

GSJ: Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2021, Online: ISSN 2320-9186 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Occupational Stress Amongst Nurses in Tertiary care Hospital in Lahore, Punjab (Pakistan).

First Author: Ms. Fazeelat Tahira D/O Muhammad Rafiq.
RN, RM (NEB) Post RN BSN (UHS) Silver Medal for standing distinctions in Clinical Practicum
(Management) and Mental Health Nursing. MPH (HU), Specialization in Pediatrics (NEB), MPA (AIOU)
Assistant Professor: The University of Lahore (Pakistan).

Email: Fazeelatzahid43@gmail.com Cell # 0307-4169634

Second Author: Dr. Muhammad Afzal.
PhD, MSN, BSN, MBA (AKU)
Principal: The University of Lahore (LSN) Pakistan
Email: Muhammad.afzal@lsn.uol.edu.pk

Cell# 03332186573

Third Author: Ms. Robina Shaheen D/O Muhammad Shafi RN, RM (NEB) Post RN BSN (UHS) Silver Medal for standing distinctions in Clinical Practicum (Management) and Mental Health Nursing. MPH (HU)

Senior Manager/Clinical Preceptor: (Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore) Pakistan.

Email: robina.shaheen@swcon.edu.pk Cell #: 03330464980

Corresponding Author: Ms. Fazeelat Tahira D/O Muhammad Rafiq.

Email: Fazeelatzahid43@gmail.com Cell # 0307-4169634

Introduction:

Nurses are the first line of defense of all health care systems.(L Fahrenwald et al., 2005)

Objective:

- To assess the stress level of Nurses in FMH.
- To identify the Factors of Stress in Nurses of FMH
- To assess the Coping Style of Stress in Nurses of FMH

Methodology:

A cross sectional study was conducted on Nurses of Fatima memorial hospitals Lahore. Data was collected on a self-developed closed ended questionnaire. Responses were analyzed on SPSS using descriptive statistics.

Table-1 Majority were female 121 out of 133 (91%) and unmarried were 76 out of 133 (57.1%). Majority participants 61(45.9%) were in the age of 26-30 years.

Table-2 Presents that 28(21.7%) participants in high stress and 11.5% no stress due to time pressure and 22 (16.5%) participants in high stress and 16(12%) no stress due to time pressure. 30(23%) disagree, due to seniors' not being helpful. 47(35.3%) neutral for all factors.

Table-3 Revealed the factors of stress as 6(4.5%) stress strongly disagree due to high rates of death, seniors not helpful, too many patients at the same time and conflicts with colleagues.

Table-4 Revealed as 54(40%) participants agree with the coping style of stress. 26(19.5%) participants no stress due to family safety. 38 (28.6%) participants very low stress due to caring for others. 33(25%) disagree, coping stress by medication

Conclusion:

The consequence of stress is not only affecting work performance, but also its effects on the individual's physical and psychological health. (Wright K 2014; Wicks 2010).

Key Words: Nurses, Occupational, Tertiary, Stress level, Factors, Coping style.

Introduction:

Nursing is a health care profession exhibiting itself while nurses' carrying on its values playing a vital role in health organizations. (L fahrenwald et al., 2005).

Depression includes feelings of indisposition, shortage of energy, despair, uselessness, disinterestedness and pessimism leading to suicide which is 9 to 20 percent in the society whereas its exact criterion proved it to be 3% in men and 4 to 9 % in women. (Kavari, 2006).

Depression and physical stress being directly inter-related are contributed by physical, psychological and social stimulants whereas various stressors creating chronic weariness result in depression. (Gallaghere. 2003).

Job stress is defined as the harmful physical and emotional responses arising from mismatch of jobs requirements with workers' capabilities, resources and needs. It causes poor health, hypertension, cardiac problems, substance abuse reducing life enjoyment, immunity, mental and physical wellbeing leading to frustration and irritability whereas stressful work multiplies substance abuse used to cope with stress. (Lockey. 2010).

Private hospital nurses feel more burdened as contrary to those working in public hospitals. Shift duties, time pressure, lack of respect from patients, doctors, administrators, insufficient staff, interpersonal relationship, death and low pay scale increase stress among nurses. This is a highly risky profession regarding stress related diseases and judging magnitude of the problem faced by tertiary care government hospital and studying its responsible factors is very essential which in streamlining the will help management programes towards a specific direction

ensuring professionals' health, better delivery and enhancing qualitative health services for the entire population. (Kavri. 2006).

