

























criterion. These are combinations 8 (AIC = 36.01), 9 (38.93) and 2 (47.67). These have the most accurate and significant proportions of variability, reinforced by Tukey's USD tests. The equation lines associated with these combinations are those that could best predict potential fruit production in a lightly anthropized forest.

Finally, three combinations that best predict fruit production of *R. heudelotii* each have three variables with low AIC values in both land use types with high correlations. And each of the combinations has an associated fit line with established equations.

Table IX. Regression of the most relevant combinations for the prediction of fruit production in the two land use types

N°		AIC	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	Regression equations
1		47.67	87.27%	0.0001<0.05	PT = 45.52+2.46*NnT+2.54*Distj-1.80*HmC
2	Forest	36.01	94.81%	0.0001<0.05	PT = 87.33+2.20*Distj-0.74*HS-2.05*HmC
3		38.93	93.50%	0.0001<0.05	PT = 113.69-2.68*NnT-0.89*HS-2.09*HmC
1		64.42	93.93%	0.0001<0.05	PT = -35.86+1.29*HS+5.40*Distj-9.10*NnT
2	Agroforest	65.46	93.54%	0.0001<0.05	PT = 209.52-6.47*HmC-0.93*HS+0.95*Distj
3		64.40	93.93%	0.0001<0.05	PT = 245.70-6.50*HmC-1.65*HS-2.94*NnT

Overall, the correlations become more refined and accurate as the number of variables regressed increases with respect to the Aikake information criterion (AIC). Thus, in both types of land use, these combinations are most relevant when they involve, on the one hand, the number of competitors of greater height than the subject individuals and, on the other hand, the height of the subject individual and the average height of the competitors and the distance between the competitors and the subject individuals. This means that height is the main dendrometric descriptor that is most relevant for predicting fruit production in a nearest neighbour's competition context.

#### 4. Discussion

##### Variation of total production according to dendrometric parameters

The aim of this work is to propose simple allometric equations for predicting the fruit production of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Baill.) based on the dendrometric characteristics of the subject individuals and their close neighbours. To achieve this, the dendrometric characteristics relating to height (competitors and subjects), diameter (competitors and subjects), density, basal area, number of competitors taller than the subject individual and distance between the competitor and the subject individual were tested using simple and multiple regressions.

The results obtained show a large and significant difference in production in the agroforest versus forest surveys. A similar result allowed Lamien et al (2004) to find high proportions of productivity of trees in agroforestry parks compared to trees in natural formations.

The analysis of the variations in total cumulative fruit production as a function of some dendrometric parameters showed that the diameter (of the tree and its close neighbours) does not have a significant influence on it. This is not the case for height (subject and potential competitors) which significantly influences total fruit production ( $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ ). The significant influence of height certainly justifies the severity of horizontal competition obtained in this study in both agroforests and forests. Indeed, taller neighboring trees can shade and prevent light capture by others. As *R. heudelotii* is a heliophilic species, competition for light would therefore affect its productivity (Djeugap Fovo et al., 2013). A similar observation will make Tabarant (2007) say that, the role of light is very important, as variations in its spectrum influence the growth, reproduction and production of the forest.

Fruit production varies significantly with both density and the number of competitors taller than the subject tree. Agroforests have low densities but high total cumulative fruit production compared to forest surveys. Indeed, at low densities as observed in agroforests, tree-tree competition is not a limiting factor (Scholes and Archer, 1997), as it might be in forests where densities are high.

The results obtained showed that fruit production is not optimal when the distance between the competitor and the subject-tree is reduced. Thus, a tall competitor would have a negative influence on the fruit production of the subject-tree if the distance between the two individuals is small. This observation could be explained by the fact that the influence of a neighboring-tree on the competence of a target tree is a direct function of the neighbour's height and an inverse function of the neighbour's distance (Uriarte et al. 2004).

