Impact of Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction (Grade I) on Left Atrial Size assessed by 2D Echocardiography.
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Abstract

Introduction: Though LA enlargement is associated with diastolic dysfunction as the progression of DD may lead to an elevated LV filling pressure, this study is designed to see LA diameter in LV Diastolic Dysfunction (Grade I).

Method: This is retrospective, cross-sectional study conducted in 212 patients with LV diastolic dysfunction (Grade I) with preserved LV Systolic function who were referred for clinically indicated two dimensional transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) in Chitwan Medical College from 6th June 2020 to 8th August 2020.

Result: Out of total 212 patients, 107 (50.47%) were female and 105 (49.52%) were male. Age ranged from 33 to 87 years with the mean age of 63.2 ± 12.2 years, female (34 to 86 years) with the mean age of 62.9±10.8 years and male (33 to 87 years) with the mean age of 63.3±13.8 years. Left atrial diameter varied from 18mm to 39mm with average diameter 31.11±4.62 mm which implies that the value of LA diameter tended to be within normal limits. In female, left atrial diameter ranged from 18mm to 39mm with average diameter 30.34±4.58mm and in male it ranged from 19mm to 39mm with average diameter 31.90±4.72mm. LA diameter of both male and female was under normal limit in LVDD (Grade I).
**Conclusion:** The results showed that LA diameter in LV Diastolic Dysfunction was within normal limits. So, LA enlargement was not independently associated with LV diastolic dysfunction (Grade 1).
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1. **Introduction**

Left atrial (LA) enlargement can be easily assessed by echocardiography and is an important predictor of future cardiovascular events including stroke, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, and death [1–4]. Thus, it is important to clarify the clinical factors that are associated with LA enlargement from the viewpoint of preventing LA remodeling. In the clinical setting, there are few reports on the factors that lead to atrial remodeling in the absence of mitral valve disease [2,3]. In contrast, experimental studies have shown that LA enlargement is associated with numerous signaling pathways, such as the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, transforming growth factor-β1, and oxidative stress [5,6]. Although the pathophysiology of LA enlargement is probably multifactorial, the clinical factors independently associated with LA enlargement have not been well defined. Especially, associations between LA enlargement and the factors that can cause congestive heart failure or atrial fibrillation have not been adequately investigated.

A previous study [7] showed a graded relationship between LA enlargement and the progression of left ventricular (LV) diastolic dysfunction (DD). An increased LA volume is generally accepted as an echocardiographic indicator of DD [8]. Thus, the current guidelines of the European Association of Echocardiography and American Society of Echocardiography recommend the use of LA volume measurements for grading DD (I to III). On the other hand, the current guideline also recommended that one should consider LA volume measurement in conjunction with patients’ clinical status [8]. However, the question remains to what extent we should consider patients’ clinical status in interpreting LA volume. For instance, when impaired relaxation (DD grade I) occurs, LA volume is unlikely to increase because LA pressure is not elevated at the stage of mild DD [9]. Moreover, some recent studies showed that LA volume might not increase with advancing age [10,11], despite the progression of DD with age [10,12].
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These findings suggest there is a clinical necessity to elaborate how much each clinical status can influence dilation of LA volume. In other words, we questioned the extent to which LA enlargement could serve as a surrogate marker of DD.

We sought to determine the major independent clinical factors that are associated with LA enlargement in subjects without valvular disease or LV systolic dysfunction, and to clarify the associations between LA enlargement and DD.

*Figure 1:* Echocardiographic Classification of Diastolic Dysfunction

**Anatomy:** The LA is located in the mediastinum, oriented leftward and posterior to the right atrium (RA). LA structure is characterized by a pulmonary venous component, a lateral fingerlike appendage, an inferior vestibular component, which surrounds the mitral valve orifice, and a prominent body that shares the septum with the RA. The pulmonary venous component with venous orifices at each corner is situated posteriorly and superiorly, and directly confluent with the body. The walls of the LA can be described as superior (roof), posterior (inferoposterior), left lateral, septal, and anterior. The majority of the atrium is relatively smooth, whereas the appendage is rough with pectinate muscles. The walls are composed of one or more overlapping layers of differently aligned myocardial fibres, with marked regional variations in thickness. Circular fibres are more or less parallel to the atrioventricular valve plane, whereas
longitudinal fibres run nearly perpendicularly. Oblique fibres are those inclined between the two major axes [13].

Increased LA size is associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes [14, 15]. LA size correlates with both LA and LV functions, and it is a strong predictor of cardiovascular death and morbidity. Relationships exist between increased LA size and the incidence of AF and stroke, risk of overall mortality after myocardial infarction, and risk of death and hospitalization in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy [16–19]. LA is a marker of both the severity and chronicity of diastolic dysfunction and magnitude of LA pressure elevation [14].