Although the occupational stress stands scrutinized and explored by researchers using various methodologies over the past 20 years (Benner & Wrubel 1989; boey 1998; Shaw 1999), yet its literature requires to be reviewed using a postmodernist theoretical framework aiming at exploring the stress

phenomenon and its application to stress management interventions within the health service. (Clegg, 2001).

The occupational stress is now accepted as a major factor for staff sickness and absenteeism within National Health Service NHS (Kunkler & Whittick 1991) which adds to organizational insufficiency, high staff turnover, absenteeism owing to sickness, decrease quality and quantity of care, decrease job satisfaction and increased costs of health care (Wheeler & Riding 1994).

People with different jobs encounter stress differently due to cost problem (Cooper, Leukkonen, & Cartwright, 1996). Selyle (1976) declared nursing a stressful profession having close relationship between occupational stress and job performance (AbuAlrub, 2004).

High stress resulting from both working environment and personal factors affects nurses' physical and mental health. (Wu, Chi, Chen, Wang, & Jin, 2010).

Whearas workplace stress affects workforce tremendously and nurses' performance requires to be determined weather it enhances or reduces their intention to stay at work. (Abualrub & AL-Zaru, 2008).

The occupational stress being common the world over is regarded as a major health problem for health care professionals especially nurses which reportedly effects their job satisfaction and job performances and the significant differences therein exist

due to different work settings (Nabirye, Brown, Pryor & Maples 2011).

Research proves that 50-60 % of all lost working days are stress related (C0x et al 2000). Employees' stress assessment and work fitness being extremely important provides valuable information about their workforce as

well as basis for effective intervention to handle the well- established pressures affecting this occupational group (Golubic, Milosevic, Knezevic & Mustajbegovic 2009).

AIMS OF THE STUDY:

Detecting the causes of occupational stress among nurses and revealing the best interventions and coping strategies are the main aims of this study. Thus, the research questions guiding these studies were formulated as:

- 1. To assess the stress level of nurses in Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore (Pak)
- 2. To identify the factors of stress in nurses of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore. (Pak)
- 3. To assess the coping style of stress in nurses of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore. (Pak)

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Literature Review helped to understand the scientific work done by different researchers, the methodology used for this purpose, help to clarify stress concepts, its coping strategies, life style modification and life quality.

- It also helped the researchers to frame questions for interview and convinced the researchers as well to study stress from Mumbai context because the only available literature was regarding stress as perceived by the suffering people and their coping strategies.
- 4. A large majority of nurses (87.4 %) found their job stressful whereas 32.2% (28/87) severe or very stressful. Similarly, 87.4% found a little stress whereas 28.7% (25/87) severe. There was neither a significant difference between stress levels depending on hospital type (p=0.54) nor amongst married nurses (88.6 %) and unmarried ones (82.4%) (p=0.63). (Callaghan 2000).
- 5. The only 35.7% nurses opined to rejoin nursing profession whereas 57.1% (4/7) of them (35.7%) refused to do so. On the other hand, only 1 out of 11 nurses reporting "no job stress" wanted to change the profession. (p=0.04). (Bhatia 2010). All nurses reporting no job stress went for vacation once a year whereas none of 7 nurses reporting severe job stress went for vacation more than once a year. (p<0.01). (Bhatia 2010).
- 6. The only 1 nurse (1.1 %) reported feeling suicidal since start of her job whereas 79 (90.8%) never felt so and 7 once (8%) were not sure of ever feeling suicidal when asked about handling their daily routine problems, e-g, a family/ personal crises, no nurse reporting severe jobs stress, found their ability excellent whereas 35.70

- % considered their ability and the rest ones found it good (64.3%).
- 7. Stressors like worry about children and their studies. home disturbance due to nature of job, dependent relatives, mismanagement activities household increase nurses' professional for stress compromising their skill over the aforesaid issues. "Time Pressures" was found to be the most stressful whereas "Discrimination" the least one. High level skill requirement and learning new things proved to be the most important sources supervisors/ senior sisters, being not helpful the least important one in the profession. (Gallaghere, 2003).
- 8. 34.5 % nurses handled stress by talking to people, 57.5 % opted not to avoid people whereas most of them did not indulge in smoking or drinking even without changing their eating and sleeping habits to handle stress. (Gallaghere, 2003).
- 9. 87.4 % nurses reported 87.4 % occupational stress finding "Time pressure" the most stressful stress whereas "Discrimination" the least one in daily life including the other ones like handling various life issues with occupation such as caring for their own children/ parents, own work situation, personal responsibilities, learning new things and attending numerous patients at the same time. (Bhattia, 2010).
- 10. The literature shows contradictory result regarding relationship between job stress and job performance.

