



**“Curriculum Planning, Implementation, Development and Evaluation:
Strategies and Challenges for Modern Education: A Literature Review”**

Judith Marie Burdeos Domingo

Abstract

Curriculum planning, implementation, and evaluation are essential steps in building an effective education system. Planning involves designing the content and methods to meet the needs of learners and society. Implementation focuses on putting these plans into action, requiring trained teachers, adequate resources, and collaboration among stakeholders. Evaluation ensures the curriculum achieves its goals and identifies areas for improvement. This review explores studies and literature to highlight strategies, challenges, and opportunities in creating curricula that are inclusive, adaptable, and effective in addressing modern educational needs.

Frameworks for Curriculum Planning

Structured frameworks serve as the foundation of effective curriculum planning. Alnaji (2022) introduced a curriculum planning model for Saudi Arabia’s public education system, which achieved a 100% agreement rate among specialists for its relevance. The model emphasizes objectives, foundations, and procedures; however, it lacks empirical testing and stakeholder perspectives, such as teachers and students. Similarly, Lam (2022) proposed a framework integrating major curriculum ideologies in Hong Kong universities. While innovative, Lam’s study does not delve into the strengths and weaknesses of these ideologies, limiting its practical application. These studies highlight a shared gap: while offering theoretical value, they often fail to account for real world complexities and diverse educational settings.

Dhanapala (2021) introduced a triangular framework emphasizing the interplay between planning, teaching, learning, and assessment. Although the model is conceptually robust, its operationalization remains unclear, posing challenges for practical implementation. Tao et al. (2022) presented a decision support framework for curriculum development in undergraduate supply chain management programs. Despite its structured approach, the lack of integration strategies for teaching modes and pedagogy limits its broader application. Furthermore, Roe (2020) underscored the role of child observation in developing responsive early childhood curricula, suggesting that understanding children’s needs can enhance learning outcomes. However, like other studies, Roe’s work lacks empirical evidence demonstrating its effectiveness across different settings.

Collaboration and Collegiality in Curriculum Planning

Collaboration among educators is a recurring theme in effective curriculum design. Huaranga (2020) identified a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.969$) between collegial work and teacher performance, emphasizing that collaborative efforts foster innovation and shared ownership in curriculum planning. However, the study does not address the conditions that sustain long term collaboration or the challenges that educators face in implementing these practices. Similarly, Čepić and Pejić Papak (2021) explored how Croatian elementary school teachers engage in curriculum planning to achieve learning outcomes. Their findings underscore the importance of shared experiences and collaboration, though the study also highlights challenges in achieving consistency across diverse teaching contexts.

Practical resources, such as Edutopia Staff (2020), support the collaborative approach by providing guidelines for novice educators. The "backward design" framework, highlighted in the article, focuses on identifying desired learning outcomes before determining teaching strategies enabling teachers to address diverse learner needs. However, like many other studies, it neglects the integration of technology, an increasingly essential component in modern education (Hattie, 2009).

Technology Integration in Curriculum Planning

The integration of technology into curriculum planning remains a critical yet underexplored area. Gov.uk (2024) provided a comprehensive guide on effective curriculum practices, emphasizing continuous monitoring and stakeholder collaboration. However, the guide does not include specific examples of successful technology integration. In a similar vein, Edutopia Staff (2020) highlighted the benefits of technology for fostering personalized learning but offered limited strategies for practical implementation. Shao, Zhang, and Chen (2021) addressed this gap through their study on PLAN BERT, a personalized course recommendation system. By leveraging technology to match students' interests with future course offerings, their work demonstrates the potential of digital tools in enhancing curriculum design.

Huaranga (2020) also touched on the importance of modernized planning strategies but did not explore how technology could further optimize curriculum processes. Sugiarto et al. (2023) echoed this need by examining the challenges faced by madrasahs in Indonesia, where infrastructure and resource limitations hinder quality education delivery. Addressing these gaps through technological solutions could significantly enhance curriculum outcomes in resource constrained settings.