### **Linear allometric equations and prediction of fruit production**

Thus, combinations of individual subject and competitor heights, as well as the number of competitors taller than the subject, individually predict fruit production better. These have lower AIC values. Combinations with density and distance of competitors from the subject tree could also be considered as a predictor variable although AIC values are high in agro-forests. In forest surveys, the

combinations all have low AIC values, justifying the influence of each physical descriptor used. Indeed, Burnham and Anderson (2002), Mbow et al. (2013), Sileshi (2014) and Laminou Manzo et al. (2015), have shown that the Akaike information criterion (AIC) is a decisive validation element of a prediction model, as well as the different statistical tests and the standard residual error.

Indeed, the fact that low AIC values influenced the choice of these equations corroborates the result obtained by Mbonayem Liboum and Bobo (2017) when studying the growth of Moabi. These authors showed that the combinations that provided the lowest AIC values were retained as potentially usable in predictive models of Moabi growth.

## 5. Conclusion

The present study allowed the evaluation of the fruiting potential of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Baill.) and also to assess its variability according to dendrometric descriptors in two types of land use. The results obtained show that the height of individuals (subjects and close neighbours) has a greater influence on fruit production than the other dendrometric descriptors. Thus, from the allometric combinations by simple and multiple linear regressions, the variability of fruit potential of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Baill.) for the explanatory variables chosen in this study. Three combinations were selected from the low values of the Aikake information criterion (AIC). These three combinations can be used to predict fruit yields of *Ricinodendron Heudelotii* (Baill.) from the dendrometric descriptors. They can also be used by decision-makers for domestication projects of this species. Thus, this study could be extended to other environmental parameters such as soil, climate, etc. for a fruit potential that contributes to food security and poverty reduction.

## References

Anjah G. M. and Oyun M. B., 2009. Autoecological study of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Baill) Pierre et Pax. in Agulli forest. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 3 (5). pp 1057-1064

Awono A., Lema Ngono D., Ndoye O., Tieguhong J., Eyebe A. et Tonye Mahop M., 2002. Etude sur la commercialisation de quatre produits forestiers non ligneux dans la zone forestière du Cameroun. FAO. Yaoundé Bocquier G., Muller J. P. et Boulangé B., 1984. Les latérites : connaissances actuelles et perspectives sur les mécanismes de leur différenciation. *Sci. du sol*, livre jubilaire du cinquantenaire de l'AFES, pp. 123-138.

Boko-Haya, Y. Y., Ouinsavi, C. A. I. N., Ewédjè, E. B. K., Akin, Y. Y., Zinkpe, T., and Agbangla, C., 2021. Variability of Seed Germination and Seedling Growth Potential of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Euphorbiaceae) at Fine Scale in Southern of Benin. *East African Journal of Forestry and Agroforestry*, 3 (1), pp. 1-17. doi: 10.37284/eajfa.3.1.270.

Burnham K. P. et Anderson D. R., 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretical Approach. 2d ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Clark L. E., Sunderland T. C. H., 2004. The Key Non-Timber Forest Products of Central Africa: State of the Knowledge. Technical Paper No. 122, SD Publication Series

Dajoz R., 1971. Précis de l'Ecologie. Paris Dunod, (2<sup>ème</sup> édition), 434 p.

Dan Guimbo I., Larwanou M., Mahamane A. et Ambouta K. J-M., 2012. Production fruitière de *Neocarya macrophylla* Prance espèce ligneuse alimentaire du Niger, *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 60, pp. 4388– 4393

Djeugap Fovo J., 2013. Contraintes de germination et diagnostic moléculaire des champignons associés aux maladies chez *Ricinodendron heudelotii* au Cameroun. Thèse de Doctorat PhD, Université Laval, Québec, 188p.