- Several researchers found effects of social support on job stress as contrary to job performance with the only study showing its reducing effects on the said relationships among hospital nurses. (Abualrub, 2004).
- 11. In china, the traditional diseasecentered nursing care model is being replaced by the patient-centered patient centered nursing care model resulting in growing need of patients as well as their dissatisfaction with nursing care. Moreover, nursing shortage being a global issue (Kingma, 2001, Booth, 2002) is more serious in China due to population base where coping with routine demands of work place lead nurses to stress. (Wu et al 2010). High stress resulting from both working environment and personal factors affects physical and mental health of nurses.
- 12. Research proves that 50-60 % of all lost working days are stress related. (Cox et al 2000). Employees' stress assessment and work fitness being extremely important furnish valuable information about their workforce as well as basis for effective intervention to handle pressures affecting this occupational group. (Golubic 2009).
- 13. Health care workers' growing interest in psychological work environment has grown for being at high risk of stress, burnout, role conflict and job dissatisfaction. The occupational stress can prove harmful to nursing quality and patient safety. (Stordeur et

- al, 2001, Alexopoulos, et al 2003, Eriksen, et al 2006, Sveinsdottir, et al 2006, Berland, et al 2008) whereas a heavy workload not only increases turnover but also affects nurses' health and professional satisfaction greatly. (Stordeur et al, 200, Elfering et al, 2002, Hegney et al 2003).
- 14. Pathological conditions like emotional exhaustion (Stordeur et al 2001). Fatigue (Winwood et al 2006), and low back pain (Elfering et al 2002) are linked with nurses stress. Low decision latitude and professional characteristic predict coronary heart disease (Bosma et al 1997) whereas high effort and low rewards are risk factors for common mental disorder proving the importance of psychological work environment or the same (Stansfeld & Candy 2006). Nurses in developing countries experiencing high effort-reward imbalance intend to leave their job as
- 15. contrary to those working in stable countries. (Hasselhorn et al 2004).
- 16. Apart from heavy workload and role conflict. various factors like responsibility, involvement with death, uncertainty (Sveinsdottir et al 2006) are also known as work related stressors with organizational structure being the potential one in attracting and retaining nurses. (Snelgrove, 1998, Stordeur & D'Hoore)

Methodology:

Research Design:

A cross sectional descriptive study was adopted.

Study Design:

1. A cross- sectional descriptive study to collect data on nurses' occupational stress level, its responsible factors and coping style was conducted in October 2016 in Fatima Memorial Hospital, comprising Lahore 200 Questionnaires were distributed to the interested nurses through a group of helper and collected back after completing the questions.

Study Participants:

The study participants comprised 200 charge (Team leaders/ Supervisors/Head working Fatima Memorial nurses) in Hospital, Lahore.

Inclusion criteria:

The inclusion criterion of study was all married and unmarried charge nurses, team leaders/supervisors and head nurses of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore.

Exclusion criteria:

All unmarried and married staff nurses, nursing assistant, non-working in the hospital and non-participants were excluded from the study.

Sampling technique:

Sampling technique is a way of selecting the participant from the target population and selecting the subject of research. The study sample was followed by the set inclusive criterion based on randomized convenient to sampling technique. The subject were free to participate in the study.

Sampling method:

Slovan's sampling method used to find sample size of study. N=Population.

n=Sample size.

E=Margin error=0.05.

n=N/1+N(E)2

n=200/1+200(0.05)2

=200/1+200(0.0025)

=200/1+0.42

n=200/1.42

n=133

The total sample size is **133**.

Sample size:

The representative sample size of 133 nurses was identified and included in the study.

Research Instrument:

A well-constructed close ended and choice selection questionnaire developed by consulting literature and discussing with the supervisor was distributed to 10 persons to check understanding of the questions. (Appendix B)

Material used in study:

A questionnaire (Paper), pen, help of persons and a laptop were used in order to collect, analyze and write the data and research results.

Data collection:

The Pilot testing of the questionnaire was done on 10 persons to assess understanding of the questions and then distributed among nurses of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore meeting the inclusion criteria and collected back after their filled response. (Appendix B).

Ethical considerations:

Research proposal was approved by the ethical committee of Hazara University (Vertex College of Science and Technology, Informed written consent had been obtained from the participant before participating in the study, (Appendix A).

All secrecy of the study except publicity at the required places was assured and participant willingness was obtained prior to responding to the questionnaire.

Method to analyze the data:

The primary researcher entered the data on Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 21). Computer program on personal laptop. Percentages and mean of different variables are calculated statistically. Tables and graphs had been developed to portray the data to explain and discuss the variables.

