge management and the innovativeness of New Zealand firms*European journal of marketing*, Vol.37. No.3/4, pp. 573-593.
- Davenport, T. H., &Prusak, L. (1998).*Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know*. Harvard Business.
- Barney, J. B., & Clark, D. N. (2007).*Resource-based theory: Creating and sustaining competitive advantage*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bagozzi, R.P., Yi, Y. (1988). “On the evaluation of structural equation models”, *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol.16, No.1; pp. 74-94
- Brown, J. S., &Duguid, P. (2001). Knowledge and organization: A social-practice perspective. *Organization science*, 12(2), 198-213.
- Cantner, U.; Joel, K.; and Schmidt, T. (2009). “The effects of KM on innovative success –an empirical analysis of German firms”, *Economic studies*, Vol. 1 No.16
- Carneiro, A. (2000).How does knowledge management influence innovation and competitiveness?” *Journal of knowledge management*.Vol.4 No.2; pp. 87-98
- Choi, B.; and Lee, H. (2002).Knowledge Management strategy and its link to knowledge creation process, *Expert Systems with applications* Vol.23; pp. 173- 186.
- Choi, B.; and Lee, H. (2003).Knowledge management Enablers, Processes, and Organizational Performance: An Integrative View and Empirical Examination,*Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 20, No.1; pp. 179-228
- Chuang, S.H. (2004).A resource-based perspective on knowledge management capability cans competitive advantage: an empirical investigation, *Expert System with applications* Vol. 27; pp. 459-465
- Connor, K.R.; and Prahalad, C.K. (1996).A resource-based theory of the firm: knowledge versus opportunism”, *Organization Science*, Vol. 7 No. 5; pp. 477-501.
- Cooper, C.; and Beesley, G.A. (2008). “Defining Knowledge Management activities: towards consensus”, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 12 No. 3; pp. 48-62

- Cooper, J.R. (1998). A multidimensional approach to the adoption of innovation, *Management Science*, Vol. 36 No. 8; pp. 493-502.
- Cronbach, L.J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests, *Psychometrical*, Vol. 16, No. 33; pp.297-334
- Damanpour, F. (1991).Organizational innovation: a meta-analysis effect of determinants and moderators'', *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 3; pp. 555-90.
- Darroch, J. (2003), "Developing a measure for Knowledge Management behaviors and practices", *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 7. No.5.pp. 41-54
- Darroch, J. (2005), "Knowledge management, innovation and firm performance", *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 9 No.3; pp. 101-115.
- Darroch, J., & McNaughton, R. (2001).Knowledge management and innovation.*Department of Marketing, University of Otago, Dunedin.*
- Darroch, J; and McNaughton, R. (2003), Beyond market orientation Knowledge management and the innovativeness of New Zealand firms*European journal of marketing*, Vol.37. No.3/4, pp. 573-593.
- Davenport, T. H., &Prusak, L. (1998).*Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know.* Harvard Business Press.
- Davenport, T.H.; and Klahr, P. (1998).Managing customer support knowledge.*California Management Review* Vol. 40 No.3; pp.195-208.
- Davenport, T.H; and Prusak, L. (1998),"Working Knowledge.*Harvard Business School Press.*
- Deshpande, R.; Jarley, U.; and Webster, F. (1993), "Corporate culture, customer orientation, and innovativeness in Japanese firms: A quadrad analysis". *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 57; pp. 23–37.
- Dobni, C. B. (2008), Measuring innovation culture in organizations: The development of generalized innovation culture using exploratory factor analysis'', *European Journal of Innovation Management*, Vol.11 No. 4; pp. 539-559
- Dobni, C. B. (2008). Measuring innovation culture in organizations: The development of a generalized innovation culture construct using exploratory factor analysis.*European Journal of Innovation Management*, 11(4), 539-559.
- Dollinger, M. J., Li, X., & Mooney, C. H. (July 01, 2010). Extending the Resource-based View to the Mega-event: Entrepreneurial Rents and Innovation.*Management and Organization Review*, 6, 2, 195-218.