Figure 2: LA Dimensions

In above figure, LA Dimensions: anteroposterior diameter in parasternal long-axis view (a); longitudinal and transverse diameters in 4-chamber view (b).

2. Methods

This was retrospective, cross-sectional study conducted in 212 patients with LV diastolic dysfunction who were referred for clinically indicated two dimensional transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) in Chitwan Medical College from 6th June 2020 to 8th August 2020. After approval of the study by the institutional ethical committee, informed consent was taken of all 212 patients. Only patients with normal LV systolic function were included. Patients with arrhythmia, valvular heart disease, congenital heart disease, or permanent pacemaker implantation were excluded has a strong influence on LA volume.
Echocardiographic Imaging:

Complete M-mode, two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiogram was performed by myself according to a standardized protocol using Siemens ultrasound machine.

The LA size is measured at the end-ventricular systole when the LA chamber is at its greatest dimension, in long-axis view (anterior-posterior diameter) and in 4-chamber view (longitudinal and transverse diameters) [20] (Figure 1).

LV diastolic dysfunction using transmitral diastolic flow by pulsed-wave Doppler from an apical four-chamber view and pulsed-tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) of LV myocardial velocities was evaluated. Peak velocities of the early (E-wave) and late (A-wave) phase of the mitral inflow pattern from Doppler recordings were measured, and their ratio (E/A) was calculated. The peak systolic (S) and peak early diastolic (E’) velocities of the septal mitral annulus by pulsed-TDI was measured. The ratio between the E and the E’ waves (E/E’) as a preload-independent index of LV filling pressure was calculated. Diastolic function was classified as normal or abnormal and then diastolic dysfunction (when it was present) was classified as: (i) E/A ratio <1.0, E/e’ ratio <10 (grade I, impaired relaxation); (ii) E/A ratio 0.8 - 1.5, E’ velocity < 7 cm/s and E/e’ ratio 10-14 (grade II, pseudo-normalized pattern); or (iii) E/A ratio ≥ 2.0, E’ velocity < 7 cm/s E/e’ ratio >14 and (grade III, Reversible restrictive pattern). ) E/A ratio ≥ 2.0, E’ velocity < 7 cm/s and E/e’ ratio >14 (grade III, fixed restrictive pattern). For this study grade 1 diastolic dysfunction was taken to see whether LA size falls under normal range or not.

2.1. Statistical Analysis and Presentation:

Statistical analysis was performed using MS Excel. Descriptive statistical tools like mean, standard deviation, percentage and range were used for data analysis. Table and graph were used for data presentation.

3. Results

It is a retrospective cross-sectional study in total 212 patients of LV Diastolic Dysfunction (grade I) with preserved LV Systolic function who were assessed by 2D echocardiography. Among them, 107 (50.47%) were female and 105 (49.52%) were male. Age ranged from 33 to 87 years with the mean age of 63.2±12.2 years, female (34 to 86 years) with the mean age of 62.9±10.8 years and male (33 to 87 years) with the mean age of 63.3±13.8 years. Left atrial diameter varied from 18mm to 39mm with average diameter 31.11mm±4.62 mm which implies that the value of
LA diameter tended to be within normal limits. In female, left atrial diameter ranged from 18mm to 39mm with average diameter 30.34mm±4.58mm. In male, left atrial diameter ranged from 19mm to 39mm with average diameter 31.90mm±4.72mm. LA diameter of both male and female were under normal limit in LVDD (Grade I).

Figure 3: LA Diameter in Grade I LVDD

Table 1: LA Diameter in Grade I LVDD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Age</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Diameter Distribution</td>
<td>31.90 ± 4.72</td>
<td>30.34 ± 4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In this cross sectional study of 212 patients with preserved ejection fraction, we found Diastolic dysfunction(grade I) was not independently associated with LA enlargement among several clinical variables. LA enlargement is associated with Diastolic dysfunction as the progression of DD may lead to an elevated LV filling pressure. However, DD grade I (mild DD) was defined as the stage of abnormal LV diastolic function where there was normal LV filling pressure [8]. Pritchett et al. [3] have shown similar findings. They also demonstrated that DD grade I was not associated with LAVI in their adjusted analysis, while DD grade was independently associated.
with LAVI [3]. Thus, our finding that DD grade I was not independently associated with LA enlargement seems reasonable.

5. Conclusion

The result showed that LA diameter was within normal limits. So, LA enlargement was not independently associated with LV diastolic dysfunction (grade I).
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