Djeugap Fovo J., Bernier L., Dostaler D., Khasa D., Fontem D.A. et Nwaga D., 2013. Opportunités et contraintes agroforestières de *Ricinodendron heudelotii* au Cameroun. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 7(1) pp. 344-355

Fonton H. N. et Sagbo A. A. P., 2004. Modélisation des distributions des paramètres dendrométriques d'une espèce ligneuse dominante en peuplement naturel, *Ann. For. Sci.* 61, pp. 545–549

Fotso, Donfagsiteli Tchinda N., Mbouna D. et Omokolo Ndoumou D., 2004. Propagation de *Ricinodendron heudelotii* par bouturage in vitro. *Fruits*, 59 : 351–358, DOI: 10.1051/fruits:2004033.

Gusua Caspa R., Biloso A., Akalakou C., Mafolo J., Tsobeng A., Kouodiekong L. et Tchoundjeu Z., 2014. Nursery substrates and provenances influence rooting performance of juvenile, single-node vine cuttings of *Gnetum africanum* Welw. (Gnetaceae). *Afrika focus, Special Agroforestry Issue*, 27. pp. 7-21

Gusua Caspa R., Tchouamo I. R., Mate Mweru J.-P. and Amang Mbang J., 2018. Marketing *Ricinodendron heudelotii* kernels and *Gnetum* spp. leaves around Lobeke National Park, East Cameroon. *Tropicultura*, 36, (3) pp. 565 - 577

Högberg P., 1982. Mycorrhizas in Zambian trees in relation to host taxonomy, vegetation type and successional patterns. *J. Ecol.* 74. pp. 775-785

Kouame N'Dri M. -T., Gnahoua G. M. et Mangara A., 2012. Essais de germination de *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Euphorbiaceae) dans la région du fromager au centre-ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire. *J. Appl. Biosci.* 56, pp. 4133–4141

Kouyate A. M., Nacoulma B. M. I., Lykke A. M. et Thiombiano A., 2016. Estimation de la production fruitière des espèces ligneuses alimentaires en Afrique sub-saharienne. *Annales des Sciences Agronomiques*. 20, pp. 69 – 78

Kumba S., Nshimba H., Ndjele L., De Cannière C., M. Visser et Bogaert J., 2013. Structure spatiale des trois espèces les plus abondantes dans la Réserve Forestière de la Yoko, Ubundu, République Démocratique du Congo, *Tropicultura*, 31 (1), pp. 53-61

Laird S. et Betafor M., 1997. Medicinal Plants of the Limbé Botanic Garden. Limbé, 11 (2) pp. 47-58.

Lamien N., Ouédraogo S. J., Diallo O. B. et Guinko S., 2004. Productivité fruitière du karité (*Vitellaria paradoxa* Gaertn. C.F., Sapotaceae) dans les parcs agroforestiers traditionnels au Burkina Faso. *Fruits*, 2004, vol. 59, p. 423–429.



Lamien N., Tigabu M., Guinko S. et Oden Per C., 2007. Variations in dendrometric and fruiting characters of *Vitellaria paradoxa* populations and multivariate models for estimation of fruit yield. *Agroforestry Systems*. 69, pp. 1-11.

Laminou Manzo O., Moussa M., Issoufou H. B.-A., Abdoulaye D., Morou B., Youssifi S., Mahamane A. et Paul R., 2015. Equations allométriques pour l'estimation de la biomasse aérienne de *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) Achev dans les agrosystèmes d'Aguié, Niger. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 9 (4), pp 1863-1874.

Latham P., 1999. Edible Caterpillar and their Food Plants in Bas Congo. Mystole Publications : Canterbury, U. K., 60 p.

Lemmens R.H.M.J., Louppe D. et Oteng-Amoako A.A., 2008. Bois d'œuvre 2. *Prota*. 197 p.

Mapongmetsem P. M., Duguma B. et Nkongmeneck B. A., 1998. Domestication of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Bail) in the humid lowlands of Cameroun. Actes du 2<sup>ème</sup> Séminaire Safou du 3 au 5 décembre 1996 à Ngaoundéré (Kapseu C. et Kayem G. J. édés.) Presses universitaires du Cameroun, Yaoundé, pp. 25-34.