Practical Applications and Contextual Considerations

Several studies emphasize the importance of contextual adaptability in curriculum planning. For example, Offorma (2016) examined cultural representation in educational materials, advocating for inclusive strategies that address diverse learner needs. Kelly

(2019) discussed how funding constraints impact curriculum development, highlighting the necessity of resource allocation for effective planning. Similarly, Epler (2020) conducted a critical review of financial resources and their impact on educational outcomes, calling for equitable funding models to support curriculum initiatives. These studies emphasize that successful curriculum planning must consider sociocultural, economic, and institutional contexts to achieve meaningful educational outcomes.

The reviewed literature collectively highlights the importance of structured curriculum planning frameworks, collaboration, and technology integration. However, significant gaps remain in empirical validation, contextual adaptability, and practical application. Future research should focus on testing these frameworks across diverse educational settings, integrating technology, and addressing resource challenges. By prioritizing collaboration and stakeholder involvement, as emphasized by Čepić and Pejić Papak (2021) and Huaranga (2020), curriculum planning can become more inclusive and effective. Furthermore, addressing the insights of Roe (2020), Offorma (2016), and Sugiarto et al. (2023) could lead to innovative strategies that meet the evolving needs of learners and educators alike.

Curriculum Development

Sustainability and Personalization in Curriculum Development

Sustainability and personalization are essential aspects of curriculum design, enabling curricula to adapt to the diverse and evolving needs of learners. Chiu and Chai (2020) highlighted a model rooted in self-determination theory that fosters autonomy, competence, and meaningful connections among students, ensuring curriculum relevance in rapidly changing fields like Artificial Intelligence. Similarly, Shao et al. (2021) introduced PLAN BERT, a personalized course recommendation system, demonstrating how academic pathways can align with individual student goals and future demands.

Incorporating cultural elements, Warmansyah et al. (2022) successfully blended the Minangkabau cultural curriculum with national and Islamic education, demonstrating how cultural integration can enhance learning. However, its limited adaptability in diverse contexts emphasizes the need for inclusivity in curriculum frameworks. In Wahid and Hamami's explanation, Sukiman distinguishes between two distinct words inside the curriculum formulation program. Curriculum development is the process of creating a curriculum. Furthermore, curriculum development is a process that enhances the outcomes of current curriculum implementation by using verified evaluation findings, with the goal of generating progressively superior curriculum output. Term mapping may be included in curriculum building processes, but it is not the primary focus of Islamic education. Nevertheless, how can Islamic education manage to develop and design a curriculum that is both pertinent and essential to contemporary knowledge and societal needs? Nevertheless, it is crucial to highlight that the progress of this endeavor will not occur in accordance with established protocols unless the curriculum creators, including instructors in educational institutions, enhance their professionalism Wahid & Hamami (2021).

Addressing gaps in educational attainment through thoughtful curriculum development is imperative. It requires a shift away from politically motivated frameworks towards a model that emphasizes philosophical and pedagogical integrity, ensuring that the curriculum aligns with both student needs and societal expectations (Baharuddin et al., 2024).

The urgency of curriculum development lies in its ability to effectively address problems and achieve the desired objectives. The concept must not only encompass all ideas, but also involve taking action to solve a problem and satisfy the societal demands as per the necessary skills. In addition, to address educational concerns based on specific requirements, it is imperative for the government to restructure the vocational and technical education units within the education system. This entails establishing a comprehensive educational framework that operates as a cohesive mechanism across all facets, including the synchronization of curricula among different institutions. As a vigilant user who remains attentive to the Indonesian National Qualifications Framework (KKNl), my objective is to cultivate a knowledgeable workforce that is well-prepared for employment in the global economy (Asy'ari & Hamami, 2020).