Study duration:

The study was conducted from October 2016 to March 2017.

Procedure:

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among nurses aged 20-40 years, married and unmarried charge nurses, Team leaders, Supervisors and Head nurses of Fatima Memorial Hospital, Lahore. Participants were given questionnaire with ample time to read, understand and mark their response thereon, collected back and handed over to the primary researcher. The field questionnaires were allocated a code number from 01 to 133 in order to enter the data on Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 21). Therefore, the primary researcher interpreted and understood the variables with the help of colleagues and then wrote down the data on the paper.


Results:

Table 1.
Socio-Demographic characteristics of the participants (n =133)

Characteristics	(n=133)	Percentage
Gender	(n=133)	Percentage

Male	12	9.0
Female	121	91.0
Total	133	100.0
Marital Status	(n=133)	Percentage
Married	57	42.9
Unmarried	76	57.1
Total	133	100.0
Age Group in Years	n (n=133)	Percent
20-25Years	48	36.1
26-30 years	61	45.9
31-35years	20	15.0
36 -40years	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
Professional Qualification	(n=133)	Percent
Nursing Diploma	78	58.6
Generic BSN	12	9.0
Post RN BSN	43	32.3
Total	133	100.0
Designation	(n=133)	Percent

Charge Nurse	116	87.2
Team Leader	6	4.5
Head Nurse	11	8.3
Total	133	100.0






Figure 2: Respondent's age group




Figure 3: Respondent's marital status




Figure 4: Respondent's Qualification.

Table 2. Stress Level (n =133)

Stress Level due to time	(122)	D4
pressure	(n=133)	Percentage
No stress	2	1.5
Very low	20	15.0
Low stress	25	18.8
Moderate stress	32	24.1
High stress	28	21.1
Very high stress	20	15.0
Extreme stress	8	6.0
Total	133	100.0
Stress Level due to time own physical problem	(n=133)	Percentage
No stress	16	12.0
Very low	24	18.0
Low stress	30	22.6
Moderate stress	33	24.8
High stress	22	16.5
Very high sress	6	4.5
Extreme stress	2	1.5
Total	133	100.0
Stress level due to own emotional/ mental health problems/condition		Percent
No Stress	22	16.5
Very Low	24	18.0
Low Stress	40	30.1
Moderate Stress	27	20.3
High Stress	8	6.0
Very High Stress	8	6.0
Extreme Stress	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0

Stress level due to financial situation	(n=133)	Percent
No stress	25	18.8
Very low	36	27.1
Low stress	41	30.8
Moderate stress	19	14.3
High stress	12	9.0
Total	133	100.0
Stress level due to employment status.	(n=133)	Percent
No Stress	12	9.0
Very low	20	15.0
Low Stress	52	39.1
Moderate Stress	23	17.3
High Stress	18	13.5
Very High Stress	4	3.0
Extreme Stress	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
- 0 0000	133	100.0
Stress level due to		
Stress level due to		
Stress level due to caring for own		
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents	(n=133)	Percent
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress	(n=133) 36	Percent 27.1
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress	(n=133) 36 17	27.1 12.8
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress	(n=133) 36 17 30	Percent 27.1 12.8 22.6
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress	(n=133) 36 17 30 32	Percent 27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress High Stress	(n=133) 36 17 30 32 9	Percent 27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1 6.8
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress High Stress Very High Stress	(n=133) 36 17 30 32 9 9 133	Percent 27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1 6.8 6.8
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress High Stress Very High Stress Total Stress level due to	(n=133) 36 17 30 32 9 9 133	27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1 6.8 6.8 100.0
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress High Stress Very High Stress Total Stress level due to caring for others	(n=133) 36 17 30 32 9 133 (n=133)	27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1 6.8 6.8 100.0 Percent
Stress level due to caring for own children/parents No Stress Very Low Stress Low Stress Moderate Stress High Stress Very High Stress Total Stress level due to caring for others No Stress	(n=133) 36 17 30 32 9 133 (n=133)	Percent 27.1 12.8 22.6 24.1 6.8 6.8 100.0 Percent 10.5