Mbarga Bindzi M.-A., Mbock G., Biye E. H., Kono L. D., Essono D. M., 2017. Seasonal fruiting peak and biometric characteristics of fruits of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* var. africanum (Müll.Arg) (Euphorbiaceae) in agro-ecological wetlands of Cameroon. *JECET*. 6 (3), pp101-116

Mbonayem Liboum et Bobo K. S., 2017. Analyse de l'effet de la concurrence végétale sur la croissance du Moabi en forêt dense tropicale perturbée de l'Est – Cameroun. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 11(6) : pp. 2671-2692.

Mbow C., Michel M., Verstraete Bienvenu S., Amadou T. D. et Henry N., 2013. Allometric models for above ground biomass in dry savanna trees of the Sudan and Sudan–Guinean ecosystems of Southern Senegal. *J. For. Res.*, 19 : 340–347. DOI : 10.1007/s10310-013-0414-1.

Muller J. P., 1987. Analyse pétrologique d'une formation latéritique meuble du Cameroun. Thèse, Univ. Paris VII, 173 pp.

Ndoye O. et Chupezi Tieguhong J., 2004. Forest resources and rural livelihoods: The conflict between timber and nontimber forest products in the Congo Basin. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Resources*, 19 (4). pp. 36-44.

Ngono L. D. et Ndoye O., 2004. Njansang and bush mango: Cameroonian seeds in national and international markets. In C Lopez & P. Shanley eds. *Riches of the forests: for health life and spirit in Africa*. pp. 21-24.

Nshimba S.-M., 2008. Etude floristique, écologique et phytosociologique des forêts de l'île Mbiye à Kisangani, R. D. Congo. Thèse, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 272 p.

Orwa C, Mutua A., Kindt R., Jamnadass R, Simons A. 2009. Agroforestry Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0 (<http://www.worldagroforestry.org/af/treedb/>).

Oyefesobi MO. 1983. Nutrient dynamics prior to leaf abscission in some deciduous forest trees. *Forestry Abstracts*, 44. pp. 609.

Perez M. R. et Ndoye O. 1999. Marketing of non-wood forest products in the humid forest zone of Cameroon. *Unasylva*, 198. pp. 12-19.

Pielou E. C., 1977. *Mathematical Ecology*. Wiley, New York, 385 p.

Plenderleith, 1997. *Ricinodendron heudelotii* : a state of knowledge study undertaken for the central African region program for the environment. *Oxford Forestry Institute*, 44p  
Scholes R. et Archer S., 1997. Tree-grass interactions in savannas. *Annual review of ecology and systematics* 28. pp. 517-544.

Shackleton C., 2002. Growth and fruit production of *Sclerocarya birrea* in the South African lowveld. *Agroforestry Systems*. 55, pp 175–180

Sileshi G. W., 2014. A critical review of forest biomass estimation models, common mistakes and corrective measures. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 329, pp 237 – 254.

Sorensen T. A., 1948. Method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content, and its application to analyses of the vegetation onl Daniish commons. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab. *Biologiske Skrifter*. Bind V. Nr 4. 1948. I. Kommission Hos. Ejnar Munksgaard. Kobenhavn.

Tabarant P., 2007. Stratégies de croissance en hauteur des jeunes arbres héliophiles en couvert dense tropical Ajustement du fonctionnement hydraulique et mécanique. Mémoire de Master, Université Pierre et Marie Curie. 62p

Tabuna H., 2000. Evaluation des échanges des produits forestiers non ligneux entre l'Afrique Subsaharienne et l'Europe. FAO et CARPE, Rome.

Taylor C. T., 1960. Synecology and Silviculture in Ghana. London, *Thomas Nelson & Sons Ltd.*

Uriarte M., Condit R., Canham C. D. et Hubbell S. P., 2004. A spatially explicit model of sapling growth in a tropical forest: Does the identity of neighbours matter? *Journal of Ecology*. 92, N° 2. pp. 348-360

© GSJ