Role of Technology and Innovative Teaching Methods

Technology and innovative teaching methods are transforming curriculum development by enhancing personalization and engagement. Integrating technology into the curriculum may significantly enhance the quality of learning, enable easy access to diverse religious information sources, and equip students with the necessary skills to tackle future difficulties Liriwati & Marpuah (2024). The advent of technology, societal shifts, and globalization have significantly altered the way individuals engage with the world and incorporate religious principles into their everyday existence Ammarnurhandyka (2023). The integration of innovative curriculum development is crucial in the learning process to avoid reliance on repetitive techniques and media, and to ensure its intrinsic worth. The integration of advanced and quickly evolving technology into the realm of education. In the current era of technology, the ability to get and retrieve information has grown more convenient and rapid. Students commonly seek companionship via social media platforms and exhibit a preference for reading on cellphones as opposed to traditional literature. Moreover, they tend to seek and disseminate information through internet media without prior verification. Hence, it is crucial to engage in innovative curriculum creation within educational institutions to ensure alignment with students' interests and learning preferences Jumrah (2023).

Kelly et al. (2019) emphasized co design as a professional development tool, allowing teachers to actively shape curricula and improve their engagement. Similarly, Chiu and Chai (2020) and Shao et al. (2021) underscored the importance of technology in personalizing learning experiences. These studies collectively highlight the need for teacher preparedness and training to maximize the benefits of technological integration in curriculum design.

Resource Allocation and Implementation Challenges

Adequate resources are critical to effective curriculum planning and implementation. VanTassel Baska et al. (2021) and Sugiarto et al. (2023) reported that many educators face significant challenges due to insufficient infrastructure, teaching materials, and technological resources, especially in madrasah education. This lack of resources not only limits educational quality but also exacerbates disparities among learners.

Offorma (2016) stressed the importance of culturally inclusive materials, which are often unavailable, making it difficult to provide meaningful cultural experiences. Financial constraints, as highlighted by Epler (2020) and Kelly (2019), further hinder the ability of schools to fund programs and materials, underscoring the need for strategic resource allocation to meet both learner and teacher needs.

Collaboration and Professional Development

Collaboration is a cornerstone of effective curriculum development. Huaranga (2020) demonstrated that structured planning involving teachers improves student outcomes, while Čepić and Pejić Papak (2021) found that Croatian educators struggled to balance curriculum demands with administrative responsibilities, highlighting the need for collaborative frameworks and professional support.

However, collaboration is not without challenges. Bas et al. (2019) and Duran et al. (2020) noted that disagreements and confusion often arise in group decision making due to differing experiences and perspectives, especially in contexts like the Philippines (Haiy et al., n.d.). Vreuls et al. (2022) further elaborated on the difficulties of ensuring consistent implementation across teams with varied narratives.

To address these challenges, Jameson et al. (2021) and Luo et al. (2021) called for better communication among stakeholders, including policymakers, teachers, and curriculum developers. This collaboration must also include parents and community members, as suggested by Canada (2021).

Curriculum development is a multifaceted process that requires sustainability, personalization, resource availability, and collaboration. While studies like those of Chiu and Chai (2020) and Warmansyah et al. (2022) offer valuable frameworks, challenges such as resource scarcity (VanTassel Baska et al., 2021), lack of professional training (Pasaribu, 2020), and limited stakeholder alignment (Jameson et al., 2021) remain. Addressing these issues through inclusive dialogue, adequate funding, and robust teacher support systems will ensure that curricula are adaptable, effective, and culturally relevant, ultimately benefiting learners and educators alike.

Curriculum Implementation

Aligning Instruction with Curriculum Goals

Curricular innovations are essential for enhancing educational outcomes, but their successful implementation hinges on the alignment of instructional practices with specific learning goals outlined in the curriculum. Research indicates that effective curricular implementation consists of multiple components, including resource delivery and instructional methodologies MacDonald et.al, (2016) and Phillips et.al, (2017). To ensure

fidelity in implementing these curricula, it is crucial that instructional practices not only adhere to the curriculum but also accommodate the diverse needs of students Causarano (2015). This alignment fosters an educational environment where students can thrive and achieve their learning objectives.