	ı	
High Stress	4	3.0
Very High Stress	9	6.8
Extreme Stress	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
Stress level due to other		
•	(n=133)	Percent
responsibilities		
No Stress	20	15.0
Very Low Stress	24	18.0
Low Stress	27	20.3
Moderate Stress	26	19.5
High Stress	18	13.5
Very High Stress	11	8.3
Extreme Stress	7	iio5.3
Total	133	100.0
Stress level due to	(122)	D
family safety	(n=133)	Percent
No Stress	26	19.5
Very Low Stress	14	10.5
Low Stress	31	23.3
Moderate Stress	20	15.0
High Stress	26	19.5
Very High Stress	12	9.0
Extreme Stress	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
Stress level due to own	(n_122)	Domoont
work situation	(n=133)	Percent
No stress	8	6.0
Very Low Stress	6	4.5
Low Stress	40	30.1
Moderate Stress	31	23.3
High Stress	33	24.8
Very High Stress	6	4.5
Extreme Stress	9	6.8

Total	133	100.0
-------	-----	-------




Figure 5: Stress level due to time pressure




Figure 6: Stress level due to own physical problems




Figure 7: Stress level due to own emotional/mental health problem/condition.




Figure 8: Stress level due to financial situation.

Table 3. Factors of stress (n = 133)

Your job requires a high	(n=133	Percent
level skill)	age
Strongly Disagree	8	6.0
Disagree	10	7.5
Neutral	28	21.1
Agree	42	31.6
Strongly Agree	45	33.8
Total	133	100.0

Your job requires you to	(n=133)	Percent
learn new things)	age
Disagree	10	7.5
Neutral	26	19.5
Agree	53	39.8
Strongly Agree	44	33.1
Total	133	100.0
Your job did not allow you freedom to decide how did you do your work	(n=133	Percent
Strongly Disagree	9	6.8
Disagree	26	19.5
Neutral	47	35.3
Agree	33	24.8
Strongly Agree	18	13.5
Total	133	100.0
There was too much	(n-133	Percent
There was too much pressure by doctors and	(n=133	Percent
	(n=133	Percent
pressure by doctors and	`	Percent 4.5
pressure by doctors and seniors)	
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree) 6 14	4.5
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral	6 14 35	4.5 10.5 26.3
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree	6 14 35 60	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree	6 14 35 60 18	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total	6 14 35 60 18 133 (n=133	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5 100.0
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total Your job is very hectic.	6 14 35 60 18 133 (n=133)	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5 100.0 Percent
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total Your job is very hectic. Strongly Disagree	6 14 35 60 18 133 (n=133)	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5 100.0 Percent
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total Your job is very hectic. Strongly Disagree Disagree	6 14 35 60 18 133 (n=133) 2	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5 100.0 Percent 1.5 10.5
pressure by doctors and seniors Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total Your job is very hectic. Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral	6 14 35 60 18 133 (n=133) 2 14 32	4.5 10.5 26.3 45.1 13.5 100.0 Percent 1.5 10.5 24.1

You were exposed to		
hostility or conflict from the	(n=133	.
people you are working)	Percent
with		
Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
Disagree	12	9.0
Neutral	53	39.8
Agree	51	38.3
Strongly Agree	11	8.3
Total	133	100.0
You had to attend too many	(n=133	Percent
patient's at the same time)	Percent
Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
Disagree	14	10.5
Neutral	39	29.3
Agree	46	34.6
Strongly Agree	28	21.1
Total	133	100.0
your supervisors/seniors	(n=133	Percent
were not helpful.)	rereciie
	ì	
Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
Strongly Disagree Disagree	30	4.5 22.6
Disagree	30	22.6
Disagree Neutral	30	22.6 35.3
Disagree Neutral Agree	30 47 35	22.6 35.3 26.3
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree	30 47 35 15 133	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple	30 47 35 15	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple procedures.	30 47 35 15 133 (n=133	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0 Percent
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple	30 47 35 15 133 (n=133)	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0 Percent
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple procedures.	30 47 35 15 133 (n=133	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0 Percent 3.0 20.3
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple procedures. Strongly Disagree	30 47 35 15 133 (n=133)	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0 Percent
Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total There was pressure to learn new and multiple procedures. Strongly Disagree Disagree	30 47 35 15 133 (n=133) 4 27	22.6 35.3 26.3 11.3 100.0 Percent 3.0 20.3

Total	133	100.0
There was insufficient sleep and frequent calls	(n=133	Percent
Strongly Disagree	4	3.0
Disagree	27	20.3
Neutral	43	32.3
Agree	49	36.8
Strongly Agree	10	7.5
Total	133	100.0
There was stress of high rates of deaths of patients.	(n=133	Percent
rates of acating of patients.)	
Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
-		4.5
Strongly Disagree	6	
Strongly Disagree Disagree	6 12	9.0
Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral	6 12 65	9.0

2. Factors of stress:




Figure 15: Your job requires a high level skill.