- Dove, R. (1999), knowledge management response ability, and the agile enterprise, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol. 40 No. 3; pp 265-76
- Drucker, P. F. (1993), "Post –capital society", *Harper and Collins, New Yourk*
- Ellonen, H.-K.; Wikstrom, P; and Jantunen, A. (2009). "Linking dynamic capability portfolios and innovation outcomes". *Technovation*, doi:10.1016/j.technovation.04.005
- Foss, N. J., & Pedersen, T. (2002). Transferring knowledge in MNCs: The role of sources of subsidiary knowledge and organizational context. *Journal of International Management*, 8(1), 49-67.
- Galunic, C; and Rodan, S. (1998), "Resource Recombination in the Firm: Knowledge Structures and the Potential for Schumpeterian Innovation", *Strategic management journal*, Vol. 19, No.; pp. 1193-1201
- Gerwin D; and Barrowman NJ.(2002). "An Evaluation of Research on Integrated Product Development". *Management Science* Vol. 48 No.7; pp. 938-953.
- Gerwin, D., & Barrowman, N. J. (2002). An evaluation of research on integrated product development. *Management Science*, 48(7), 938-953.
- Gordon, S.T.; and Tarafdar, M. (2007), understanding the influence of information system competencies on process innovation: A Resource based vie, *Journal of strategic information system*, Vol. 16; pp. 353-392.
- Grant, R.M. (1996a), Prospering in Dynamically-Competitive Environments: Organizational Capability as Knowledge Integration, *Organization Science* Vol.7 No.4; pp. 375-387.
- Grant, R.M. (1996b), Toward a Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm, *Strategic Management Journal*, Winter Special Issue, Vol. 17; pp. 109-122.
- Gupta, A., & McDaniel, J. (2002). Creating competitive advantage by effectively managing knowledge: A framework for knowledge management. *Journal of knowledge Management practice*, 3(2), 40-49.
- Gupta, R.K., (2009), Innovation in organizations: A review of the role of organizational learning and knowledge management *Global business review*, Vol. 10 No. 2; pp.203-224
- Gürol, Y., & Atsan, N. (2006). Entrepreneurial characteristics amongst university students: Some insights for entrepreneurship education and training in Turkey. *Education Training*, 48(1), 25-38.
- Hall, B.H.; and J. Mairesse (2006), Empirical studies of innovation in the knowledge driven economy, *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, Vol.15 No.4, 5; pp. 289299.

- Hamel, G., & Prahalad, C. K. (1990). Corporate imagination and expeditionary marketing. *Harvard business review*, 69(4), 81-92.
- Hamel, G., & Prahalad, C. K. (1994). *Competing for the future*. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques*. New Age International.
- Liao, S. H., Fei, W. C., & Liu, C. T. (2008). Relationships between knowledge inertia, organizational learning and organization innovation. *Technovation*, 28(4), 183-195.
- Louis, C., Lawrence, M., & Keith, M. (2007). *Research methods in education*. New York: Routledge.
- Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of management review*, 23(2), 242-266.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). The knowledge-creation company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation.
- Oghojafor, B. E. A., Aduloju, S. A., & Olowokudejo, F. F. (2011). Information technology and customer relationship management (CRM) in some selected insurance firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, 3(7), 452.
- Polyani, M. (1966). The tacit dimension. *Peter Smith, Gloucester, Mass.*
- Priem, R. L., & Butler, J. E. (2001). Is the resource-based “view” a useful perspective for strategic management research?. *Academy of management review*, 26(1), 22-40.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, J. R. G. (2009). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., & Sun, J. (2006). *Business research methods*.
- Spender, J. C. (1996). Making knowledge the basis of a dynamic theory of the firm. *Strategic management journal*, 17(S2), 45-62.
- Spender, J. C., & Grant, R. M. (1996). Knowledge and the firm: overview. *Strategic management journal*, 17(S2), 5-9.
- Sundiman, D., Idrus, M. S., Troena, E. A., & Rahayu, M. (2013). The Role of Knowledge Management on Individual, the Community and the Organization.
- Sveiby, K. E. (1997). *The new organizational wealth: Managing & measuring knowledge-based assets*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Tajeddini, K., Trueman, M., & Larsen, G. (2006). Examining the effect of market orientation on innovativeness. *Journal of marketing management*, 22(5-6), 529-551.

- Wang, S., & Noe, R. A. (2010). Knowledge sharing: A review and directions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 20(2), 115-131.
- Weerawardena, J. (2003). Exploring the role of market learning capability in competitive strategy. *European journal of marketing*, 37(3/4), 407-
- Yee, R. W., Yeung, A. C., & Cheng, T. E. (2008). The impact of employee satisfaction on quality and profitability in high-contact service industries. *Journal of operations management*, 26(5), 651-668.

© GSJ