Teacher preparedness is another vital element in the successful implementation of curriculum. McNeill et al. (2016) emphasize that educators must be well-equipped to understand and deliver the curriculum effectively. Causarano (2015) substantiated this through a study that evaluated math instruction quality in an urban school setting, revealing that strong student-teacher relationships significantly enhance learning outcomes. The study highlighted that teachers' deep knowledge of the curriculum directly correlates with improved instructional practices and student engagement. Furthermore, MacDonald et al. (2016) advocate for high-quality instruction and ongoing professional development (PD) to empower teachers in delivering the prescribed curriculum effectively.

Challenges in Resource Availability and Funding

Fasinro, Akinkuotu, and Aina (2024) underscore the challenges faced in Nigerian higher education, particularly the inadequacy of funding and a shortage of curriculum experts. They advocate for a constructivist approach to curriculum implementation, emphasizing the development of a "complete learner" over mere content delivery. Similarly, Munson (2023) highlights the need for high-quality instructional materials aligned with rigorous standards, noting that empowering teachers in curriculum development ensures classroom-friendly resources while alleviating teacher burnout. Both studies emphasize the importance of resource centers and strategic planning to provide essential materials and professional development for educators.

Teacher Involvement in Curriculum Development and Implementation

The significance of teacher participation is evident in studies like Mulenga and Mwanza (2019), which reveal that 90% of secondary school teachers in Zambia are willing to engage in curriculum development, particularly in stages like situational analysis and program piloting. They suggest decentralizing curriculum processes to enhance teacher representation across departments. Munson (2023) similarly emphasizes that teacher involvement in curriculum development reduces reliance on ad hoc lesson planning, fostering more effective instructional practices.

Alignment with Industry and Student Needs

In the context of hospitality and tourism education, Kusumawardhana (2019) identifies challenges in aligning curricula with industry expectations, emphasizing the integration of information and communication technologies (ICT) to enhance graduate competencies and job readiness. Taimela (2019) adds a student-centric perspective, highlighting the importance of aligning educational practices with student needs and preferences, as demonstrated in curriculum initiatives at Southeast Finland University of Applied Sciences. Both studies underline the need for collaborative efforts among stakeholders, including industry representatives and students, to shape curricula that are relevant and responsive.

Adapting to Blended Learning Models

The shift to blended learning has been a significant focus in recent research. Dizon (2020) and Santos and Reyes (2021) document the challenges faced in the Philippines, such as inadequate infrastructure and insufficient teacher training, which hinder the effective implementation of blended learning. These studies emphasize that while resources have been allocated for materials, ongoing teacher support and infrastructure development are crucial for successful integration of this model.

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Teacher training is a cornerstone of curriculum implementation. Torres (2021) stresses the importance of professional development to help educators adapt to evolving technologies and pedagogical methods. However, Delos Santos (2021) critiques many training programs for failing to align with the actual demands faced by teachers. This disconnect highlights the need for training initiatives that are practical and directly applicable to classroom settings.

Inclusivity and Equity in Curriculum Implementation

Efforts to promote inclusivity in curriculum design have been highlighted by Luna (2022) and Rivera (2020). Both studies explore initiatives to adapt curricula for indigenous peoples and children with disabilities. Despite progress, significant barriers remain, including a lack of qualified teachers and culturally relevant teaching materials. These findings point to the necessity of responsive curricula that address the diverse needs of all learners, ensuring equitable access to quality education.

Effective curriculum implementation is a multifaceted process requiring adequate resources, teacher involvement, alignment with industry and student needs, and inclusivity. The reviewed studies emphasize collaboration among stakeholders, professional development for educators, and responsiveness to diverse learning contexts. Future research should bridge gaps in empirical evidence, particularly regarding best practices for addressing resource shortages, enhancing teacher training, and fostering inclusivity in curriculum implementation.

Curriculum evaluation

Curriculum evaluation plays a pivotal role in aligning educational goals with societal and global demands. Foundational skills such as literacy and numeracy remain central to these efforts, as seen in the Philippines' MATATAG curriculum. By streamlining subjects and competencies, the curriculum emphasizes core learning areas, addressing gaps in basic education and preparing learners for advanced studies and future challenges. This approach is supported by Alvarado (2023) and Estrellado (2023), who highlight how focusing on essential skills improves learning outcomes. Complementing this focus, the integration of innovative pedagogical methods, such as collaborative and experiential learning, fosters critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving. Grounded in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, these methods have demonstrated success in creating dynamic classroom environments conducive to 21st-century education (Estrellado, 2023).