Figure 16: Your job requires you to learn new things.

Table 4. Coping style of stress (n =133)

You cope with your		
stress by talking to	(n=133)	Percentage
others		
Strongly Disagree	4	3.0
Disagree	25	18.8
Neutral	45	33.8
Agree	51	38.3
Strongly Agree	8	6.0
Total	133	100.0
You handle/cope with	1	
stress by sleeping more	(n=133)	Percentage
than usual		
Disagree	4	3.0
Neutral	34	25.6
Agree	44	33.1
Strongly Agree	33	24.8
Total	18	13.5
You handle/cope with	1	
stress by eating more	(n=133)	Percent
/less than usual.		
Strongly Disagree	4	3.0

Disagree	41	30.8
Neutral	50	37.6
Agree	34	25.6
Strongly Agree	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
You handle/cope with stress by medication	(n=133)	Percent
Strongly Disagree	21	15.8
Disagree	33	24.8
Neutral	46	34.6
Agree	29	21.8
Strongly Agree	4	3.0
Total	133	100.0
You handle/cope with		Percent
stress by looking at bright side of things	(n=133)	Percent
•	6	4.5
bright side of things		
bright side of things Strongly Disagree	6	4.5
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree	6 12	4.5 9.0
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral	6 12 47	4.5 9.0 35.3
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree	6 12 47 56	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with	6 12 47 56 12 133	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with stress by blaming	6 12 47 56 12 133	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with stress by blaming yourself	6 12 47 56 12 133 (n=133)	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0 Percent
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with stress by blaming yourself Strongly Disagree	6 12 47 56 12 133 (n=133)	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0 Percent
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with stress by blaming yourself Strongly Disagree Disagree	6 12 47 56 12 133 (n=133) 15 33	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0 Percent 11.3 24.8
bright side of things Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total You handle/cope with stress by blaming yourself Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral	6 12 47 56 12 133 (n=133) 15 33 40	4.5 9.0 35.3 42.1 9.0 100.0 Percent 11.3 24.8 30.1

You handle/cope with stress by wishing that the situation will meet with its end.		Percent
Strongly Disagree	4	3.0
Disagree	20	15.0
Neutral	48	36.1
Agree	44	33.1
Strongly Agree	17	12.8
Total	133	100.0

3: Coping Style of Stress:




Figure 26: You cope with your stress by talking to others.




Figure 27: You handle/cope with stress by sleeping more than usual.




Figure 28: You handle /cope with stress by eating more/less than usual.



Figure 29: You handle/cope with stress by taking medication.



Figure 30: You handle/cope with stress by looking at bright side of things.

Description of the results:

In reference to **Table-1** in socio-demographic characteristics, majority of the participants was female 121 out of 133 (91%) being unmarried more than married ones 76 out of 133 (57.1%). The majority of participants 61(45.9%) was aged 26-30 years whereas second majority 48(36%) aged 20-25 years.

The majority of participants was professionally nursing diploma 78 out of 133 (59%), Generic BSN 12 out of 133 (9.0%) and the Post RN being 43 out of 133 (32.3%). According to designation, the data revealed 116 (87.2%) participants were charge nurses 11(8.3%) Head nurses and only 6(4.5%) Team leaders.

The **Table-2** presents that 28 (21.7%) participants are in high stress and 11.5% no stress due to time pressure and 22 (16.5%) participants in high stress and 16 (12%) no stress due to time pressure. 26 (19.5%) participants no stress due to family safety, 38 (28.6%) participants very low stress due to caring for others. 41(31%) low stress due to

financial situation, 33(25%) moderate stress due to physical problem and 9(6.8%) in extreme stress due to work situation.

The **Table-3** revealed the stress factors as 6 (4.5%) stress strongly disagree due to high death rates, seniors not helpful, numerous patients at the same time and conflicts with colleagues, 30 (23%) disagree due to seniors' not being helpful, 47 (35.3%) neutral for all factors, 53 (40%) agree to learn new things, 45 (34%) strongly agree because job requires high skills level.

The **Table-4** data revealed as 54 (40%) participants agree with coping style of the stress mentioned in the table, 33 (25%) out of 133 strongly agree with sleeping more than usual, 33 (25%) disagree coping stress by medication. 50 (38%) neutral by eating more than usual whereas 21 (16%) strongly disagree by medication.

Discussion:

2. Discussion includes feelings of indisposition, shortage of energy, despair, uselessness, disinterestedness and pessimism leading to suicide which is 9 to 20 percent in the society whereas its exact criterion proved it to be 3% in men and 4 to 9 % in women. (Kavarie, 2006).