Inclusivity and equity

Inclusivity and equity are critical themes in curriculum evaluation, with the Basic Education Development Plan (BEDP) 2030 in the Philippines serving as a model for addressing educational inequities. By expanding access through initiatives like the Alternative Learning System (ALS). The MATATAG curriculum's streamlined content delivery allows teachers to focus on personalized instruction while fostering a less stressful learning environment for students, resulting in enhanced engagement and improved outcomes (Caballero, 2023).

Culturally responsive teaching has also emerged as an essential aspect of curriculum evaluation. Programs that integrate cultural contexts into educational content enhance students' sense of identity and belonging, which, in turn, supports academic success. Gay (2020) notes that aligning curriculum with learners' cultural backgrounds strengthens their connection to their studies, a principle also reflected in the Philippines' efforts to create inclusive educational content. Additionally, continuous monitoring and stakeholder collaboration are fundamental to effective curriculum evaluation. The participatory development of the BEDP 2030 highlights the importance of stakeholder involvement in addressing both immediate and long-term educational challenges, ensuring that curricula remain relevant and adaptable in an evolving global landscape (Masapol, 2022; Caballero, 2023).

However, several challenges hinder the implementation and assessment of curricula. One major issue is the lack of sufficient training for educators in effective evaluation methods. Yang (2017) observes that inadequate preparation can lead to inconsistencies in curriculum application and hinder accurate data collection and analysis. Similarly, Erdem et al. (2022) emphasize that insufficient training in media literacy prevents teachers from effectively incorporating these skills into their lessons, a growing concern in an increasingly digital world. The competence of curriculum evaluators is another critical factor. Aygören (2019) points out that not all evaluators possess the necessary expertise to assess educational quality, potentially undermining the reliability of evaluation outcomes. In addressing these challenges, Thomas et al. (2021) stress the importance of involving teachers in designing content, creating assignments, and refining assessments to ensure clarity, relevance, and alignment with educational goals.

To improve curriculum development, implementation, and evaluation, collaborative efforts among stakeholders are essential. Teachers must receive ongoing professional development, while sufficient funding for resources and training programs is critical. Technology integration can enhance curriculum delivery, while emphasizing cultural relevance makes learning more engaging and meaningful for students. Lastly, conducting research to test and refine curriculum frameworks in various educational settings will help adapt them effectively to diverse learner needs and ensure long-term success in educational practices.

Acknowledgment

The author expresses deep gratitude to Dr. Juan Abarro, her professor in EM 501 , for his relentless encouragement throughout the research process. She also wishes to thank his mother Edith in heaven for her guidance; his husband, Rolando Jr and her children, Johanna, Julia, Jerra, and Rolando III. The author appreciates the support and love from his father, Julio, as well as from his brothers Joel and Julius, who have been a continuous source of inspiration. Lastly, he extends heartfelt thanks to his friend Rodrigo Clarito Jr. for his unwavering support and for always being available to answer the author's questions.

References:

Alnaji, A. O. (2022). Curriculum planning model in general education. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching, 11*(5), 275. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v11n5p275>

Alsubaie, M. A. (2016). Curriculum development: Teacher involvement in curriculum development. *Journal of Education and Practice, 7*(9), 106–107.

Alvarado, C. C. P. (2023). Communicative Competence in Spiral Progression Curriculum: A Study Reinforcing the Implementation of MATATAG Curriculum in the Philippines. *International Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 5(6)*, 11-29

Ammarnurhandyka, M. (2023). Jurnal Mustanir : Pendidikan dan Islam Vol.1 No.1, Oktober 2023. Jurnal Mustanir: Pendidikan Dan Islam, 1(1), 1–9

ASCD Staff. (2023). Lessons from the field on comprehensive curriculum planning. Retrieved from <https://ascd.org/el/articles/lessons-from-the-field-on-comprehensive-curriculum-planning>

Asy'ari, A., & Hamami, T. (2020). Strategi Pengembangan Kurikulum Menghadapi Tuntutan Kompetensi Abad 21. IQ (Ilmu Al-Qur'an): Jurnal Pendidikan Islam, 3(01), 134. <https://doi.org/10.37542/iq.v3i01.52>

Aygören, F., & Er, K. O. (2019). New Approach at Evaluating the Private Schools' Curriculum: I-CODE Model. Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET, 18(2), 58–81. <https://eric.ed.gov/?q=curriculum+evaluation&id=EJ1211168>

Baharuddin, B., Isnaini, E., & Lusiana, L. (2024). Islamic education curriculum that is relevant to the challenges of the times. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 3*(3), 1045–1060. <https://doi.org/10.55927/eajmr.v3i3.8103>

Bas, G., & Sentürk, C. (2019). Teachers' Voice: Teacher Participation in Curriculum Development Process. I.e.: Inquiry in Education, 11(1). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1217322>

Caballero, B. A. (2023). Improve Learning and Empower the Future: Impact of DepEd's MATATAG Program on Learners. *DepEd Binan*.

Canada, T. J. (2021). Development and Implementation of an Early Childhood Parenting Curriculum for Preschool Teachers. In L. Roberts (Ed.), *Redesigning Teaching, Leadership, and Indigenous Education in the 21st Century* (pp. 227-251). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-5557-6.ch012>

Causarano, A. (2015). Preparing literacy teachers in an age of multiple literacies: A selfreflective approach. *Reading Matrix: An International Online Journal*, 15(2), 196-209

Čepić, R., & Pejić Papak, P. (2021). Challenges of curriculum planning and achieving learning outcomes: A case study of Croatian elementary school teachers' experiences. **Revista Românească pentru Educație Multidimensională*, 13*(1Sup1), 78–100. <https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/13.1Sup1/386>

Chiu, T. K., & Chai, C. S. (2020). Fostering student autonomy, competence, and relatedness in curriculum planning: A self-determination theory approach. **Educational Technology & Society*, 23*(3), 1–12.

Delos Santos, M. (2021). Technology Integration in Curriculum Implementation in the Philippines. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 12(3), 45-56.

Dhanapala, R. M. (2021). Triangular framework for curriculum development in the education sector. **OALib Journal*, 8*, Article e7490. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1107490>

Dizon, P. L. B. (2020). Adaption and Implementation of the New Normal Educational System in the Philippine Setting. *Academia.edu*. Retrieved from <https://www.academia.edu/56836678>

Duran, V., & Mertol, H. (2020). Kaizen Perspective in Curriculum Development. *Asian Journal of Education and Training*, 6(3), 384–396. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.522.2020.63.384.396>

Edutopia Staff. (2020). New teachers: Lesson and curriculum planning. **Edutopia**. <https://www.edutopia.org/article/new-teachers-lesson-curriculum-planning-resources>

Epler, P. (2020). Financial resources and their impact on educational outcomes: A critical review. **International Journal of Educational Management*, 34*(2), 123–138.

Erdem, C., & Eristi, B. (2022). Implementation and Evaluation of a Media Literacy Skills Curriculum: An Action Research Study. *International Journal of Modern Education Studies*, 6(1), 20–50. <https://eric.ed.gov/?q=curriculum+evaluation&pg=2&id=EJ1355445>

Estrellado, P. (2023). MATATAG Curriculum: Why Curriculum [must] Change? *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 2(1), 6-10.