Depression and physical stress being directly inter-related are contributed by environment, physical, psychological and social stimulants whereas various stressors creating weariness result in depression. (Gallaghere, 2003).

Job stress is defined as the harmful physical and emotional responses arising from mismatch of job requirements with workers' capabilities, resources and needs. It causes poor health, hypertension, cardiac problem, substance abuse reducing life enjoyment, immunity, mental and physical wellbeing of workers leading to frustration and irritability. Stressful work multiplies the substance abuse used to cope with stress. (Lockey, 2010).

This study is meant to assess stress level, its responsible factors and coping styles. Results showed that 28 (24.7 %) respondents are in high stress due to time pressure, 22 (16.5 %) in high stress and 16 (12 %) no stress due to time pressure. 26 (19.5 %) participants no stress due to family safety, 38 (28.6 %) very low stress due to caring for others, 41 (31 %) low stress due to financial situation, 33 (25 %) moderate stress due to physical problem, whereas 9 (6.8 %) in extreme stress due to own work situation.

Table-3 revealed the stress factors as 6 (4.5 %) stress strongly disagree due to high death rates, seniors' not helpful, numerous patients at the same time and conflicts with colleagues, 30 (23 %) disagree due to seniors' not being helpful, 47 (35.5 %) neutral for all factors, 53 (40 %) agree to learn new things, 45 (34 %), strongly agree because job requires a high skills level.

The **Table-4** data revealed as: 54 (40 %) participants agree with the coping style of stress mentioned in the table, 33 (25 %) out of 133 strongly agree with sleeping more than usual, 33 (25 %) disagree coping stress by medication, 50 (38 %) neutral by eating more than usual whereas 21 (16 %) strongly disagree by medication.

Nurses have their own perception about stress sources due to their changing roles and workplaces like in the United Kingdom health services suggesting handling measures such as taking initiatives to handle workforce stress identifying future direction.

A literature search from January 1985 to April 2003 using the key words, nursing, stress, distress, management, job satisfaction, staff turnover was conducted to identify stress sources in adult and child care nursing.

Workplace Stress in Nursing: Stress intervention measures should focus on stress prevention for individuals as well as handling organizational issues requiring to evaluate intensity of individual distress. Several studies focusing on the relation between stress, illness and people responses distinguish various stress aspects including focusing on stress at work to explore possible management strategies. (Golubic et al 2009, Lu et al 2009).

Edward and Burnard (2003) classify stress in the workplace as "Occupational stress" caused by work demands pressure or problems effecting not only services quality but also the staff psychology driving employees to depression, absenteeism or job resignations causing increased staff turnover with serious financial concerns for an organization. (Wykess & Whittington 1999).

The most common complaints of stress as shown in the table-2 are as follows:

"Carson & Kuipers" model (1998). Investigated the work environment changing factors and classified them into three groups:

- 1. Specific occupational stressors varying according to the problems or strains faced by each professional group. (e.g. changes in the health service may be a major source of stress).
- 2. Stressors that are derived from major life events.

- 3. Minor stressors that obtain power and can affect the individuals as they accumulate.
- 4. Murphy (1999) suggested that actions which aim to eliminate stressful job characteristics or conditions can be defined as organizational stress interventions and are classified as:
- 5. **Primary prevention:** Which includes role clarification, increase of autonomy or increase of control which employees have to reduce work overload.
- 6. **Secondary prevention:** Help workers develop coping skills as a means of handling management stress.
- 7. **Tertiary prevention:** Special assistance programs i.e. the treatment of workers who suffer from stress related disorders.

Conclusion:

Stress starting from headache, backache, mental fatigue, frustration and tension leading to chronic illness like cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, colitis, depression or anxiety not only affects individuals' work performance and their physical and psychological health if prolonged or not timely controlled, but also influences quality of health care delivery and patient safety. (Wright K 2014, Wicks 2010).

The occupational stress such as workload and organizational leadership are the major factors whereas effective management and coping strategies produce nurses' work achievement and reduce their stress level. This study was meant to reveal causes and coping strategies of stress to build awareness and knowledge among

nurses and nursing students requiring managers and supervisors to develop strategies to promote quality of working conditions for the profession and reduce the working stress.

Limitations:

This study has several limitations for having been conducted only on female charge nurses, team leaders and head nurses of semi-private hospital of Lahore without associating their male partners as well as government hospital and health care nurses. This is worth-mentioning here that the study finds no role of demographic factors therein.