Fasinro, K. S., Akinkuotu, F. A., & Aina, J. O. (2024). Challenges and the prospect of education resource centres to aid effective implementation. **Open Access Library Journal*, 11*(1), 1–15. Retrieved from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1419593.pdf>

Gov.uk/dfa. (2024). A guide to effective practice in curriculum planning. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65fd8652f1d3a0001d32ae0d/A_Guide_To_Effective_Practice_In_Curriculum_Planning_-_March_2024.pdf

Haiy, A., & Sali, A. (n.d.). Democratization of Curriculum Development: Theorizing Naturalistic Model in Philippine Madrasah Education. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 566. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1383080.pdf>

Hattie, J. (2009). **Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement**. Routledge. Retrieved from <https://inspirasifoundation.org/wp->

content/uploads/2020/05/John-Hattie-Visible-Learning_-A-synthesis-of-over-800-meta-analyses-relating-to-achievement-2008.pdf

Huaranga, H. A. V. (2020). Curriculum planning for learning and its relationship to teacher performance.

<https://portal.amelica.org/ameli/jatsRepo/382/3821677003/3821677003.pdf>

In: Roofe, C., Bezzina, C. (eds) Intercultural Studies of Curriculum. Intercultural Studies in Education. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60897-6_3

Jameson, E., Whitney-Smith, R., Macey, D., Morony, W., Benson-Lidholm, A.-M., & Leigh-Lancaster, D. (2021). Curriculum Development and the Use of a Digital Framework for Collaborative Design to Inform Discourse: A Case Study. <http://www.merga.net.au/>. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED616157>

Jumrah, S. (2023). Perubahan Pengembangan Kurikulum Pendidikan Agama Islam Di Madrasah Aliyah Negeri Insan Cendikia Paser Pendahuluan. 6(1), 33–60

Kelly, N. (2019). The impact of funding on curriculum development: Challenges and opportunities in schools. *Educational Research Review*, 14*, 45–62.

Kusumawardhana, I. (2019). Curriculum development in hospitality and tourism higher education: Challenges and gaps. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Business, Law and Pedagogy, ICBLP 2019** (pp. 13–15). Sidoarjo, Indonesia. EAI. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.13-2-2019.2286505>

Kusumawardhana, I. (2019). Curriculum development in hospitality and tourism higher education: Challenges and gaps. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Business, Law and Pedagogy, ICBLP 2019** (pp. 13–15). Sidoarjo, Indonesia. EAI. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.13-2-2019.2286505>

Lam, M.-H. A. (2022). Striking a balance among major curriculum ideologies in general education curriculum design and planning among Hong Kong universities. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36*(5), 1024–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10567879221117284>

Liriwati, F. Y., & Marpuah, S. (2024). Transformasi Kurikulum Merdeka di Madrasah ; Menyongsong Era Pendidikan Digital. 2, 1–10.

Luo, J., & Muyunda, G. (2021). Teachers' Voice in Zambia: How to Make Them Involved in Curriculum Development. *Online Submission*, 2(3), 388–397. https://eric.ed.gov/?q=curriculum+development&ff1=dySince_2015&id=ED629118

MacDonald, A., Barton, G., Baguley, M., & Hartwig, K. (2016). Teachers' curriculum stories: Perceptions and preparedness to enact change. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 48(13), 1336-1351. doi:10.1080/00131857.2016.1210496

McNeill, K. L., Katsh-Singer, R., Gonzalez-Howard, M., & Loper, S. (2016). Factors impacting teachers' argumentation instruction in their science classrooms. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(12), 2026-2046. doi: 10.1080/09500693.2016.1221547

Mulenga, I. M., & Mwanza, C. (2019). Teacher's voices crying in the school wilderness: Involvement of secondary school teachers in curriculum development in Zambia. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 8(1), 32–38. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v8n1p32>

Munson, L. (2023, February 1). Lessons from the field on comprehensive curriculum planning. *Educational Leadership*, 80(5). ASCD. Retrieved from <https://ascd.org/el/articles/lessons-from-the-field-on-comprehensive-curriculum-planning>

Offorma, G. C. (2016). Cultural representation in educational materials: Challenges and strategies for inclusive education. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(18), 15–22.

Phillips, B. M., Ingrole, S., Burriss, P., & Tabulda, G. (2017). Investigating predictors of fidelity of implementation for a preschool vocabulary and language curriculum. *Early Child Development and Care*, 187(3/4), 542-553. doi: 10.1080.03004430.2016.1251428

Posillico, J. J., Edwards, D. J., & Shelbourn, M. (2021). Curriculum development in the higher education literature: A synthesis focusing on construction management programmes. *Educational Research Review*, 36(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/09504222211044894>

Roe, J. (2020). Exploring the connection between child observation and curriculum planning in early years settings. *International Journal of Early Years Education*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/9b4ee20934191ebbc9cb4c92fb6599fb602e0b01>

Santos, L., & Reyes, J. (2023). Curriculum planning for disaster risk reduction education in the Philippines. *Journal of Disaster Education*.

Shao, Y., Zhang, Y., & Chen, X. (2021). PLAN-BERT: A personalized course recommendation system based on students' interests and future course offerings. *Computers & Education*, 169, Article 104208.

Sugiarto, D., et al. (2023). Challenges in madrasah education: Infrastructure and resource limitations affecting quality education delivery in Indonesia. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 13(1), 45–58.

Taimela, S. (2019). Exploring student-centricity in curriculum development. In *EDULEARN19 Proceedings* (pp. 6559–6563). 11th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies, Palma, Spain. IATED.

Tao, M., Jiang, J., Wang, X., Zhou, J., & Xie, J. (2022). A decision support framework for curriculum planning in undergraduate supply chain management programs: An integrated approach. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article 3494431, 17 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3494431>

Thomas, Meng, H., Ching Sing Chai, King, I., Wong, S. S., & Yam, Y. (2021). Creation and Evaluation of a Pre-tertiary Artificial Intelligence (AI) Curriculum. ArXiv (Cornell University). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2101.07570>

Torres, W. R. (2021). Teachers' Critical Reflections on the New Normal Philippine Education: Issues and Inputs on Curriculum and Instruction Development. *Academia.edu*. Retrieved from <https://www.academia.edu/72243702>

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Baska, A. (2021). *Curriculum Planning and Instructional Design for Gifted Learners*. Routledge

VanTassel-Baska, J., et al. (2021). The role of resources in effective teaching practices: A comprehensive review of the literature on educational resources and their impact on teaching quality. **Gifted Child Quarterly, 65*(2), 135–149.*

VanTassel-Baska, J., et al. (2021). The role of resources in effective teaching practices: A comprehensive review of the literature on educational resources and their impact on teaching quality. **Gifted Child Quarterly, 65*(2), 135–149.*

Vera Cruz, A.C., Madden, P.E., Asante, C.K. (2018). *Toward Cross-Cultural Curriculum Development: An Analysis of Science Education in the Philippines, Ghana, and the United States*.

Vreuls, J., Koeslag-Kreunen, M., Klink, M., Nieuwenhuis, L., & Boshuizen, H. (2022). Responsive curriculum development for professional education: Different teams, different tales. *The Curriculum Journal, 33(4)*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.15511>

Wahid, L. A., & Hamami, T. (2021). Tantangan Pengembangan Kurikulum Pendidikan Islam dan Strategi Pengembangannya dalam Menghadapi Tuntutan Kompetensi Masa Depan. *J-PAI: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam, 8(1), 23–36*. <https://doi.org/10.18860/jpai.v8i1.15222>

Warmansyah, J., Yuningsih, R., Sari, M., Urrahmah, N., Data, M. R., & Idris, T. (2022). Implementation of the Minangkabau Culture Curriculum at Kindergarten. *Aulad: Journal on Early Childhood, 5(2), 228–234*. <https://doi.org/10.31004/aulad.v5i2.376>

Warmansyah, J., Yuningsih, R., Sari, M., Urrahmah, N., Data, M. R., & Idris, T. (2022). Implementation of the Minangkabau Culture Curriculum at Kindergarten. *Aulad: Journal on Early Childhood, 5(2), 228–234*. <https://doi.org/10.31004/aulad.v5i2.376>

Yang, Y. (2017). Challenges in curriculum assessment: Educators' perspectives. **Journal of Education Assessment Studies, 10*(4), 71–89.*

Yang, Y. (2017). Evaluation of a Curriculum. <https://doi.org/10.2991/iccessh-17.2017.43>