Recommendations:

This study aimed at reducing stress through nursing management and the wellplanned organizations not only support nurses but also help to develop the profession as evident from the fact that working therein comparatively produce high quality care. The study found out stress causes and its management interventions regarding importance of social support and role of leadership. The author recommends to combine literature review with other research methods like qualitative and quantitative directly related with nurses through interviews which would provide study with an accurate data, specific details and more information. An empirical study in this regard would evaluate nurses' feelings about stress as contrary to literature review.

Stress therapies such as message, acupuncture and acupressure stand proved to be the stress relief and reducing the burn out. (Sincero 2012). Other coping strategies including motivations towards social

activities, hobbies and interests help the nurses to get rid of stress syndrome without experiencing harmful consequences. (Edwards et al 2000, Cherniss 1992, McCormack & Cotter 2013).

Any activity or action like sports, meditation, cognitive therapy and relaxation techniques can serve as stress relief. (Medical Dictionary 2009). There are other methods also like holistic ones demanding nursing to participate in self-care actions like exercises, eating healthy and involving in new hobbies for the reasons that the only person healthy in body, mind and spirit can provide health care to others. (Misterek 2009, Duran, L 2015).

Conflicts of Interest:

All authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Quite at the outset, I thank the Almighty Allah, the most gracious. The most merciful. For providing me with strength and courage to keep me going throughout the period of my studies, even when there seemed to be no way.

During my research and preparation of this report, I got support, advice, and encouragement from several respected persons whom I am profoundly indebted to and express utmost gratitude and most sincere thanks to all of them.

References:

Albion, M. J., Fogarty, G. J., & Anthony Machin, M. (2005).Benchmarkingoccupational stressors and strain levels for rural nurses and other health sector

- workers. Journal of Nursing Management, 13(5), 411-418.
- Bhatia N, Kishore J, Anand T, Jiloha RC. Occupational Stress Amongst Nurses of Two Tertiary Care Hospitals in Delhi. AMJ 2010, 3, 11, 731-738.
- Callaghan, P., Tak-Ying, S. A., & Wyatt, P. A. (2000). Factors related to stress and coping among Chinese nurses in Hong Kong. *Journal of advanced nursing*, *31*(6), 1518-1527.
- Dunning, K. K., Davis, K. G., Cook, C., Kotowski, S. E., Hamrick, C., Jewell, G., &Lockey, J. (2010). Costs by industry and diagnosis among musculoskeletal claims in a state workers compensation system: 1999–2004. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 53(3), 276-28
- Gallaghere, D. (2003). Is stress ripping nursing a part. NSNA, April, 59-63
- Kavari, S. H. (2006). A study of depression prevalence in nurses and it's effect in Shiraz Namazihospital. *Middle East J Fam Med*, 4(3), 17-21.
- AbuAlRub, R. F. (2004). Job stress, job performance, and social support among hospital nurses. *Journal of nursing scholarship*, 36(1), 73-78.
- Abualrub, R. F., & AL-ZARU, I. M. (2008). Job stress, recognition, job performance and intention to stay at work among Jordanian hospital nurses. *Journal of nursing management*, 16(3), 227-236.
- Clegg, A. (2001). Occupational stress in nursing: a review of the literature. *Journal of nursing management*, 9(2), 101-106.

- Golubic, R., Milosevic, M., Knezevic, B., & Mustajbegovic, J. (2009). Work-related stress, education and work ability among hospital nurses. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 65(10), 2056-2066.
- L Fahrenwald, N., Bassett, S., Tschetter, L., Carson, P., White, L., & Winterboer, V. (2005). *Teaching Core Nursing* Values (Vol. 21).
- Nabirye, R. C., Brown, K. C., Pryor, E. R., & Maples, E. H. (2011). Occupational stress, job satisfaction and job performance among hospital nurses in Kampala, Uganda. *Journal of nursing management*, 19(6), 760-768.
- Wu, H., Chi, T. S., Chen, L., Wang, L., & Jin, Y. P. (2010). Occupational stress among hospital nurses: cross-sectional survey. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 66(3), 627-634.

First Author: Ms. Fazeelat Tahira D/O Muhammad Rafiq.

Email: Fazeelatzahid43@gmail.com Cell # 0307-4169634

Second Author: Dr. Muhammad Afzal. Email: Muhammad.afzal@lsn.uol.edu.pk Cell# 03332186573

Third Author: Ms. Robina Shaheen D/O Muhammad Shafi

Email: robina.shaheen@swcon.edu.pk Cell #: 03330464980

Corresponding Author: Ms. Fazeelat Tahira D/O Muhammad Rafiq.

Email: Fazeelatzahid43@gmail.com Cell # 0307-41696